2025-2026 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

PLANNING PROCESS

A campus operating a Title I, Part A Schoolwide Program must develop a Campus Improvement Plan (CIP) that directly addresses the needs identified through a Comprehensive Needs Assessment (CNA). According to TEA, "An effective CIP can bring focus and coherence to reform activities and help ensure unity of purpose, alignment, and clear accountability." In accordance with federal and state requirements, the Improvement Plan must be developed with meaningful involvement from parents, community members, teachers, principals, administrators, and other appropriate school staff who are responsible for implementing the plan. This collaborative process ensures the plan reflects shared priorities and is grounded in local context and stakeholder input. Additionally, any Local Education Agency receiving State Compensatory Education (SCE) funds must have both a District Improvement Plan in place. Because the planning requirements for Title I and SCE are aligned, a single, unified plan may satisfy the requirements for both programs, provided it meets all applicable statutory and regulatory expectations.

Timeline	Process	Description
APR-MAY	Data Review and Needs Assessment	Analyze current-year outcomes and trends using compiled data, including year-over-year state and federal accountability, TAPR reports, and disaggregated performance by student groups, EOC subject areas, and grade levels. Use this analysis to draft preliminary goals and priorities for the upcoming school year aligned with the Comprehensive Needs Assessment (CNA).
JUN-AUG	_	Refine the Campus/District Improvement Plan (CIP/DIP) based on updated needs and outcomes. Identify eligible federal funding sources, specify the intended use of funds, and align strategies/activities with projected impacts on academic achievement. Ensure budget planning and program strategies align with ESSA requirements. Make adjustments as new data becomes available.
SEP-OCT	Board Approval and Stakeholder Engagement	Finalize goals, objectives, and funding allocations. Present the CIP/DIP to the Board of Trustees for approval. Once approved, share the plan with all stakeholders—including staff, families, and community partners—to ensure transparency and engagement as required under ESSA.
 SEP-MAY	Implementation Monitoring and Evaluation	Monitor implementation and evaluate program effectiveness using goal-aligned performance metrics. Track progress toward identified outcomes, ensure ongoing compliance, and provide recommendations for continuation or adjustment of strategies. This continuous review supports timely amendments to eGrants if necessary.

COMPREHENSIVE NEEDS ANALYSIS: ACCOUNTABILITY (CNA Part 1 of 3)

The Comprehensive Needs Assessment (CNA) aligns with the district and campus one-pagers, v	which include year-over-year accountability data a	nd TAPR performance metrics, along with a focused needs analysis	to inform planning and decision-making.		
Domain	Indicator	ALL	SPED	EB (Current & Monitored)	OSP (HOMELESS)
[1] STAAR Performance Problem Statement: While overall STAAR EOC passing rates increased by 12% from 2023 to	State: STAAR Performance 25-26 Goal (Source: A-F ACCT Overall Summary)	↑% to%	↑% to %	↑ % to %	↑ % to %
gaps among SPED and EB student groups. The passing rates for both groups remain well	24-25	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
below the AEA target of 35%. To support equitable outcomes and align with the performance goals for the All Students group, targeted interventions will be implemented to increase STAAR ELAR passing rates for SPED and EB students by at least 5% by the end of the		56% ↑ 12 %	45% ↑ 14%	39% ↑ 7%	56.0% ↑ 23%
year.	22-23	44.0%	31.0%	32.0%	33.0%
Root Cause: Although STAAR passing rates for special student groups have shown consistent year-over-year improvement, learning disruptions—particularly chronic absenteeism, inconsistent classroom monitoring, and limited support for special programs—continue to	Federal: STAAR Performance 25-26 Goal (Source: RDA District Report local analysis)	All (Special Pops Avg) 个 % to %	STAAR ELAR 个 % to %	STAAR ELAR 个 % to %	STAAR ELAR 个 % to % overall
	24-25	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
	23-24	44.8% ↑ 9.8%	45.9% ↑ 10.8%	43.5% ↑ 8.9%	50.0% ↑ 7.1%
	22-23	35.0%	35.1%	34.6%	42.9%
Problem Statement: Although the CCMR rate for graduates and grade 12 students who did	State: Closing the Gaps CCMR 25-26 Goal (Source: A-F ACCT Closing Gaps Groups)	↑ % to 90%	↑ % to 90%	↑ % to 90%	↑% to 90%
not graduate increased by 21% from 2023 to 2024, the overall rate must rise to 80% or higher to ensure that all evaluated student groups meet Closing the Gaps domain performance targets. The EB student group, which currently demonstrates the lowest CCMR performance, will require targeted interventions to improve outcomes. The goal is to increase the CCMR rate for EB students by 20% by the end of the year.	24-25	TBD	TBD	тво	TBD
Root Cause: District and campus administrators must implement CTE and college preparatory programs with greater fidelity to ensure all students have equitable access to opportunities for earning CCMR credit. Inconsistent implementation of qualifying programs and limited student engagement in approved pathways are contributing to performance gaps and hindering the campus's ability to meet established CCMR targets. Student engagement is further impacted by low enrollment in qualifying courses and programs, high	23-24	60% ↑ 21%	63% ↑ 8%	44% ↑ 7 %	50% ↔ 0%
rates of student withdrawal, chronic absenteeism, and challenges with course sequencing	22-23	39.0%	55.0%	37.0%	50.0%

			COMPREI	HENSIVE NEEDS ANALYSIS: ACCOU	NTABILITY (CNA Part 1 of 3 cont'd.)				
	Doma	ain	Indicator	ALL	SPED	EB (Current & Monitored)	OSP (HOMELESS)		
	nation Rate	te has remained stable over the past several	State Grad w/Cont + TxCHSE 25-26 Goal (Source: A-F ACCT Graduation Rate)	个 % by Fall plus 个 % by Spring to %	个 % by Fall plus 个 % by Spring to %	↑ % by Fall plus ↑ % by Spring to %	个 % by Fall plus 个 % by Spring to %		
years—including for percentage points b	r students in special population	ons—the current rate is approximately 20 to earn a "C" rating in the Graduation Rate	24-25	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD		
lomain rating and l	highlights the need for target	nance gap negatively impacts the overall ed, data-driven interventions to ensure that ps, attend school consistently and graduate	23-24	4-Yr 41.9% ↑ 1.5%	5-Yr 39.8% ↓ 5.2%	4-Yr 32.9% ↔ 0.0%	5-Yr 41.7% ↔ 0.0%		
		tion, career, or military pathways. d implement targeted supports and	22-23	6-Yr 40.4%	6-Yr 45.0%	4-Yr 32.9%	4-Yr 41.7%		
pportunities have	not been executed with cons	reer, and Military Readiness (CCMR) istency or fidelity across campuses. ed to significant learning loss, particularly	Federal Graduation Rate 25-26 Goal (Source: A-F ACCT Closing Gaps Groups)	个 % by Fall plus 个 % by Spring to %	个 % by Fall plus 个 % by Spring to %	↑ % by Fall plus ↑ % by Spring to %	个 % by Fall plus 个 % by Spring to %		
mong students rec omelessness, both	ceiving special education serv of whom did not demonstra	ices and students experiencing te gains in graduation rates. Further ation of the revised grading policy resulted in	24-25	TBD	ТВО	TBD	TBD		
nany students not	receiving adequate opportun	ities to recover credit or improve failing main on track for timely graduation.	23-24	30.2% ↑ 10.2%	59.0% ↑ 32.5%	22.3% ↑ 5.9%	16.7% ↓ 10.0%		
			22-23	20.0%	26.5%	16.4%	26.7%		
4] Dropo		remains high for a non-AEA district,	State Dropout Rate 25-26 Goal (Source: A-F ACCT Graduation Rate)	↓ % Fall plus ↓ % spring to % overall	↓ % Fall plus ↓ % spring to % overall	↓ % Fall plus ↓ % spring to % overall	↓ % Fall plus ↓ % spring to % overall		
MA—designated a mprovement in its	as a Dropout Recovery School average annual dropout rate	—has demonstrated continuous . While this rate is still elevated, maintaining	24-25 (SY 23-24)	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD		
roxy for the gradu	ation rate under the A–F acco	butes to AEA accountability when used as a buntability system. Continued progress is crengthen student retention, particularly	23-24 (SY 22-23)	20.8% ↓ 3.1%	$18.4\% \downarrow 8.8\%$	23.9% ↓ 0.3%	18.8% ↓ 1.9%		
mong high-risk stu	dent populations.		22-23 (SY 21-22)	23.9%	27.2%	24.2%	20.7%		
argeted intervention	ons were inconsistently applie	f dropping out and implement timely, ed across campuses, limiting their	Federal Dropout Rate 25-26 Goal (Source: RDA District Report local analysis)	个 % by Fall plus 个 % by Spring to %	个 % by Fall plus 个 % by Spring to %	↑ % by Fall plus ↑ % by Spring to %	个 % by Fall plus 个 % by Spring to %		
		lementation of the revised grading policy ortunities to recover credit or improve failing	24-25 (SY 23-24)	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD		
		ervention contributed to students falling off g to disengage and drop out of school.	23-24 (SY 22-23)	25.9% ↓ 3.7%	22.0% \(\psi \) 9.1%	29.0% ↑ 2.5%	33.3% ↓ 4.2%		
			22-23 (SY 21-22)	29.6%	31.1%	26.5%	37.5%		
			COMPREHENSIV	E NEEDS ANALYSIS: 2024-2025 STA	KEHOLDER FEEDBACK (CNA Part	2 of 3)			
l l	ndicator		STAFF Survey (n=116)		IT Survey (n=423)		ırvey (n=280)		
chool Culture	Welcoming Safety Kindness Bullying	44% or 51 respondents agreed school has a	welcoming environment (Target 85%, 3-yr avg 53 safe environment (Target 80%, 3-yr avg 49%) sind to each other (Target 75%, 3-yr avg 14%) et a problem (Target 90%, 3-yr avg 61%)		safe environment (Target 70%, 3-yr avg 46%) ind to each other (Target 35%, 3-yr avg 25%)	60% or 168 respondents agreed the school has a welcoming environment 48% or 134 respondents agreed students are kind to each other 70% or 196 respondents agreed child has not experienced physical or verbal bullying at school			
	Diversity Behavior Understanding	28% or 32 respondents agreed disruptive be Perceived lack of professionalism, vision, acc	havior is not a problem (Target 65%, 3-yr avg 33%	%) 44% or 186 respondents agreed disruptive be	havior not problem (Target 60%, 3-yr avg 46%)	68% or 190 respondents agreed diversity is respected at so 44% or 123 respondents agreed school rules and discipline 47% or 132 respondents agreed Principal understands par	pans are enforced consistently		
eadership	Visibility Problem Solver Approachable			Seen as unresponsive, disorganized, or neglec	:tful	53% or 148 respondents agreed Principal is visible to the f 53% or 148 respondents agreed Principal addresses proble 57% or 160 respondents agreed Principal is approachable	ull school community rns with a sense of urgency and reachable		
Communication	Decisions Response Positive	Feelings of being undervalued, unrecognized	d, or overworked without acknowledgement			57% or 160 respondents agreed Principal makes decisions 40% or 112 respondents agreed teachers respond to comm 34% or 90 respondents receive positive phone calls, notes,	nunication within 48 hours		
	Effectiveness Net Score	Lack of clarity, feedback, and transparency Negative -(33) NPS (Target +30 NPS)		Negative -(22) NPS (Target +14 NPS)		Positive +29 NPS (Target +45 NPS)			
romoter Score	Promoter Passive	25% or 29 respondents would recommend F 18% or 20 respondents were passive in their	recommendation	28% or 118 respondents would recommend F 23% or 98 respondents were passive in their r	recommendation	59% or 165 respondents would recommend RMA to friends and family 11% or 31 respondents were passive in their recommendation			
	Detractor Behavior Safety	58% or 67 respondents would not recomme Provide behavior support training and consi Target supports to campuses with lowest st	stent classroom management tools	49% or 207 respondents would not recomme Improve behavior management; current stud Improve safety; current student perceptions a	ent perceptions are low	30% or 84 respondents would not recommend RMA to friends and family Expand extracurricular options and strengthen special education services Address concerns about safety, behavior, and academic rigor			
ecommendations	Communication Leadership	Strengthen leadership communication and t		Address concerns about leadership including		Address concerns about safety, behavior, and academic rigor Improve communication at both district and campus levels Ensure visible and consistent leadership across campuses			
	Promoter	Leverage strong staff commitment while add	dressing drivers of declining NPS	Promote greater consistency in student exper	rience across all campuses				

	PRELIMINARY PLANNING AMOUNTS & PROPOSED USES												
Program	Program Intent		Proposed Use of Funds	Planning Amount									
Title I-Part A	Help schools with high concentrations of students from low-income families provide a high-quality education enabling all children to meet the state's student	82%	Staff Campus Student & Community Liaisons	\$486,963									
	performance standards.	2%	Summer School										
		10%	Academic Software										
		5%	Compliance Software										
		1%	Targeted Professional Development with Travel Support										
Title II-Part A	Teacher and principal training and recruiting funds to supplement staff development in district/campus priority areas, enhance recruiting, hiring, and retention of highly qualified teachers and improve the quality of principal, teacher, and associate teacher work force through staff development.	100%	Staff District Instructional Coordinator (100% transfer to Title I-A)	\$57,121									
Title III-Part A	To develop programs for limited English proficient students to attain English proficiency, develop high levels of academic attainment, and meet the state content standards and student achievement standards.	100%	Shared Services Arrangement with ESC-20	\$19,806									
Title IV-Part A	Student support and academic enrichment funds to improve students' academic achievement by increasing the capacity of the district to provide access to, and opportunities for, a well-rounded education for all students; improve school conditions in order to create a healthy and safe school environment; and improve access to technology in the classroom.	100%	Staff District Instructional Coordinator (100% transfer to Title I-A)	\$37,504									
IDEA-B	Identify and provide a free appropriate public education to students with disabilities ages 3-21 who are eligible for special education and related services;	88%	Staff Campus Special Education Associate Teachers	\$261,683									
	protect the rights of students with disabilities and the rights of their parents; and design individualized education programs that meet the needs of students	11%	Contract Support Services (Auditory, Speech, Counseling, and Interpretation Services)										
	with disabilities and prepares those students for further education, employment, and independent living.	1%	Targeted Professional Development with Travel Support										
Perkins V: Strengthening CTE	Develop more fully the academic knowledge, technical, and employability skills of secondary education students who enroll in CTE programs and programs of study.	100%	Shared Services Arrangement with ESC-20	\$16,794									

ICR: School Year 2025–2026 (Fiscal Year 2026) School Approved Indirect Cost Rates: Restricted ICR 4.345% and Unrestricted ICR 4.252%. ICR allows school system to recover indirect costs incurred in the administration of federal grants. Restricted ICR is used for grant programs covered by supplement, not supplant provision.

AMENDMENT: At the end of a grant period it is critical to ensure that all remaining funds are accurately budgeted in Expenditure Reporting. Whether summer plans have shifted, new expenses have emerged, or prior errors need to be corrected, now is the time to carefully review and update your budgets. Failure to amend budget in eGrants may prevent drawing down or fully spending available funds. To ensure a smooth and compliant grant closeout, most recent grant application must align with actual expenditures to date, planned spending through year-end, and current goals outlined in the DIP/CIP. Being proactive in this process is key to maximizing use of ovailable funds and ensuring compliance. Common reasons to amend a grant application includes: 1) Add final allocations or carryover funds to the grant budgets, 2) Budget for a class/object code not previously included, 3) When the amount budgeted in a class/object code changes by more than 25% of the total approved amount, 4) Add a new line item on any supporting budget schedules, 5) When increasing or decreasing the number of payroll positions by more than 20%, 6) Add a new line item on any supporting budget schedules, 5) When increasing or decreasing the number of payroll positions by more than 20%, 6) Add a new line item on any supporting budget schedules, 5) When increasing or decreasing the number of payroll positions by more than 20%, 6) Add a new line item on any supporting budget schedules, 5) When increasing or decreasing the number of payroll positions by more than 20%, 6) Add a new line item on any supporting budget schedules, 5) When increasing or decreasing the number of payroll positions by more than 20%, 6) Add a new line item on any supporting budget schedules, 5) When increasing or decreasing the number of payroll positions of the total approved amount, 4) Add or add or

	BUDGET													
25-2	6 Title I-A	Category	Description	Amount	Fund	Func	Obj	Sub Obj	Org	Fiscal	PIC	Local	Local	Note
Start		Pay + Bene	Campus Student Community Liaisons	\$ 281,788.00	211	61	6119	00	999	6	30	0	00	Budget for SCL + summer school = \$505K 23-24 SY and \$315K 24-25 SY; average \$410K
NOGA		ray + belie	SCL - PFE Reserve 19.5%	\$ 93,930.00	211	13	6119	00	999	6	30	0	00	25% of SCL payroll align to parent involvement activities
Revised/Final		Pay + Bene	Summer School	\$ 15,600.00	211	11	6118	00	999	6	30	0	00	STAAR support: 2 staff * 9 campuses * 4 days * 6 hrs * \$30/hr w/BA or \$25/hr w/out
Amend		Pay + Bene	District Instructional Coordinator		211	13	6119	00	999	6	30	0	00	Funding Transferability: 100% to T1A (DIC split 42% T4A plus 58% T2A plus T1A if needed)
NOGA Amend		Contract	ESC-Services	\$ 500.00	211	13	6239	00	999	6	30	0	00	
End		Contact	ESC-20 Counselor Cooperative	\$ 2,000.00	211	31	6239	00	999	6	30	0	00	
Planning Amt	\$ 486,963.00	Contract	ESC-20 Special Education Cooperative	\$ 2,000.00	211	31	6239	00	999	6	30	0	00	
Carryover ≤ 15%				\$ -	211	61	6100	00	999	6	30	0	00	
Reallocation Amt		Reservation	Homeless Student Services Reserve 2.8%	\$ 6,000.00	211	13	6200	00	999	6	30	0	00	Community In Schools support start w/ LB & AM or 10 homeless students at \$600 ea
Final Amt		neser valion	Homeless student services heserve 2.0%	\$ 5,000.00	211	13	6300	00	999	6	30	0	00	Gender appropriate backpack with hygiene and school supplies for 50 students at \$100 ea
Budget	\$ 486,963.00			\$ 2,500.00	211	34	6412	00	999	6	30	0	00	Bus pass 50 students at \$50 ea
Expended	\$ 42,938.82	Supplies	Texas College Bridge	\$ 12,500.00	211	11	6397	00	999	6	30	0	00	25-26 subscription; 24-25 pd w/211-IR
Balance	\$ 444,024.18	Supplies	Frontline	\$ 14,500.00	211	31	6397	00	999	6	30	0	00	25-26 ELL/LPAC \$2,206.28 plus RTI \$4,308.19, IEP \$7,799.35
Intent	Improving Basic	Supplies	Frontline Service Tracking	\$ 5,150.00	211	31	6397	00	999	6	30	0	00	25-26 subscription renewal; added JUL-2024 to replace SPED Tracker
	Programs: Help schools with high concentrations	Supplies	Lead4ward AccountabilityConnect	\$ 2,500.00	211	23	6397	00	999	6	30	0	00	2526 subscription renewal due APR-2025
	of students from low-	Supplies	Ori Learning	\$ 8,475.00	211	11	6397	00	999	6	30	0	00	25-26 subscription renewal - Transition Suite Bundle: Career Readiness, SEL, Life Skills
	income families provide a	Supplies	Study Island	\$ 33,520.00	211	11	6397	00	999	6	30	0	00	25-26 subscription renewal
	high-quality education	Employee Travel	PD and Collaboration	\$ 1,000.00	211	13	6411	00	999	6	30	0	00	
	enabling all children to													
	meet the state's student	Budgeted Expended Lvl						Need						Strategy
	performance standards		3 Student and Community Liaisons (SCLs) 4 implementing Parent & Family	Increase student at partnership between				, and overal	school suc	cess by stre	ngthening t	the essentia	I	Targeted, individualized support is provided to students identified as being at high risk of dropping out by working directly with students, conducting regular check-ins to model positive behavior, reinforce effective decision-making
			Engagement (PFE) activities				,							skills, and cultivate a school culture centered on high expectations for attendance and academic engagement.
														Maintain consistent communication with families through phone calls, home visits, and student/parent conferences.
														These comprehensive efforts (Student Attendance Plan) are designed to reduce barriers to attendance, enhance the
														home-school connection, and promote long-term student success.
L	1	<u> </u>	L	1										

25-26 Title I-A cont'd.	Budgeted	Expended	Lvl	PS	Use	Need	Strategy
	\$0	Ş	0 D	1 3 4	District Instructional Coordinator	Provide leadership in curriculum alignment, instructional support, intervention design, and fidelity of program implementation across campuses to raise academic achievement for all student groups.	Provide supplemental instructional leadership to campus principals and instructional staff to ensure a coherent, aligned, and articulated instructional program that enables all students—particularly those from low-income families—to master the TEKS and meet state performance standards. Key Initiatives Include: Implementation of standard lesson plans aligned with district instructional priorities Strengthening Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) to support collaboration and data-driven instruction Coaching and feedback cycles focused on improving instructional practices Ensuring consistent and fidelity-based implementation of the Revised Grading Policy and Attendance Plan Ongoing Actions: Collaborate regularly with principals and teachers to support instructional improvement Conduct classroom observations and provide targeted feedback and modeling of best practices Facilitate on-site coaching and technical assistance to enhance teacher effectiveness Deliver data-driven professional development aligned to identified needs Support Response to Intervention (RTI) implementation and design research-based instructional interventions and enrichments to close learning gaps
	\$15,600	\$1	0 C	1 2 3 4	Summer School	Provide equitable access to high-quality learning opportunities beyond the traditional school calendar to help students recover, accelerate, and succeed academically.	To support school improvement goals, Richard Milburn Academy will operate Summer School Monday through Thursday for 3 weeks in June, providing targeted opportunities for credit recovery, STAAR EOC preparation, and Texas College Bridge completion. Programming is designed to help students stay on track for graduation and close achievement gaps.
	\$500	\$1	0 с	1	ESC Services	ESC support is essential for building internal capacity, ensuring fidelity of implementation, and improving student outcomes.	PD activities:
	\$2,000	\$i	0 C	1 2 3	ESC-20 Counselor Cooperative	Provide school counselors with access to high-quality resources, targeted professional development, technical assistance, and contracted services to ensure school counselors understand their role and meet statutory requirements for public school counseling as well as deliver equitable, student-centered services that support academic achievement, social-emotional growth, and college/career readiness.	Implement the ESC-20 Counselor Cooperative to strengthen counseling services by: • Providing ongoing professional development aligned with the four components of the Texas Model for Comprehensive School Counseling Programs: Guidance Curriculum, Responsive Services, Individual Planning, and System Support • Offering training to support student development in key areas: social-emotional learning, college and career readiness, interpersonal skills, and personal safety • Promoting collaboration among counselors through sessions and networking opportunities to share best practices and strengthen program implementation
	\$2,000	Ş.	0 C	1	ESC-20 Special Education Cooperative	Deliver compliant, high-quality special education services and improve instructional outcomes for students with disabilities.	Implement the ESC-20 Special Education Cooperative to strengthen services for students with disabilities by providing: • Targeted professional development to ensure staff are trained in evidence-based instructional practices and legally compliant special education procedures • Technical assistance to support the implementation of individualized education programs (IEPs), behavior intervention plans (BIPs), and inclusive service delivery models • Support with data analysis and compliance monitoring to help districts meet IDEA mandates and integrate performance data into their Comprehensive Needs Assessment (CNA) and Campus/District Improvement Plans (CIP/DIP)
	\$13,500	\$1		2 3 4	Reservation Worksheet (or equivalent) for post award review.	Help homeless students effectively take advantage of educational opportunities.	Provide items or services including but not limited to items of clothing, student fees necessary to participate in general education program, fees for AP and IB testing, fees for college entrance exams such as SAT or ACT, personal school supplies such as backpacks and notebooks, birth certificates necessary to enroll in school, immunizations, food, medical and dental services, eyeglasses and hearing aids, extended learning time (before and after school, Saturday classes, summer school) to compensate for lack of quiet time for homework in shelters or other overcrowded living conditions; utilize T1PA Homeless Reservation Worksheet to document items and services provided to students.
	\$12,500	\$12,50	0 с	3	Academic Program: Texas College Bridge program, a college readiness initiative designed to help high school juniors and seniors prepare for college-level coursework in math and English	Increase CCMR opportunities for students particularly in subgroups such as Emergent Bilingual (EB), Special Education, or Economically Disadvantaged students to help close achievement and opportunity gaps, improve accountability ratings in the Closing the Gaps domain, and prepare students for postsecondary success, including higher education and the workforce.	Implement the Texas College Bridge program for juniors and seniors who have not yet demonstrated college readiness. The program provides online, self-paced, and personalized college preparatory courses in English Language Arts and Mathematics. Through diagnostic assessments and tailored study plans, students build the specific skills needed to meet college readiness benchmarks and successfully transition to postsecondary coursework.
	\$19,650	\$19,46	4 D C		Compliance Program: Frontline software to support diverse learner programs including IEP/SPED, ELL/LPAC, and Rtl components as well as service tracking	Streamline administrative processes, enhance operational efficiency, and improve educational outcomes by providing tools across various domains.	Ensure accurate and timely documentation of student identification, placement, program services, and assessment decisions to support progress toward academic goals. Conduct compliant committee meetings (e.g., ARD, LPAC, RTI) that adhere to required timelines and provide actionable, data-informed feedback. Maintain evidence of academic progress through documentation of student success metrics, including passing rates, state assessment results, and promotion status.

25-26 Titl	le I-A <i>cont'd</i> .	Budgeted	Expended	Lvl	PS	Use	Need	Strategy
		\$2,500	\$2,50	C C		Compliance Program: Lead4ward AccountabilityConnect	A comprehensive data analysis and planning tool that allows campus and district leadership teams to make informed decisions to close performance gaps and effectively drive continuous school improvement efforts that are data-driven, targeted, and aligned with both state and federal accountability requirements.	Build campus and district capacity to understand and apply state and federal assessment and accountability systems by leveraging Lead4ward's Accountability Connect to inform instructional practices and support continuous improvement through the following actions: • Establish campus and district accountability teams to lead data analysis and planning • Disaggregate performance data by domain and student group to guide needs assessments and inform CIP/DIP development • Monitor progress toward SMART goals through ongoing data reviews • Train staff to interpret and apply accountability data to instructional planning • Identify and address performance gaps with targeted interventions • Align instructional priorities—such as reteach and tutoring—to accountability data • Integrate Accountability Connect with the Effective Schools Framework to prioritize leadership, data use, and instructional improvement
		\$8,475	\$8,47	5 C	1 2 3 4	Academic Program: Ori Learning solutions support the development of student life skills, emotional well-being, and career readiness	Provide comprehensive, research-based curricula focused on social-emotional learning and transition skills for students with mild to moderate disabilities. These areas are essential for enhancing student engagement, reducing dropout rates, and improving post-secondary outcomes.	Foster positive school climates leading to improved student engagement and reduced absenteeism by: • Supporting diverse learners, offering differentiated instruction and accommodations, such as text-to-speech, translation, and adjustable text sizes, ensuring accessibility for all students, including those with disabilities • Utilizing built-in progress monitoring tools and pre/post assessments to track student growth and make informed instructional decisions • Supporting compliance with indicators related to special education transition planning and contribute to meeting CCMR targets by equipping students with essential life and career skills
		\$33,520	\$i	С	1	Academic Program: Study Island	Strengthen instructional delivery, improve assessment outcomes, and support all students—especially those in at-risk groups—toward meeting challenging academic standards by providing standards-based practice, real-time progress monitoring, and targeted intervention aligned with state assessment standards and school performance goals.	Implement data-driven instructional tool offering individualized practice, assessment readiness, and ongoing progress monitoring to close achievement gaps and improve performance on state assessments by: • Delivering TEKS-aligned content in core subject areas, allowing students to build mastery of tested standards • Providing real-time feedback and progress tracking to guide instructional adjustments and targeted reteach • Supporting Response to Intervention (RTI) frameworks with differentiated practice and formative assessments • Helping teachers identify learning gaps by student, class, and standard, improving data-informed instruction • Enabling personalized student learning paths to support acceleration or remediation
		\$1,000	\$i	С	1 2 3 4	Targeted Professional Development with Trav Support to Strengthen Instructional Capacity	El Ensure equitable access to high-quality professional learning by funding travel for in-person training and coaching aligned to the district's curriculum, instructional priorities, and improvement goals.	Prioritize travel funding for professional development aligned to school improvement priorities, including differentiated instruction, intervention planning, and support for low-income, SPED, and EB students. Support staff attendance at TEA-supported and ESC-led trainings in ESF-aligned focus areas such as data-driven instruction, lesson alignment, school culture, and turnaround support. Additionally, fund travel for campus teams to attend content-specific training as well as for District Instructional Coordinators to provide on-site coaching and follow-up. Participants are required to share learning through PLCs, modeling, or campus PD.

25.	26 Title II-A		Category			Description	Amount	Fund	Func	Obj	Sub Obj	Org	Fiscal	PIC	Local	Local	Note
	20 Title II-A	Day I Bono	Category		-	•		-		•		- 0					
Start		Pay + Bene				District Instructional Coordinator	\$ 57,121.00	255	13	6119	00	999	6	30	0	00	Fund Trf: 100% to T1A (DIC split 42% T4A plus 58% T2A plus T1A if needed); update PAR from 289 to 255 FEB-26
NOGA									ļ	 						_	T4A at \$37,504 (SEP-JAN)
Revised/Final								<u> </u>		l						1	T2A at \$57,121 (FEB-AUG)
Amend								Ī							Ī		T1A if needed
NOGA Amend								†		ļ					1	†	
End				•••••			†····	†	······					 	 	 	
	ć 57.131.0	.					 		ļ		····					 	
Planning Amt	\$ 57,121.0	' 					ļ										
Carryover ≤ 25%										 						_	
Reallocation Amt		L						<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l	L			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Final Amt																	
Budget	\$ 57,121.0							†		ļ					1	†	
Expended	¢ .			•••••			†····	†	······					 	 	 	
_ ·	\$ 57,121.0	.		•••••			†	+	·····					 		 	<u> </u>
Balance																	
Intent	Supporting Effective	Budgeted				Use					Need						Strategy
	Instruction: Help school		\$(0 D	1 [District Instructional Coordinator	Provide leadership	in curriculu	m alignmen	t, instructio	nal support,	intervention	on design, a	and fidelity	of program		Provide supplemental instructional leadership to campus principals and instructional staff to ensure a coherent,
	with high concentration				3		implementation ac	ross campu	ses to raise	academic a	chievement	or all stud	ent groups.				aligned, and articulated instructional program that enables all students—particularly those from low-income
	of students from low-				4												families—to master the TEKS and meet state performance standards.
	income families provide	3															Key Initiatives Include:
	high-quality education																Implementation of standard lesson plans aligned with district instructional priorities
	enabling all children to		1														Strengthening Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) to support collaboration and data-driven
	meet the state's student		1														instruction
1	performance standards		1														Coaching and feedback cycles focused on improving instructional practices
			1														Ensuring consistent and fidelity-based implementation of the Revised Grading Policy and Attendance
1																	Plan
																	Ongoing Actions:
																	Collaborate regularly with principals and teachers to support instructional improvement
																	Conduct classroom observations and provide targeted feedback and modeling of best practices
																	Facilitate on-site coaching and technical assistance to enhance teacher effectiveness
																	Deliver data-driven professional development aligned to identified needs
																	Support Response to Intervention (RTI) implementation and design research-based instructional
																	interventions and enrichments to close learning gaps
25-	26 Title IV-A		Category			Description	Amount	Fund	Func	Obj	Sub Obj	Org	Fiscal	PIC	Local	Local	Note
25- Start	26 Title IV-A	Pay + Bene	Category		[Description District Instructional Coordinator	Amount \$ 37,504.00	Fund 289	Func 13	Obj 6119	Sub Obj	Org 999	Fiscal	PIC 30	Local	Local 00	Note Fund Trf: 100% to T1A (DIC split 42% T4A plus 58% T2A plus T1A if needed); update PAR from 289 to 255 FEB-26
	26 Title IV-A	Pay + Bene	Category]												
Start NOGA	26 Title IV-A	Pay + Bene	Category		1												Fund Trf: 100% to T1A (DIC split 42% T4A plus 58% T2A plus T1A if needed); update PAR from 289 to 255 FEB-26 T4A at \$37,504 (SEP-JAN)
Start NOGA Revised/Final	26 Title IV-A	Pay + Bene	Category														Fund Trf: 100% to T1A (DIC split 42% T4A plus 58% T2A plus T1A if needed); update PAR from 289 to 255 FEB-26 T4A at \$37,504 (SEP-JAN) T2A at \$57,121 (FEB-AUG)
Start NOGA Revised/Final Amend	26 Title IV-A	Pay + Bene	Category														Fund Trf: 100% to T1A (DIC split 42% T4A plus 58% T2A plus T1A if needed); update PAR from 289 to 255 FEB-26 T4A at \$37,504 (SEP-JAN)
Start NOGA Revised/Final Amend NOGA Amend	26 Title IV-A	Pay + Bene	Category														Fund Trf: 100% to T1A (DIC split 42% T4A plus 58% T2A plus T1A if needed); update PAR from 289 to 255 FEB-26 T4A at \$37,504 (SEP-JAN) T2A at \$57,121 (FEB-AUG)
Start NOGA Revised/Final Amend	26 Title IV-A	Pay + Bene	Category														Fund Trf: 100% to T1A (DIC split 42% T4A plus 58% T2A plus T1A if needed); update PAR from 289 to 255 FEB-26 T4A at \$37,504 (SEP-JAN) T2A at \$57,121 (FEB-AUG)
Start NOGA Revised/Final Amend NOGA Amend	\$ 37,504.0		Category]												Fund Trf: 100% to T1A (DIC split 42% T4A plus 58% T2A plus T1A if needed); update PAR from 289 to 255 FEB-26 T4A at \$37,504 (SEP-JAN) T2A at \$57,121 (FEB-AUG)
Start NOGA Revised/Final Amend NOGA Amend End Planning Amt			Category]												Fund Trf: 100% to T1A (DIC split 42% T4A plus 58% T2A plus T1A if needed); update PAR from 289 to 255 FEB-26 T4A at \$37,504 (SEP-JAN) T2A at \$57,121 (FEB-AUG)
Start NOGA Revised/Final Amend NOGA Amend End Planning Amt Carryover			Category]												Fund Trf: 100% to T1A (DIC split 42% T4A plus 58% T2A plus T1A if needed); update PAR from 289 to 255 FEB-26 T4A at \$37,504 (SEP-JAN) T2A at \$57,121 (FEB-AUG)
Start NOGA Revised/Final Amend NOGA Amend End Planning Amt Carryover Reallocation Amt			Category]												Fund Trf: 100% to T1A (DIC split 42% T4A plus 58% T2A plus T1A if needed); update PAR from 289 to 255 FEB-26 T4A at \$37,504 (SEP-JAN) T2A at \$57,121 (FEB-AUG)
Start NOGA Revised/Final Amend NOGA Amend End Planning Amt Carryover Reallocation Amt	\$ 37,504.0		Category		1												Fund Trf: 100% to T1A (DIC split 42% T4A plus 58% T2A plus T1A if needed); update PAR from 289 to 255 FEB-26 T4A at \$37,504 (SEP-JAN) T2A at \$57,121 (FEB-AUG)
Start NOGA Revised/Final Amend NOGA Amend End Planning Amt Carryover Reallocation Amt			Category														Fund Trf: 100% to T1A (DIC split 42% T4A plus 58% T2A plus T1A if needed); update PAR from 289 to 255 FEB-26 T4A at \$37,504 (SEP-JAN) T2A at \$57,121 (FEB-AUG)
Start NOGA Revised/Final Amend NOGA Amend End Planning Amt Carryover Reallocation Amt	\$ 37,504.0		Category		1												Fund Trf: 100% to T1A (DIC split 42% T4A plus 58% T2A plus T1A if needed); update PAR from 289 to 255 FEB-26 T4A at \$37,504 (SEP-JAN) T2A at \$57,121 (FEB-AUG)
Start NOGA Revised/Final Amend NOGA Amend End Planning Amt Carryover Reallocation Amt Final Amt Budget	\$ 37,504.0		Category														Fund Trf: 100% to T1A (DIC split 42% T4A plus 58% T2A plus T1A if needed); update PAR from 289 to 255 FEB-26 T4A at \$37,504 (SEP-JAN) T2A at \$57,121 (FEB-AUG)
Start NOGA Revised/Final Amend NOGA Amend End Planning Amt Carryover Reallocation Amt Final Amt Budget Expended	\$ 37,504.0 \$ 37,504.0 \$ -			Lvi		District Instructional Coordinator											Fund Trf: 100% to T1A (DIC split 42% T4A plus 58% T2A plus T1A if needed); update PAR from 289 to 255 FEB-26 T4A at \$37,504 (SEP-JAN) T2A at \$57,121 (FEB-AUG)
Start NOGA Revised/Final Amend NOGA Amend End Planning Amt Carryover Reallocation Amt Final Amt Budget Expended Balance	\$ 37,504.0 \$ 37,504.0 \$ - \$ 37,504.0			Lvi	PS		\$ 37,504.00	289	13	6119	00 O	999	6	30	0	00	Fund Trf: 100% to T1A (DIC split 42% T4A plus 58% T2A plus T1A if needed); update PAR from 289 to 255 FEB-26 T4A at \$37,504 (SEP-JAN) T2A at \$57,121 (FEB-AUG) T1A if needed Strategy
Start NOGA Revised/Final Amend NOGA Amend End Planning Amt Carryover Reallocation Amt Final Amt Budget Expended Balance	\$ 37,504.0 \$ 37,504.0 \$ - \$ 37,504.0 Student Support and				PS	District Instructional Coordinator	\$ 37,504.00	289	13	6119	00 Need	999 interventic	6	30	0	00	Fund Trf: 100% to T1A (DIC split 42% T4A plus 58% T2A plus T1A if needed); update PAR from 289 to 255 FEB-26 T4A at \$37,504 (SEP-JAN) T2A at \$57,121 (FEB-AUG) T1A if needed Strategy Provide supplemental instructional leadership to campus principals and instructional staff to ensure a coherent,
Start NOGA Revised/Final Amend NOGA Amend End Planning Amt Carryover Reallocation Amt Final Amt Budget Expended Balance	\$ 37,504.0 \$ 37,504.0 \$ 37,504.0 \$ 5 \$ 37,504.0 Student Support and Academic Enrichment				PS	District Instructional Coordinator	\$ 37,504.00	289	13	6119	00 Need	999 interventic	6	30	0	00	Fund Trf: 100% to T1A (DIC split 42% T4A plus 58% T2A plus T1A if needed); update PAR from 289 to 255 FEB-26 T4A at \$37,504 (SEP-JAN) T2A at \$57,121 (FEB-AUG) T1A if needed Strategy Provide supplemental instructional leadership to campus principals and instructional staff to ensure a coherent, aligned, and articulated instructional program that enables all students—particularly those from low-income
Start NOGA Revised/Final Amend NOGA Amend End Planning Amt Carryover Reallocation Amt Final Amt Budget Expended Balance	\$ 37,504.0 \$ 37,504.0 \$ - \$ \$ 37,504.0 Student Support and Academic Enrichment Grants: Improve				PS 1 [3	District Instructional Coordinator	\$ 37,504.00	289	13	6119	00 Need	999 interventic	6	30	0	00	Fund Trf: 100% to T1A (DIC split 42% T4A plus 58% T2A plus T1A if needed); update PAR from 289 to 255 FEB-26 T4A at \$37,504 (SEP-JAN) T2A at \$57,121 (FEB-AUG) T1A if needed Strategy Provide supplemental instructional leadership to campus principals and instructional staff to ensure a coherent, aligned, and articulated instructional program that enables all students—particularly those from low-income families—to master the TEKS and meet state performance standards.
Start NOGA Revised/Final Amend NOGA Amend End Planning Amt Carryover Reallocation Amt Final Amt Budget Expended Balance	\$ 37,504.0 \$ 37,504.0 \$ 37,504.0 Student Support and Academic Enrichment Grants: Improve students' academic achievement by	Budgeted			PS 1 [3	District Instructional Coordinator	\$ 37,504.00	289	13	6119	00 Need	999 interventic	6	30	0	00	Fund Trf: 100% to T1A (DIC split 42% T4A plus 58% T2A plus T1A if needed); update PAR from 289 to 255 FEB-26 T4A at \$37,504 (SEP-JAN) T2A at \$57,121 (FEB-AUG) T1A if needed Strategy Provide supplemental instructional leadership to campus principals and instructional staff to ensure a coherent, aligned, and articulated instructional program that enables all students—particularly those from low-income families—to master the TEKS and meet state performance standards. Key Initiatives Include:
Start NOGA Revised/Final Amend NOGA Amend End Planning Amt Carryover Reallocation Amt Final Amt Budget Expended Balance	\$ 37,504.0 \$ 37,504.0 \$ 37,504.0 \$ 40,000 \$ 50,0	Budgeted			PS 1 [3	District Instructional Coordinator	\$ 37,504.00	289	13	6119	00 Need	999 interventic	6	30	0	00	Fund Trf: 100% to T1A (DIC split 42% T4A plus 58% T2A plus T1A if needed); update PAR from 289 to 255 FEB-26 T4A at \$37,504 (SEP-JAN) T2A at \$57,121 (FEB-AUG) T1A if needed Strategy Provide supplemental instructional leadership to campus principals and instructional staff to ensure a coherent, aligned, and articulated instructional program that enables all students—particularly those from low-income families—to master the TEKS and meet state performance standards. Key Initiatives Include: Implementation of standard lesson plans aligned with district instructional priorities
Start NOGA Revised/Final Amend NOGA Amend End Planning Amt Carryover Reallocation Amt Final Amt Budget Expended Balance	\$ 37,504.0 \$ 37,504.0 \$ -\$ \$ 37,504.0 Student Support and Academic Enrichment Grants: Improve students' academic achievement by increasing the capacity the district to provide	Budgeted			PS 1 [3	District Instructional Coordinator	\$ 37,504.00	289	13	6119	00 Need	999 interventic	6	30	0	00	Fund Trf: 100% to T1A (DIC split 42% T4A plus 58% T2A plus T1A if needed); update PAR from 289 to 255 FEB-26 T4A at \$37,504 (SEP-JAN) T2A at \$57,121 (FEB-AUG) T1A if needed Strategy Provide supplemental instructional leadership to campus principals and instructional staff to ensure a coherent, aligned, and articulated instructional program that enables all students—particularly those from low-income families—to master the TEKS and meet state performance standards. Key Initiatives Include: Implementation of standard lesson plans aligned with district instructional priorities Strengthening Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) to support collaboration and data-driven
Start NOGA Revised/Final Amend NOGA Amend End Planning Amt Carryover Reallocation Amt Final Amt Budget Expended Balance	\$ 37,504.0 \$ 37,504.0 \$ -\$ 37,504.0 Student Support and Academic Enrichment Grants: Improve students' academic achievement by increasing the capacity of the district to provide access to and	Budgeted			PS 1 [3	District Instructional Coordinator	\$ 37,504.00	289	13	6119	00 Need	999 interventic	6	30	0	00	Fund Trf: 100% to T1A (DIC split 42% T4A plus 58% T2A plus T1A if needed); update PAR from 289 to 255 FEB-26 T4A at \$37,504 (SEP-JAN) T2A at \$57,121 (FEB-AUG) T1A if needed Strategy Provide supplemental instructional leadership to campus principals and instructional staff to ensure a coherent, aligned, and articulated instructional program that enables all students—particularly those from low-income families—to master the TEKS and meet state performance standards. Key Initiatives Include: Implementation of standard lesson plans aligned with district instructional priorities Strengthening Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) to support collaboration and data-driven instruction
Start NOGA Revised/Final Amend NOGA Amend End Planning Amt Carryover Reallocation Amt Final Amt Budget Expended Balance	\$ 37,504.0 \$ 37,504.0 \$ 37,504.0 Student Support and Academic Enrichment Grants: Improve students' academic achievement by increasing the capacity of the district to provide access to and opportunities for a well-	Budgeted St			PS 1 [3	District Instructional Coordinator	\$ 37,504.00	289	13	6119	00 Need	999 interventic	6	30	0	00	Fund Trf: 100% to T1A (DIC split 42% T4A plus 58% T2A plus T1A if needed); update PAR from 289 to 255 FEB-26 T4A at \$37,504 (SEP-JAN) T2A at \$57,121 (FEB-AUG) T1A if needed Strategy Provide supplemental instructional leadership to campus principals and instructional staff to ensure a coherent, aligned, and articulated instructional program that enables all students—particularly those from low-income families—to master the TEKS and meet state performance standards. Key Initiatives Include: Implementation of standard lesson plans aligned with district instructional priorities Strengthening Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) to support collaboration and data-driven instruction Coaching and feedback cycles focused on improving instructional practices
Start NOGA Revised/Final Amend NOGA Amend End Planning Amt Carryover Reallocation Amt Final Amt Budget Expended Balance	\$ 37,504.0 \$ 37,504.0 \$ -\$ \$ 37,504.0 \$ Catudent Support and Academic Enrichment Grants: Improve students' academic achievement by increasing the capacity of the district to provide access to and opportunities for a well-rounded education for a conded	Budgeted			PS 1 [3	District Instructional Coordinator	\$ 37,504.00	289	13	6119	00 Need	999 interventic	6	30	0	00	Fund Trf: 100% to T1A (DIC split 42% T4A plus 58% T2A plus T1A if needed); update PAR from 289 to 255 FEB-26 T4A at \$37,504 (SEP-JAN) T2A at \$57,121 (FEB-AUG) T1A if needed Strategy Provide supplemental instructional leadership to campus principals and instructional staff to ensure a coherent, aligned, and articulated instructional program that enables all students—particularly those from low-income families—to master the TEKS and meet state performance standards. Key Initiatives Include: Implementation of standard lesson plans aligned with district instructional priorities Strengthening Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) to support collaboration and data-driven instruction Coaching and feedback cycles focused on improving instructional practices Ensuring consistent and fidelity-based implementation of the Revised Grading Policy and Attendance
Start NOGA Revised/Final Amend NOGA Amend End Planning Amt Carryover Reallocation Amt Final Amt Budget Expended Balance	\$ 37,504.0 \$ 37,504.0 \$ 37,504.0 \$ 37,504.0 \$ tudent Support and Academic Enrichment Grants: Improve students' academic achievement by increasing the capacity the district to provide access to and opportunities for a well-rounded education for students, improve school students, improve school	Budgeted			PS 1 [3	District Instructional Coordinator	\$ 37,504.00	289	13	6119	00 Need	999 interventic	6	30	0	00	Fund Trf: 100% to T1A (DIC split 42% T4A plus 58% T2A plus T1A if needed); update PAR from 289 to 255 FEB-26 T4A at \$37,504 (SEP-JAN) T2A at \$57,121 (FEB-AUG) T1A if needed Strategy Provide supplemental instructional leadership to campus principals and instructional staff to ensure a coherent, aligned, and articulated instructional program that enables all students—particularly those from low-income families—to master the TEKS and meet state performance standards. Key Initiatives Include: Implementation of standard lesson plans aligned with district instructional priorities Strengthening Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) to support collaboration and data-driven instruction Coaching and feedback cycles focused on improving instructional practices Ensuring consistent and fidelity-based implementation of the Revised Grading Policy and Attendance Plan
Start NOGA Revised/Final Amend NOGA Amend End Planning Amt Carryover Reallocation Amt Final Amt Budget Expended Balance	\$ 37,504.0 \$ 37,504.0 \$ 37,504.0 Student Support and Academic Enrichment Grants: Improve students' academic achievement by increasing the capacity of the district to provide access to and opportunities for a well- rounded education for a students, improve scho conditions in order to	Budgeted St			PS 1 [3	District Instructional Coordinator	\$ 37,504.00	289	13	6119	00 Need	999 interventic	6	30	0	00	Fund Trf: 100% to T1A (DIC split 42% T4A plus 58% T2A plus T1A if needed); update PAR from 289 to 255 FEB-26 T4A at \$37,504 (SEP-JAN) T2A at \$57,121 (FEB-AUG) T1A if needed Strategy Provide supplemental instructional leadership to campus principals and instructional staff to ensure a coherent, aligned, and articulated instructional program that enables all students—particularly those from low-income families—to master the TEKS and meet state performance standards. Key Initiatives Include: Implementation of standard lesson plans aligned with district instructional priorities Strengthening Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) to support collaboration and data-driven instruction • Coaching and feedback cycles focused on improving instructional practices • Ensuring consistent and fidelity-based implementation of the Revised Grading Policy and Attendance Plan Ongoing Actions:
Start NOGA Revised/Final Amend NOGA Amend End Planning Amt Carryover Reallocation Amt Final Amt Budget Expended Balance	\$ 37,504.0 \$ 37,504.0 \$ 37,504.0 Student Support and Academic Enrichment Grants: Improve students' academic achievement by increasing the capacity of the district to provide access to and opportunities for a well-rounded education for a students, improve school conditions in order to create a healthy and safe	Budgeted			PS 1 [3	District Instructional Coordinator	\$ 37,504.00	289	13	6119	00 Need	999 interventic	6	30	0	00	Fund Trf: 100% to T1A (DIC split 42% T4A plus 58% T2A plus T1A if needed); update PAR from 289 to 255 FEB-26 T4A at \$37,504 (SEP-JAN) T2A at \$57,121 (FEB-AUG) T1A if needed Strategy Provide supplemental instructional leadership to campus principals and instructional staff to ensure a coherent, aligned, and articulated instructional program that enables all students—particularly those from low-income families—to master the TEKS and meet state performance standards. Key Initiatives Include: Implementation of standard lesson plans aligned with district instructional priorities Strengthening Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) to support collaboration and data-driven instruction Coaching and feedback cycles focused on improving instructional practices Ensuring consistent and fidelity-based implementation of the Revised Grading Policy and Attendance Plan Ongoing Actions: Collaborate regularly with principals and teachers to support instructional improvement
Start NOGA Revised/Final Amend NOGA Amend End Planning Amt Carryover Reallocation Amt Final Amt Budget Expended Balance	\$ 37,504.0 \$ 37,504.0 \$ 5 \$ 37,504.0 Student Support and Academic Enrichment Grants: Improve students' academic achievement by increasing the capacity of the district to provide access to and opportunities for a well-rounded education for a students, improve school conditions in order to create a healthy and saf school environment, and	Budgeted			PS 1 [3	District Instructional Coordinator	\$ 37,504.00	289	13	6119	00 Need	999 interventic	6	30	0	00	Fund Trf: 100% to T1A (DIC split 42% T4A plus 58% T2A plus T1A if needed); update PAR from 289 to 255 FEB-26 T4A at \$37,504 (SEP-JAN) T2A at \$57,121 (FEB-AUG) T1A if needed Strategy Provide supplemental instructional leadership to campus principals and instructional staff to ensure a coherent, aligned, and articulated instructional program that enables all students—particularly those from low-income families—to master the TEKS and meet state performance standards. Key Initiatives Include: Implementation of standard lesson plans aligned with district instructional priorities Strengthening Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) to support collaboration and data-driven instruction Coaching and feedback cycles focused on improving instructional practices Ensuring consistent and fidelity-based implementation of the Revised Grading Policy and Attendance Plan Ongoing Actions: Collaborate regularly with principals and teachers to support instructional improvement Conduct classroom observations and provide targeted feedback and modeling of best practices
Start NOGA Revised/Final Amend NOGA Amend End Planning Amt Carryover Reallocation Amt Final Amt Budget Expended Balance	\$ 37,504.0 \$ 37,504.0 \$ 37,504.0 \$ 37,504.0 \$ tudent Support and Academic Enrichment Grants: Improve students' academic achievement by increasing the capacity the district to provide access to and opportunities for a well-rounded education for a students, improve school conditions in order to create a healthy and safe school environment, and improve access to	Budgeted			PS 1 [3	District Instructional Coordinator	\$ 37,504.00	289	13	6119	00 Need	999 interventic	6	30	0	00	Fund Trf: 100% to T1A (DIC split 42% T4A plus 58% T2A plus T1A if needed); update PAR from 289 to 255 FEB-26 T4A at \$37,504 (SEP-JAN) T2A at \$57,121 (FEB-AUG) T1A if needed Strategy Provide supplemental instructional leadership to campus principals and instructional staff to ensure a coherent, aligned, and articulated instructional program that enables all students—particularly those from low-income families—to master the TEKS and meet state performance standards. Key Initiatives Include: Implementation of standard lesson plans aligned with district instructional priorities Strengthening Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) to support collaboration and data-driven instruction Coaching and feedback cycles focused on improving instructional practices Ensuring consistent and fidelity-based implementation of the Revised Grading Policy and Attendance Plan Ongoing Actions: Collaborate regularly with principals and teachers to support instructional improvement Conduct classroom observations and provide targeted feedback and modeling of best practices Facilitate on-site coaching and technical assistance to enhance teacher effectiveness
Start NOGA Revised/Final Amend NOGA Amend End Planning Amt Carryover Reallocation Amt Final Amt Budget Expended Balance	\$ 37,504.0 \$ 37,504.0 \$ 37,504.0 Student Support and Academic Enrichment Grants: Improve students' academic achievement by increasing the capacity of the district to provide access to and opportunities for a well-rounded education for a students, improve school conditions in order to create a healthy and saf school environment, an improve access to technology in the	Budgeted			PS 1 [3	District Instructional Coordinator	\$ 37,504.00	289	13	6119	00 Need	999 interventic	6	30	0	00	Fund Trf: 100% to T1A (DIC split 42% T4A plus 58% T2A plus T1A if needed); update PAR from 289 to 255 FEB-26 T4A at \$37,504 (SEP-JAN) T2A at \$57,121 (FEB-AUG) T1A if needed Strategy Provide supplemental instructional leadership to campus principals and instructional staff to ensure a coherent, aligned, and articulated instructional program that enables all students—particularly those from low-income families—to master the TEKS and meet state performance standards. Key Initiatives include: Implementation of standard lesson plans aligned with district instructional priorities Strengthening Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) to support collaboration and data-driven instruction Coaching and feedback cycles focused on improving instructional practices Ensuring consistent and fidelity-based implementation of the Revised Grading Policy and Attendance Plan Ongoing Actions: Collaborate regularly with principals and teachers to support instructional improvement Conduct classroom observations and provide targeted feedback and modeling of best practices Facilitate on-site coaching and technical assistance to enhance teacher effectiveness Deliver data-driven professional development aligned to identified needs
Start NOGA Revised/Final Amend NOGA Amend End Planning Amt Carryover Reallocation Amt Final Amt Budget Expended Balance	\$ 37,504.0 \$ 37,504.0 \$ 37,504.0 \$ 37,504.0 \$ tudent Support and Academic Enrichment Grants: Improve students' academic achievement by increasing the capacity the district to provide access to and opportunities for a well-rounded education for a students, improve school conditions in order to create a healthy and safe school environment, and improve access to	Budgeted			PS 1 [3	District Instructional Coordinator	\$ 37,504.00	289	13	6119	00 Need	999 interventic	6	30	0	00	Fund Trf: 100% to T1A (DIC split 42% T4A plus 58% T2A plus T1A if needed); update PAR from 289 to 255 FEB-26 T4A at \$37,504 (SEP-JAN) T2A at \$57,121 (FEB-AUG) T1A if needed Strategy Provide supplemental instructional leadership to campus principals and instructional staff to ensure a coherent, aligned, and articulated instructional program that enables all students—particularly those from low-income families—to master the TEKS and meet state performance standards. Key Initiatives Include: Implementation of standard lesson plans aligned with district instructional priorities Strengthening Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) to support collaboration and data-driven instruction Coaching and feedback cycles focused on improving instructional practices Ensuring consistent and fidelity-based implementation of the Revised Grading Policy and Attendance Plan Ongoing Actions: Collaborate regularly with principals and teachers to support instructional improvement Conduct classroom observations and provide targeted feedback and modeling of best practices Facilitate on-site coaching and technical assistance to enhance teacher effectiveness Deliver data-driven professional development aligned to identified needs Support Response to Intervention (RTI) implementation and design research-based instructional
Start NOGA Revised/Final Amend NOGA Amend End Planning Amt Carryover Reallocation Amt Final Amt Budget Expended Balance	\$ 37,504.0 \$ 37,504.0 \$ 37,504.0 Student Support and Academic Enrichment Grants: Improve students' academic achievement by increasing the capacity of the district to provide access to and opportunities for a well-rounded education for a students, improve school conditions in order to create a healthy and saf school environment, an improve access to technology in the	Budgeted			PS 1 [3	District Instructional Coordinator	\$ 37,504.00	289	13	6119	00 Need	999 interventic	6	30	0	00	Fund Trf: 100% to T1A (DIC split 42% T4A plus 58% T2A plus T1A if needed); update PAR from 289 to 255 FEB-26 T4A at \$37,504 (SEP-JAN) T2A at \$57,121 (FEB-AUG) T1A if needed Strategy Provide supplemental instructional leadership to campus principals and instructional staff to ensure a coherent, aligned, and articulated instructional program that enables all students—particularly those from low-income families—to master the TEKS and meet state performance standards. Key Initiatives include: Implementation of standard lesson plans aligned with district instructional priorities Strengthening Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) to support collaboration and data-driven instruction Coaching and feedback cycles focused on improving instructional practices Ensuring consistent and fidelity-based implementation of the Revised Grading Policy and Attendance Plan Ongoing Actions: Collaborate regularly with principals and teachers to support instructional improvement Conduct classroom observations and provide targeted feedback and modeling of best practices Facilitate on-site coaching and technical assistance to enhance teacher effectiveness Deliver data-driven professional development aligned to identified needs

25_2	6 IDEA-B		Category		Description	Amount	Fund	Func	Obj	Sub Obj	Org	Fiscal	PIC	Local	Local	Note
Start			rox \$43K/camp, \$387	'K	Associate Teacher SPED	\$ 229,583.00	224	11	6129	00	999	6	23	0	00	Update PAR from 224 to 420 if needed EOY (approx \$21K * 11 mos or thru JUL-2026)
							+			+		+				opuate PAN Holli 224 to 420 if fleeded LOT (approx 321K - 11 mos of third 301-2020)
NOGA		Contracted Sen			E-Therapy	\$ 18,000.00	224	31	6219	00	999	6	23	0	00	
Revised/Final		Contracted Sen			DHH - Auditory Impairment Svcs	\$ 2,000.00	224	31	6219	00	999	6	23	0	00	
Amend		Contracted Sen			LanguageLine Interpretation Services	\$ 500.00	224	31	6219	00	999	6	23	0	00	
NOGA Amend		Contracted Sen	vices		National Vision Services	\$ 10,000.00	224	31	6219	00	999	6	23	0	00	
End		ESC Services				\$ -	224	31	6239	00	999	6	23	0	00	
Planning Amt	\$ 261,683.00	Supplies			Testing/Evaluations	\$ 100.00	224	31	6339	00	999	6	23	0	00	
Carryover ≤ 25%		Employee Trave	el		PD and Collaboration/Feedback	\$ 1,500.00	224	31	6411	00	999	6	23	0	00	
Revised/Final Amt	•						†·····			†			†		 	
	\$ 261,683.00						 	······		ł		 	+		 	
Budget	201,083.00						 			∤			-		 	
Expended	\$ -												4			
Balance	\$ 261,683.00											ļ				
Intent	To identify and provide a															
	free appropriate public	Budgeted	Expended Lvl	PS	Use					Need						Strategy
	education to students	\$229,583	\$0 C	1	SPED Associate Teacher	Improve academic	outcomes f	or students	receiving sp	oecial educa	tion service	es—particu	larly those r	not meeting	grade-leve	Enhance school improvement efforts by expanding capacity to deliver inclusion support and differentiated
	with disabilities ages 3-21			3									across cam	instruction, ensuring compliance with IEP requirements, and closing achievement gaps for students with disabilities		
	who are eligible for													by implementing key actions:		
	special education and					consistent and responsive delivery of specially designed instruction. Their presence ensures that instructional time is								Facilitate regular collaboration between SPED ATs and certified SPED teachers to deliver aligned		
	related services; protect					protected and that individualized supports can be provided in alignment with IEPs, intervention goals, and schoolwide									instruction and IEP services with fidelity, ensure accommodations are implemented, and maintain	
	the rights of students					academic targets.									timely documentation	
1	with disabilities and the															Support small-group and inclusive instruction, academic interventions aligned with RTI, and classroom
	rights of their parents;															coverage to protect instructional time
1	and design individualized															
	education programs that	\$30,000	\$0 C	1	Contracted services: E-Therapy, Auditory	Ensure students wi										Implement targeted strategies for delivering contracted special education services to ensure compliance with IDEA,
	meet the needs of			3	Impairment, and Visual Impairment Services	qualified staff are r										support Free Appropriate Public Education (FAPE), promote equitable access to the general curriculum, and closing
	students with disabilities			4		inclusion, and help	close achie	vement gap	s—key prio	rities for bo	th IDEA cor	mpliance ar	nd improved	d student ou	tcomes.	achievement gaps for students with specialized needs to advance school improvement. Key actions:
	and that prepare those															Strategic coordination to identify and address staffing gaps through needs assessments; integrate
	students for further															contracted services into the ARD/IEP process; establish contracts with certified, IDEA-compliant service
	education, employment,															providers
	and independent living															Timely and aligned service delivery by ensuring services begin promptly according to each student's IEP;
																collaborate with campus teams to coordinate scheduling and implement services with minimal
																disruption to core instruction; maintain accurate, ongoing documentation using Frontline platform
																Capacity building and professional development ongoing training for special education teachers,
																general education staff, and instructional aides on supporting students receiving specialized services;
																including best practices for partnering with virtual providers and reinforcing service goals within the
																classroom setting
																Ongoing monitoring and evaluation by regularly reviewing service delivery records, IEP goal progress,
																and student performance data to evaluate service effectiveness and inform instructional and
																programmatic adjustments
	•	\$500	\$0 C	1	Contracted services: LanguageLine	Ensure meaningful	participatio	n of all fam	ilios in tho	Admission F	louiour and	d Diemiesal	(ABD) proce	occ ocnocial	u naronte	Strongthon the hame school partnership and fector more assurate collaborative decision making ultimately leading
		\$500	\$0 C	1	Contracted services: LanguageLine	-										Strengthen the home-school partnership and foster more accurate, collaborative decision-making, ultimately leading
				3		or guardians with I										to better academic and behavioral outcomes for students with disabilities by promoting equity and access for English
				4		families fully under			_				tion include	es ieps, spel	,	learner (EL) families of students served in special education. Key actions:
						documents, progre	ss reports, i	eport cards	s, parent na	nabooks, an	a consent i	Torms				Ensure parental involvement, which is a required component under Title I, Part A and IDEA Involved ICE involvement in fidelity, as acceptance between price of the college and in developing.
1																Improve IEP implementation fidelity, as parents are better equipped to collaborate in developing appropriate educational goals and convices.
1																appropriate educational goals and services
1																Support legal compliance with federal mandates, including IDEA and Title VI of the Civil Rights Act
1																
1		\$0	\$0 C	1	ESC-4 Dyslexia Intervention Fundamentals with	Fulfill state and fed	leral expect	ations for d	vslexia servi	ices, strenøt	hen RTI ins	truction. ar	nd contribut	te to improv	ing reading	Implement evidence-based, systematic intervention to directly address the needs of students with characteristics of
1		70	"	1	Reading by Design	outcomes for stude	-		-	_					-	dyslexia and related reading difficulties, many of whom are also served in special education or are identified as at-risk
1					0-1	l state						,	p. 044		-	under Title I. Key actions:
1																Train and certify all RBD instructors (SPED Teachers) through ESC 4's Dyslexia Intervention Fundamentals
1																and provide ongoing coaching and PD to ensure curriculum fidelity:
1																- Learn the fundamentals of dyslexia intervention through a review of Texas dyslexia laws and current
1																research, as well as best practices in dyslexia instruction
1																- Delve into evidence-based components of instruction and explore continuums for developing reading
1																ability in students with dyslexia
1																- Learn how to deliver daily intervention utilizing Reading by Design for small group instruction.
																Use screening tools and dyslexia indicators to identify eligible students and involve ARD/IEP and RTI
1																teams in placement decisions and ensure services are documented in IEPs
1																Schedule uninterrupted, daily RBD sessions (45–60 minutes) and deliver instruction in structured, low-
1																distraction settings
																Ensure lesson fidelity by conducting regular walkthroughs and provide feedback to instructors
1																Ensure lesson fidelity by conducting regular waikthroughs and provide feedback to instructors Monitor student growth using RBD assessments and local diagnostics and share data in ARD/504/RTI
1																Monitor student growth using KBD assessments and local diagnostics and share data in AKD/504/KII meetings and adjust instruction accordingly
1																meetings and adjust instruction accordingly
L	Į.			Ь	1	l										<u> </u>

25-26 ID	EA-B cont'd.	Budgeted	Expended Lvl	PS	Use	Need										Strategy
		\$0	\$0 C	1	ESC Services	ESC support is ess students identifie					delity of imp	plementatio	on, and imp	proving outo	comes for	PD activities:
		\$100	\$0 C	1	Testing and Evaluations	Implement vision referral process.	and hearin	g screenings	by medicall	y certified p	ersonnel as	a foundati	onal step ir	the special	education	Embed evaluations into a comprehensive, data-driven child-find and referral process to strengthen early identification systems, comply with legal mandates, and ensure students receive the appropriate educational supports that align with their actual needs—advancing overall school improvement efforts. Assessments help to rule out sensory impairments as the root cause of academic or behavioral difficulties, ensure accurate and appropriate referrals for special education evaluations and services, promote early intervention and prevent misidentification or over-identification of students for SPED services, and support equitable access to instructional resources and interventions by addressing physical health barriers that may impact learning.
		\$1,500	\$0 C	1 2 3 4	Targeted Professional Development with Travel Support to Strengthen Instructional Capacity	Ensure equitable to the district's cu					-	el for in-pe	rson trainir	g and coacl	ning aligned	Prioritize travel funding for professional development aligned to school improvement priorities, including differentiated instruction, intervention planning, and support for low-income, SPED, and EB students. Support staff attendance at TEA-supported and ESC-led trainings in ESF-aligned focus areas such as data-driven instruction, lesson alignment, school culture, and turnaround support. Additionally, fund travel for campus teams to attend content-specific training as well as for District Instructional Coordinators to provide on-site coaching and follow-up. Participants are required to share learning through PLCs, modeling, or campus PD.
SCE	(PIC 30)		Category		Description	Amount	Fund	_		Sub Obj	Org	Fiscal	PIC	Local	Local	Note
Summary Finances	\$ 1,708,599.00	Pay + Bene			Associate Teachers SCE	\$ 950,000.00	420	13	6119	00	999	6	30	0	00	
Budget (spend 55%)	\$ 939,729.45	Pay + Bene			ESL Teacher/Coordinator		420	11	6119	00	999	6	30	0	00	
Expended	l ⁻	Pay + Bene			DIC		420	13	6119	00	999	6	30	0	00	
Balance	\$ 934,479.45	Pay + Bene			Director Special Projects		420	13	6119	00	999	6	30	0	00	
Intent	To reduce disparity in	Pay + Bene			District Counseling Coordinator		420	13	6119	00	999	6	30	0	00	
	performance on	Contract				\$ 10,000.00	420	11	6239	00	XXX	6	30	0	00	ESC Advancing Educational Leadership \$450
		ESC-20 Commiti	ment 24-25 SY		Eduphoria SchoolObjects Premium (staff use)	\$ 15,075.00	420	11	6239	00	XXX	6	30	0	00	23-24 Eduphoria teacher apps 50% of \$25,740 plus \$800 fee; 50% less \$800 fee to IMA 410
	or disparity in the rates of	ESC-20 Commiti	ment 24-25 SY		TEKS Resource System w/Guides	\$ 13,568.00	420	11	6239	00	XXX	6	30	0	00	TEKS Resource System 1591*6.75 plus fees
	high school completion between educationally	Supplies			Education Advanced TestHound	\$ 5,250.00		11	6397	00	XXX	6	30	0	00	TH 25-26 subscription renewal plus Auto Pilot and Performance Tracker toolkits
	disadvantaged students,	Supplies			Instructure Canvas	\$ 42,000.00		11	6397	00	XXX	6	30	0	00	Strengthen PFE
	at-risk students, and all	Supplies				\$ 290,000.00		11	6397	00	XXX	6	30	0	00	pd thru 24-25 SY w/ESSER III; Fund 420 for 25-26 SY
	other students				Edgenuity					+						
		Supplies			General supplies	\$ 10,000.00		13	6397	00	999	6	30	0	00	Technology software Wiris Math Type SaaS renewal \$1,130
		Employee Trave			PD and Collaboration/Feedback	\$ 10,000.00	420	13	6400	00	999	6	30	0	00	
												ļ				
		Budgeted	Expended Lvl	PS	Use					Need						Strategy
		\$950,000	c	1 3	Associate Teachers SCE	Improve academi Teachers to suppi components of th Education.	ort the deliv	ery of accel	erated instru	iction and t	argeted aca	demic inter	ventions, v	vhich are es	sential	Enhance school improvement efforts by providing small-group support, assist with the implementation of intervention plans, and help maintain instructional continuity by supporting classroom coverage as needed allowing Distance Teachers to focus more intensively on differentiated instruction and ensure that instructional time is maximized for at-risk students.
	C 1 ESL Teachers						apports lan	guage acqui	s identified a sition, acade andards and	mic vocabu	ary develo	oment, and		Provide instructional support and data-driven interventions for EB students by integrating EL-specific strategies into the core instructional program. Action Steps: • Provide targeted language instruction and small-group academic interventions • Collaborate with core content teachers to support integrated language development across subjects • Participate in and support LPAC meetings and the ongoing monitoring of EL progress • Support TELPAS growth goals and contribute to data-driven instructional planning • Co-lead professional development for staff on sheltered instruction strategies • Monitor students enrolled in the Optional Flexible School Day Program (OFSDP) to ensure alignment with instructional goals and academic progress		

SCE (PIC 30) cont'd.	Budgeted	Expended Lvl	PS Use	Need	Strategy
		D	1 District Instructional Coordinator 3 4	Provide leadership in curriculum alignment, instructional support, intervention design, and fidelity of program implementation across campuses to raise academic achievement for all student groups.	Provide supplemental instructional leadership to campus principals and instructional staff to ensure a coherent, aligned, and articulated instructional program that enables all students—particularly those from low-income families—to master the TEKS and meet state performance standards. Key Initiatives: Implementation of standard lesson plans aligned with district instructional priorities Strengthening Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) to support collaboration and data-driven instruction Coaching and feedback cycles focused on improving instructional practices Insuring consistent and fidelity-based implementation of the Revised Grading Policy and Attendance Plan Ongoing Actions: Collaborate regularly with principals and teachers to support instructional improvement Conduct classroom observations and provide targeted feedback and modeling of best practices Facilitate on-site coaching and technical assistance to enhance teacher effectiveness Deliver data-driven professional development aligned to identified needs Support Response to Intervention (RTI) implementation and design research-based instructional interventions and enrichments to close learning gaps
		D	Director Federal Programs, Assessment, and Accountability 3	Centralized oversight to ensure efficient use of resources, improved academic outcomes for at-risk students, and stronger alignment with TEA requirements for both federal and SCE-funded activities.	Oversee the effective use of federal and state funds in support of students identified as at risk of academic failure through key initiatives: • Ensure compliance and strategic alignment of federal and SCE funds with school improvement plan • Lead the analysis of student performance data (including STAAR, TELPAS, and benchmark assessments) to guide data-driven decisions that improve instruction for at-risk students • Coordinate targeted interventions, accelerated instruction, and academic support programs funded through SCE and federal programs • Facilitate ongoing monitoring of student progress, including at-risk indicators, to support closing achievement gaps • Support campuses in developing and implementing effective accountability and improvement strategies • Ensure the school improvement plan and federal grant applications are aligned to meet the instructional needs of at-risk and underserved student groups
		D	District Counseling Coordinator District Counseling Coordinator District Counseling Coordinator	Centralized counseling coordination and support to ensure consistent data-informed services that directly address the needs of at-risk students, helping to close achievement gaps and promote academic success, school engagement, and graduation.	Support the academic, behavioral, and social-emotional needs of at-risk students through key initiatives: Coordinate and support campus counselors in the delivery of services targeted at students identified as at risk of academic failure, dropout, or not meeting state assessment standards Develop and implement comprehensive counseling programs that include academic planning, mental health support, crisis response, and college/career readiness—all essential for improving student outcomes Analyze data related to student attendance, discipline, course performance, and graduation pathways to help identify at-risk students early and ensure timely intervention Support the implementation of attendance plan and dropout prevention initiatives and services
	\$15,075	c	1 Academic Program: Eduphoria (teacher apps) 3 4	An integrated system to strengthen every aspect of the school day, from lesson planning to monitoring student progress, streamlining administrative duties, and providing a collaborative platform for teachers and administrators.	Support school improvement efforts through key initiatives: Provide a centralized platform for formative and benchmark assessments, allowing teachers and administrators to analyze student performance data in real time; assessment building is aligned with TEKSbank Test Genrator Commitment with ESC-20 Support data-driven instruction by identifying learning gaps among at-risk student groups, including English learners, students receiving special education services, and those identified under State Compensatory Education Streamline the use of lesson planning tools that align with the TEKS and promote consistent, high-quality instruction Facilitate professional development management, including tracking of required training, teacher self-reflection, and evaluation processes aligned with T-TESS; utilize T-TESS aligned classroom observation template Enable campus and district leadership to monitor progress toward academic achievement goals and effectively support instructional coaching cycles
	\$5,250	5 \$5,250 D C	Compliance Program: Education Advanced TestHound	Enhance testing efficiency and compliance to support data accuracy and strengthen accountability outcomes by ensuring that all eligible students—especially those at risk—receive the supports they need during assessments.	Support effective test coordination at the district and campus levels to improve assessment processes and outcomes: • Automate STAAR scheduling and accommodations tracking to reduce errors and promote equity • Ensure accurate assignment of accommodations for special education, 504, and at-risk students • Use real-time data and reporting tools for improved oversight of participation, compliance, and completion • Free up campus and district leaders to focus on instruction and student support during testing periods by streamlining logistics

SCE (PIC 30) cont'd. Budge	eted Ex	pended L	.vl I	PS Use	Need	Strategy
\$29	290,000		С			Promote credit recovery, afford students the opportunity to make up work lost due to absence, and prepare students to pass the state STAAR end of course tests by: Providing rigorous, TEKS-aligned digital content to ensure students stay on track for graduation Offering flexible learning options for students enrolled in Optional Flexible School Day Programs (OFSDP) or with non-traditional scheduling needs Enabling credit recovery and course remediation, reducing dropout risk and improving graduation rates Supporting differentiated instruction through personalized pacing, helping meet the unique needs of diverse learners Allowing for data monitoring and progress tracking, which helps staff intervene early and make informed instructional decisions
\$4	\$42,000		С	Academic Program: Canvas Learning Management System		To strengthen family involvement and support student success, provide parents with real-time access to their child's coursework, grades, and teacher feedback. This transparency empowers families to stay actively informed and engaged in their student's learning. Key family engagement strategies: Teachers regularly post class content, calendars with due dates, resources, assignments, and personalized feedback Students submit assignments and view teacher comments, promoting accountability Parents monitor their child's progress by reviewing assignments, grades, and class schedules, fostering ongoing communication and support at home enhancing meaningful family engagement

TITLE 1. PART A STATUTORILY REQUIRED DESCRIPTIONS

n accordance with Section 1112(b) of the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA), to ensure students receive a high-quality education and to close the achievement gap between students who meet the challenging State academic standards and those who do not, the School Improvement Plan includes the following required descriptions:

Description [Section 1112(b) (1-13)]

Evidence

wormtor students progress in meeting the chanenging state academic standards by. 1) developing and implementing a well-rounded program
of instruction to meet the academic needs of all students; 2) identifying students who may be at risk for academic failure; 3) providing
additional educational assistance to individual students the LEA or school determines need help in meeting the challenging State academic
standards; and 4) identifying and implementing instructional and other strategies intended to strengthen academic programs and improve
school conditions for student learning [Section 1112(b)(1)]

Comprehensive system of data-driven instruction and monitoring:

- · Classroom Observations and PLCs: Regular instructional walk-throughs and teacher collaboration in PLCs used to review student progress, analyze assessment data, and adjust instruction as needed.
- Local Goal-Oriented Metrics: Student progress monitored through multiple measures, including attendance, course grades, and performance on local and State assessments, in alignment with the district's datadriven instructional model.
- Accelerated Instruction Support: In compliance with HB 4545, students identified for accelerated instruction are supported through Paper Tutoring (possible change to Tutor.com from Princeton), using data from the Ascender Test Roster to ensure targeted interventions
- Instructional and Compliance Tools: Programs such as Study Island, Frontline, and Lead4ward are utilized to support differentiated instruction, progress monitoring, and compliance with instructional best

Identify and address any disparities that results in low-income students and minority students being taught at higher rates than other students by ineffective, inexperienced, or out-of-field teachers

Disparities related to ineffective, inexperienced, or out-of-field teachers are not applicable in the traditional sense, as each of the district's nine campuses operates as a single-site campus within its respective geographic location, limiting otra-campus comparison. However, the district remains committed to equity and instructional quality. Through the Equity Plan, disparities in student performance—based on grades, local benchmarks, and state assessments—are nonitored by student group using goal-oriented metrics. Aligned with the District Strategic Plan, a key objective is to increase the percentage of experienced, effective teachers across all campuses to improve instructional outcomes and lose achievement gaps

Carry out School Support and Improvement activities responsibilities for campuses identified by TEA as Comprehensive Support and Improvement (Section 1111(d)(1) and (2))

Responsibilities under School Support and Improvement requirements for campuses identified by TEA as Comprehensive Support and Improvement are fulfilled through:

Accountability Alignment: Year-over-year state and federal accountability data will be analyzed to identify performance trends and inform targeted improvement efforts.

Title I. Part A Requirements: Identified campuses will implement and monitor a Targeted Improvement Plan (TIP) aligned with the Turnaround Plan (TAP) to address priority areas.

These actions ensure that improvement strategies are data-driven, aligned with federal and state requirements, and designed to accelerate student achievement and close performance gaps.

Poverty criteria that will be used to select school attendance areas [Section 1113]

Poverty criteria consistent with federal guidelines is used to determine eligibility and prioritize school attendance areas for Title I, Part A services:

- Application for Free and Reduced-Price Meals will serve as the primary source of income-based eligibility data.
- Campus School and Community Liaison will ensure accurate entry and coding of student income status in the student information system (SIS), based on the Income Eligibility Guidelines for Determining Free and Reduced-Price Benefits.

his verified income data within the SIS serves as the district's official source of low-income data for ESSA Title I, Part A SC5000 campus selection.

These procedures ensure equitable and compliant identification of campuses for Title I funding, supporting strategic allocation of resources to students most in need.

Nature of the programs to be conducted under Schoolwide programs[Section 1114]

schoolwide Programs are guided by a comprehensive, data-informed process that ensures alignment with federal and state requirements. Program design and activities will include:

- Comprehensive Needs Assessment (CNA) to identify academic gaps, student group needs, and areas for instructional improvement.
- Clearly defined Use of Funds Plan, detailing how federal resources will be allocated to support identified priorities.
- Implementation strategies aligned to each funding source, as outlined in the School Improvement Plan, ensuring coordinated and effective delivery of services to improve student outcomes.
- This integrated approach ensures the effective use of Title L. Part A and other applicable funds to meet the academic needs of all students, particularly those at risk of not meeting state standards.

Services provided to homeless children and youth to support the enrollment, attendance, and success of homeless children and youth in coordination with the services provided under the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act

n alignment with the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act, the district ensures that homeless children and youth have full access to enrollment, attendance, and academic success. Services are coordinated and monitored through the School Improvement Plan using the following strategies:

Use of reserved funds: items of clothing, student fees that are necessary to participate in the general education program, personal school supplies such as backpacks and notebooks, birth certificates necessary to enroll in school, immunizations, food, medical and dental services, eveglasses and hearing aids, counseling services to address anxiety related to homelessness that is impeding learning, outreach services to students living in shelters, motels, and other temporary residences, extended learning time (before, after, Saturday, or summer school) to compensate for lack of quiet time for homework in shelters or other overcrowded living conditions, tutoring services, especially in shelters or other locations where homeless students live, parental involvement specifically oriented to reaching out to parents of homeless students, fees

- Regular monitoring of attendance, grades, and performance on local and State assessments for students identified as homeless. Documentation of support services and referrals using the Title I, Part A Homeless Reservation Worksheet and Student and Community Liaison support logs.
- Ensuring access to HB 4545 tutoring opportunities and other academic interventions to address instructional gaps.

for AP and IB testing, fees for college entrance exams such as SAT or ACT, GED testing for school-age students. Use of funds are governed by two principles: 1) reasonable and necessary to assist homeless students to take advantage of educational opportunities. [ESEA section 1113(c)(3)(A); 2 CFR § 200.403(a))] and 2) used only as a last resort when funds or services are not available from

other public or private sources used to provide similar services for economically disadvantaged students. [ESEA section 1115(e)(2))]

These efforts ensure equitable support for homeless students and promote their sustained engagement and academic achievement.

Strategy used to implement effective parent and family engagement. [Section 1116];

Use of reserved funds: support programs that reach parents and family members at home, in the community, and at school, disseminate information on best practices focused on parent and family engagement, especially best practices for increasing the engagement of economically disadvantaged parents and family members, collaborate with community-based or other organizations or employers with a record of success in improving and increasing parent and family engagement, engage in any other activities and strategies that the LEA determines are appropriate and consistent with such agency's parent and family engagement policy

A coordinated set of strategies is implemented to strengthen parent and family engagement, in alignment with Title I, Part A requirements and the goals of the School Improvement Plan. These strategies include:

- Hosting Title I, Part A Parent Meetings to inform families about program requirements, student services, and opportunities for engagement.
- Utilizing ESC-16's "Building Relationships with Parents" educator training to equip staff with tools to foster meaningful family-school partnerships.
- Providing families with ongoing access to important documents—including the Parent and Family Engagement Policy, Parent Involvement Plan, Parent Rights, and both the Federal and School Report Cards—through the Ascender Parent Portal
- Student and Community Liaison logs provide documented evidence of student/parent communication and community outreach efforts aimed at increasing awareness of RMA, enhancing family engagement, and expanding student access to resources and opportunities.

These strategies are designed to promote transparent communication, encourage active family participation, and support student success through collaborative partnerships.

Implement strategies to facilitate effective transitions for students from high school to postsecondary education including, if applicable, coordination with institutions of higher education, employers, and other local partners and increased student access to early college high school or dual or concurrent enrollment opportunities, or career counseling to identify student interests and skills [Section 1112(b)(10)]

coordinated strategies aligned with the School Improvement Plan and federal guidelines are implemented to facilitate successful transitions from high school to postsecondary education and career pathways. These strategies include: • Formal partnerships (MOUs) with nine local colleges and universities to expand opportunities for dual credit, college readiness, and postsecondary alignment.

- Increased student access to Early College High School, Advanced Placement (AP) courses such as AP Computer Science Principles, and college readiness initiatives such as Texas College Bridge math and reading
- Administration of TSIA and ACT School Day testing to support college entrance and placement preparation.
- Coordination of campus transition nights, career fairs, and ongoing career counseling to help students identify their skills, interests, and postsecondary goals.

These efforts are designed to promote college and career readiness, close opportunity gaps, and ensure that all students graduate prepared for success beyond high school.

ТІТІ	LE 1, PART A STATUTORILY REQUIRED DESCRIPTIONS cont'd.
Description [Section 1112(b) (1-13)]	Evidence
Efforts to reduce the overuse of discipline practice that remove students from the classroom, which may include identifying and supporting schools with high rates of discipline, disaggregated by each of the subgroups of students	Foster a positive, inclusive school climate by reducing the use of exclusionary discipline practices such as suspensions and expulsions by implementing proactive strategies to address and reduce the overuse of disciplinary actions that remove students from the instructional setting: • District and campus administrators conduct daily monitoring of discipline trends, with a particular focus on identifying campuses and student subgroups (e.g., economically disadvantaged, Emergent Bilingual, students receiving special education services) with disproportionately high rates of exclusionary discipline. • Campus Principals and District Instructional Coordinators regularly review discipline referrals for accuracy, appropriate coding, and documentation compliance. These reviews will inform the design and implementation of targeted, evidence-based interventions that promote student engagement, academic achievement, and equitable outcomes. This approach aligns with the intent of Title I, Part A to provide all students, particularly those most at risk, with a supportive learning environment that maximizes instructional time and reduces barriers to success.
Support programs that coordinate and integrate academic and career and technical education content through coordinated instructional strategies, that may incorporate experiential learning opportunities and promote skills attainment important to in-demand occupations or industries in the State and work-based learning opportunities that provide students in-depth interaction with industry professionals and, if appropriate, academic credit [Section 1112(b)(12)]	Integrate academic instruction with high-quality Career and Technical Education (CTE) content to ensure students are prepared for both postsecondary education and the workforce. Instructional strategies are coordinated to promote cross-disciplinary learning that connects academic standards with real-world application, particularly in in-demand industries across the state. Incorporate experiential and work-based learning opportunities, including hands-on training and student interaction with industry professionals, to deepen understanding and engagement. When appropriate, students may also earn academic credit for participation in these activities, supporting both graduation requirements and career readiness goals. To support this effort, RMA offers access to industry-recognized certification (IBC) programs such as EKG Technician Certification, Phlebotomy Technician Certification, Microsoft Office Specialist Certification, National Center for Construction Education and Research (NCCER) Core Curriculum. These certifications provide students with marketable skills and credentials aligned to high-demand occupations in the state of Texas.
Other uses of funds to meet the purposes of this grant and that are determined appropriate to provide [Section 1112(b)(13)]	Utilize federal funds to support additional educational programs, services, and staff positions determined appropriate to ensure that all children, particularly those who are economically disadvantaged or at risk of academic failure, have a fair, equitable, and significant opportunity to obtain a high-quality education and reach proficiency on challenging state academic standards. Allowable and appropriate uses of Title I, Part A funds include: • District Instructional Coordinator Positions: Provide leadership in curriculum alignment, instructional support, intervention design, and fidelity of program implementation across campuses to raise academic achievement for all student groups. • Associate Teacher Positions: Support small-group instruction, intervention services, and classroom coverage to provide consistent academic support in alignment with schoolwide goals. • Miscellaneous Academic Instructional Programs: Supplemental instructional resources and services to accelerate learning and close achievement gaps. • Services for Special Student Groups: Targeted academic support and progress monitoring for Emergent Bilingual, students receiving special education services, and homeless youth, ensuring equity and access to grade-level standards. • ESC-20 Commitment Programs: Regionally coordinated services and professional development opportunities offered through Education Service Center Region 20 that enhance instructional quality, compliance, and capacity building for district staff. These investments directly support district and campus improvement plan objectives and are regularly evaluated for effectiveness and alignment with identified needs from the Comprehensive Needs Assessment.

Column C		DIVIPRE						DIST					
Comparison Solic Compari		LINTAR		2024	2023	2022	2021			2018	2017	2016	2015
Page		UNTAB										MET ALT	MET ALT
Marie 1986 1986 1987 1986			0/ A					not nated					
March 19			- ''								32%	36%	38%
March 1976		=											
Part		⋖									1.40/	4.00/	1.40/
March												18%	14%
March 30% 32	-		1									17%	17%
Marie											20%	26%	33%
## Part IDP ## Part IDP ## 1295 ## 129		#											
Part		3									COV	00/	00/
												9% 11%	8% 8%
Page 1970 100% 41% 20% 20% 20% 20% 3	-											22%	26%
Page 1970 100% 41% 20% 20% 20% 20% 3	23										20/8	2276	20/8
Page 1970 100% 41% 20% 20% 20% 20% 3	deni	돭											
Page 1970 100% 41% 20% 20% 20% 20% 3	e Stu	Ë									12%	8%	11%
Page 1970 100% 41% 20% 20% 20% 20% 3	Dati											6%	6%
Page 1970 100% 41% 20% 20% 20% 20% 3	shot		1									48%	42%
Page 1970 100% 41% 20% 20% 20% 20% 3	Snap		- ' '									10,12	,-
Page 1970 100% 41% 20% 20% 20% 20% 3		ence											
Separation Good G		Sci									27%	23%	21%
Page												53%	36%
Marcis 23												63%	60%
Page 1987 75%		_ s	% Meets	21%	25%	25%	20%		21%	22%			
Page 1987 75%		ocia	% Masters	5%	6%	5%	6%		5%	4%			
STARAB Floration Problems		S	% Pass SPED	73%	55%	34%	30%		43%	32%	31%	44%	29%
Company Comp			% Pass LEP	71%	63%	48%	46%		47%	50%	35%	8%	45%
SEP TEPPS Progress sact at examinal 24.0% 17.0% 27.0% 24.0% 13.0% 31.0		Rel Perf	STAAR Retester Passing	43.0%	37.0%								
NALL TOUR		Growth	English II and Algebra I EOCs	44.0%	42.0%	40.0%			25.0%	40.0%			
No College Ready S8.1% 24.5% 7.1% 3.9% 4.6% 6.0% 5.4% 1.0%		ELP	TELPAS Progress (adv 2 of 4 Domains)	24.0%	17.0%	17.0%	24.0%		11.0%	31.0%			
STATE Continue C			% ALL	70.0%	44.5%	16.6%	10.3%	24.4%	28.1%	5.6%			
No. Company No.		es	% College Ready	58.1%	24.5%	7.1%	3.9%	4.6%	6.0%	5.4%			
No. Company No. Compan		duat	% TSI (Both ELA & Math)	58.0%	23.1%	3.0%	2.0%	2.5%		4.0%			
No. Company No. Compan	,	Gra											
### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ##	ty Graduate Results (TAPI	>											
### S-Year EB/EL 30.3% 30.3% 29.0% 45.1% 47.7% 42.5% 38.3% 63.8% 63.8% 86.2% 86.		Prior											
### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ##		_											
### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ##	100		1										
### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ##	bility Graduat											70.8%	85.5%
### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ##	,											77.9% 62.0%	79.3% 86.7%
### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ##	ountability G	S.										58.0%	74.2%
### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ### ##	n 0,	l uate r Year										52.8%	70.8%
No.	ŧ	Grad Prio										58.8%	66.7%
No - No			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									66.4%	74.1%
# Enrollment ALL 1450 1693 1591 1856 1910 1949 2223 2219 # Enrollment SPED 185 190 214 225 240 204 240 237 # Enrollment EB/EL 130 176 148 194 126 106 105 29 # Enrollment Grade 10 *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** ***			% 6-Year SPED		45.0%	43.8%	51.1%	47.5%	52.7%		43.9%	65.4%	66.7%
# Enrollment SPED 185 190 214 225 240 204 240 237 # Enrollment EB/EL 130 176 148 194 126 106 105 29 # Enrollment Grade 9 ^{AA3 2693 Age 16+} 324 476 421 379 373 379 416 671 # Enrollment Grade 10 ^{AA3 2693 Age 16+} 434 527 483 564 560 589 683 696 # Enrollment Grade 11 378 388 396 480 486 528 628 467 # Enrollment Grade 12 314 302 291 438 502 453 496 385 # Enrollment Hisp 997 1107 995 1266 1239 1224 1408 1337 # Enrollment Hisp 997 1107 995 1266 1239 1224 1408 1337 # Enrollment White 194 271 284 301 375 414 463 488 # Enrollment Female 717 839 804 981 969 # Enrollment Male 733 854 787 880 952 # Enrollment Co-Dis AGA 2758 982 1108 1544 1313 1447 1331 1642 1606 * ADA ALL 24-25 TAPR 75.6% 72.8% 68.3% 89.8% 82.8% 81.8% 82.3% * ADA SPED 24-25 TAPR 76.9% 75.4% 67.6% 92.7% 85.9% 85.0% 82.9% 82.5% * Dropout ALL 24-25 TAPR 76.9% 75.4% 67.6% 92.7% 85.9% 85.9% 82.2% 17.6% ** Dropout SPED 24-25 TAPR 20.8% 23.9% 30.8% 17.5% 19.6% 22.0% 24.7% 25.9% ** Dropout EB/EL 24-25 TAPR 23.9% 24.2% 41.5% 16.7% 22.0% 24.7% 25.9%			% 6-Year EB/EL	28.8%	28.6%	37.8%	47.8%	36.6%	28.8%	61.7%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%
# Enrollment SPED 185 190 214 225 240 204 240 237 # Enrollment EB/EL 130 176 148 194 126 106 105 29 # Enrollment Grade 9 ^{AA3 2693 Age 16+} 324 476 421 379 373 379 416 671 # Enrollment Grade 10 ^{AA3 2693 Age 16+} 434 527 483 564 560 589 683 696 # Enrollment Grade 11 378 388 396 480 486 528 628 467 # Enrollment Grade 12 314 302 291 438 502 453 496 385 # Enrollment Hisp 997 1107 995 1266 1239 1224 1408 1337 # Enrollment Hisp 997 1107 995 1266 1239 1224 1408 1337 # Enrollment White 194 271 284 301 375 414 463 488 # Enrollment Female 717 839 804 981 969 # Enrollment Male 733 854 787 880 952 # Enrollment Co-Dis AGA 2758 982 1108 1544 1313 1447 1331 1642 1606 * ADA ALL 24-25 TAPR 75.6% 72.8% 68.3% 89.8% 82.8% 81.8% 82.3% * ADA SPED 24-25 TAPR 76.9% 75.4% 67.6% 92.7% 85.9% 85.0% 82.9% 82.5% * Dropout ALL 24-25 TAPR 76.9% 75.4% 67.6% 92.7% 85.9% 85.9% 82.2% 17.6% ** Dropout SPED 24-25 TAPR 20.8% 23.9% 30.8% 17.5% 19.6% 22.0% 24.7% 25.9% ** Dropout EB/EL 24-25 TAPR 23.9% 24.2% 41.5% 16.7% 22.0% 24.7% 25.9%			# Enrollment ALL	1450	1693	1591	1856	1910	1949	2223	2219	2020	1672
# Enrollment EB/EL 130 176 148 194 126 106 105 29 # Enrollment Grade and a soft Age 160 Age 1												219	162
# Enrollment Grade 9*KA 2 60% Age 160												14	5
# Enrollment Grade 10 MAA 2 60% Age 16+ 434 527 483 564 560 589 683 696 # Enrollment Grade 11 378 388 396 480 486 528 628 467 # Enrollment Grade 12 314 302 291 438 502 453 496 385 # Enrollment Afr Amr 215 261 255 247 269 270 286 333 # Enrollment Hisp 997 1107 995 1266 1239 1224 1408 1337 # Enrollment White 194 271 284 301 375 414 463 488 # Enrollment Penale 717 839 804 981 969												483	360
# Enrollment Grade 11 378 388 396 480 486 528 628 467 # Enrollment Grade 12 314 302 291 438 502 453 496 385 # Enrollment Afr Amr 215 261 255 247 269 270 286 333 # Enrollment Hisp 997 1107 995 1266 1239 1224 1408 1337 # Enrollment White 194 271 284 301 375 414 463 488 # Enrollment Female 717 839 804 981 969 # Enrollment Male 733 854 787 880 952 # Enrollment Male 733 854 787 880 952 # Enrollment Eco-Dis ALA 275% 982 1108 1544 1313 1447 1331 1642 1606 # ADA ALL 24-25 TAPR 75.5% 72.8% 68.3% 89.8% 82.8% 81.8% 82.3% # ADA SPED 24-25 TAPR 75.5% 72.9% 72.3% 90.9% 85.0% 82.9% 82.5% # ADA EB/EL 24-25 TAPR 76.9% 75.4% 67.6% 92.7% 85.9% 85.4% 86.2% # Dropout SPED 24-25 TAPR 20.8% 23.9% 30.8% 17.5% 19.6% 22.0% 21.8% # Dropout EB/EL 24-25 TAPR 23.9% 24.2% 41.5% 16.7% 22.0% 24.7% 25.9%				434	527	483	564	560	589	683	696	577	475
# Enrollment Grade 12 314 302 291 438 502 453 496 385 # Enrollment Afr Amr 215 261 255 247 269 270 286 333 # Enrollment Hisp 997 1107 995 1266 1239 1224 1408 1337 # Enrollment White 194 271 284 301 375 414 463 488 # Enrollment Female 717 839 804 981 969 # Enrollment Male 733 854 787 880 962 # Enrollment Male 733 854 787 880 962 # Enrollment Enrollment Enrollment Male 738 854 787 880 962 # Enrollment Enrollment Enrollment Enrollment Male 738 854 787 880 962 # Enrollment				378	388	396	480	486	528	628		479	441
# Enrollment Hisp 997 1107 995 1266 1239 1224 1408 1337 # Enrollment White 194 271 284 301 375 414 463 488 # Enrollment Female 717 839 804 981 969 # Enrollment Male 733 854 787 880 952 # Enrollment Eco-Dis ALA 2 75% 982 1108 1544 1313 1447 1331 1642 1606 % ADA ALL 24-25 TAPR 75.6% 72.8% 68.3% 89.8% 82.8% 81.8% 82.3% % ADA SPED 24-25 TAPR 76.9% 75.4% 67.6% 92.7% 85.9% 85.4% 86.2% % Dropout ALL 24-25 TAPR 20.8% 23.9% 30.8% 17.5% 19.6% 22.0% 21.8% % Dropout SPED 24-25 TAPR 18.4% 27.2% 28.8% 13.2% 19.4% 23.8% 17.6% % Dropout EB/EL 24-25 TAPR 23.9% 24.2% 41.5% 16.7% 22.0% 24.7% 25.9%			# Enrollment Grade 12	314	302	291	438			496	385	481	396
# Enrollment White 194 271 284 301 375 414 463 488 # Enrollment Female 717 839 804 981 969 # Enrollment Eco-Dis ALA 275% 982 1108 1544 1313 1447 1331 1642 1606 % ADA ALL 24-25 TAPR 75.6% 72.8% 68.3% 89.8% 82.8% 81.8% 82.3% % ADA SPED 24-25 TAPR 75.5% 72.9% 72.3% 90.9% 85.0% 82.9% 82.5% % ADA EB/EL 24-25 TAPR 76.9% 75.4% 67.6% 92.7% 85.9% 85.4% 86.2% % Dropout ALL 24-25 TAPR 20.8% 23.9% 30.8% 17.5% 19.6% 22.0% 21.8% % Dropout SPED 24-25 TAPR 18.4% 27.2% 28.8% 13.2% 19.4% 23.8% 17.6% % Dropout EB/EL 24-25 TAPR 23.9% 24.2% 41.5% 16.7% 22.0% 24.7% 25.9%			# Enrollment Afr Amr	215	261	255	247	269	270	286	333	287	224
# Enrollment Male 733 854 787 880 952		¥	# Enrollment Hisp	997	1107	995	1266	1239	1224	1408	1337	1161	980
# Enrollment Male 733 854 787 880 952		mer	# Enrollment White	194	271	284	301	375	414	463	488	529	426
# Enrollment Male 733 854 787 880 952		Enro											
% ADA ALL 24-25 TAPR 75.6% 72.8% 68.3% 89.8% 82.8% 81.8% 82.3% % ADA SPED 24-25 TAPR 78.5% 72.9% 72.3% 90.9% 85.0% 82.9% 82.5% % ADA EB/EL 24-25 TAPR 76.9% 75.4% 67.6% 92.7% 85.9% 85.4% 86.2% % Dropout ALL 24-25 TAPR 20.8% 23.9% 30.8% 17.5% 19.6% 22.0% 21.8% % Dropout SPED 24-25 TAPR 18.4% 27.2% 28.8% 13.2% 19.4% 23.8% 17.6% % Dropout EB/EL 24-25 TAPR 23.9% 24.2% 41.5% 16.7% 22.0% 24.7% 25.9%	1	_											
% ADA SPED 24-25 TAPR 78.5% 72.9% 72.3% 90.9% 85.0% 82.9% 82.5% % ADA EB/EL 24-25 TAPR 76.9% 75.4% 67.6% 92.7% 85.9% 85.4% 86.2% % Dropout ALL 24-25 TAPR 20.8% 23.9% 30.8% 17.5% 19.6% 22.0% 21.8% % Dropout SPED 24-25 TAPR 18.4% 27.2% 28.8% 13.2% 19.4% 23.8% 17.6% % Dropout EB/EL 24-25 TAPR 23.9% 24.2% 41.5% 16.7% 22.0% 24.7% 25.9%												1259	1216
% ADA EB/EL 24-25 TAPR 76.9% 75.4% 67.6% 92.7% 85.9% 85.4% 86.2% % Dropout ALL 24-25 TAPR 20.8% 23.9% 30.8% 17.5% 19.6% 22.0% 21.8% % Dropout SPED 24-25 TAPR 18.4% 27.2% 28.8% 13.2% 19.4% 23.8% 17.6% % Dropout EB/EL 24-25 TAPR 23.9% 24.2% 41.5% 16.7% 22.0% 24.7% 25.9%												81.7%	83.7%
% Dropout ALL 24-25 TAPR 20.8% 23.9% 30.8% 17.5% 19.6% 22.0% 21.8% % Dropout SPED 24-25 TAPR 18.4% 27.2% 28.8% 13.2% 19.4% 23.8% 17.6% % Dropout EB/EL 24-25 TAPR 23.9% 24.2% 41.5% 16.7% 22.0% 24.7% 25.9%												82.4%	84.9%
% Dropout SPED 24-25 TAPR 18.4% 27.2% 28.8% 13.2% 19.4% 23.8% 17.6% % Dropout EB/EL 24-25 TAPR 23.9% 24.2% 41.5% 16.7% 22.0% 24.7% 25.9%												83.4%	83.2%
% Dropout EB/EL 24-25 TAPR 23.9% 24.2% 41.5% 16.7% 22.0% 24.7% 25.9%												23.1%	20.2%
												23.3%	16.8% 27.3%
			% Dropout EB/EL % Mobility	24-25 TAPR 24-25 TAPR	72.0%	72.1%	41.5% 63.1%	63.0%	65.6%	70.4%	73.0%	32.4%	27.3% 69.8%
	-	bo.										73.9% 6.8	6.0
#Average Years Experience 10.3 12.6 10.5 9.6 8.1 9.4 7.3 6.6 #Average Years Exp w/ District 2.9 3.1 3.7 3.9 3.0 2.4 2.3 3.7 #Average Years Exp w/ District Turnover 43.6% 48.3% 25.3% 34.8% 44.6% 55.5% 55.9% 46.2%		ching aff										1.6	2.6
#Werloge Feals EAP W/ District Turnover 43.6% 48.3% 25.3% 34.8% 44.6% 55.5% 55.9% 46.2%		Tea										57.4%	83.3%
STAAR achievement Increase raw score by 2% to 25%, mid-C rating by increasing Approaches, Meets, Masters from 56-13-1 to 60-14-2; Equity Gap identified between Afr Amr & White st													1
STAAR achievement uncrease raw score by 2% to 25%, mild-crating by increasing approaches, meets, masters from 56-13-1 to 00-14-2; Equity Gap Identified between Afr Amr & Write St STAAR achievement by subject Increase ELAR passing rate by 5%, lowest performing STAAR EOC subject; STAAR Achievement Reading & Math lowest performing R/E groups: Afr Amr, Hisp, White	-											uuciita	
STAAP achigument by chylent group. Increase ELAP packing site by 10% for EP chylente to meet or exceed average achigument by special populations on levest performing STAAP ECC texts.													
Results Drive Accountability (Federal Acct) Increase ELAR passing rate by 6% for SPED, EB, & Homeless student populations; increase federal 4-yr graduation rate by 16% SPED, EB, & Homeless student populations; increase federal 4-yr graduation rate by 16% SPED, EB, & Homeless student populations; increase federal 4-yr graduation rate by 16% SPED, EB, & Homeless student populations; increase federal 4-yr graduation rate by 16% SPED, EB, & Homeless student populations; increase federal 4-yr graduation rate by 16% SPED, EB, & Homeless student populations; increase federal 4-yr graduation rate by 16% SPED, EB, & Homeless student populations; increase federal 4-yr graduation rate by 16% SPED, EB, & Homeless student populations; increase federal 4-yr graduation rate by 16% SPED, EB, & Homeless student populations; increase federal 4-yr graduation rate by 16% SPED, EB, & Homeless student populations; increase federal 4-yr graduation rate by 16% SPED, EB, & Homeless student populations; increase federal 4-yr graduation rate by 16% SPED, EB, & Homeless student populations; increase federal 4-yr graduation rate by 16% SPED, EB, & Homeless student populations; increase federal 4-yr graduation rate by 16% SPED, EB, & Homeless student populations; increase federal 4-yr graduation rate by 16% SPED, EB, & Homeless student populations; increase federal 4-yr graduation rate by 16% SPED, EB, & Homeless student populations; increase federal 4-yr graduation rate by 16% SPED, EB, & Homeless student populations; increase federal 4-yr graduation rate by 16% SPED, EB, & Homeless student populations; increase federal 4-yr graduation rate by 16% SPED, EB, & Homeless student populations; increase federal 4-yr graduation rate by 16% SPED, EB, & Homeless student populations; increase federal 4-yr graduation rate by 16% SPED, EB, & Homeless student populations; increase federal 4-yr graduation rate by 16% SPED, EB, & Homeless student populations; increase federal 4-yr graduation rate by 16% SPED, EB, & Homeless student populations; increase	>-											ons	
Results Drive Accountability (Federal Acct) CCMR Increase ELAR passing rate by 6% for SPED, EB, & Homeless student populations; increase federal 4-yr graduation rate by 16% SPED, EB, & Homeless student populations; increase federal 4-yr graduation rate by 16% SPED, EB, & Homeless student populations; increase federal 4-yr graduation rate by 16% SPED, EB, & Homeless student populations; increase federal 4-yr graduation rate by 16% SPED, EB, & Homeless student populations; increase federal 4-yr graduation rate by 16% SPED, EB, & Homeless student populations; increase federal 4-yr graduation rate by 16% SPED, EB, & Homeless student populations; increase federal 4-yr graduation rate by 16% SPED, EB, & Homeless student populations; increase federal 4-yr graduation rate by 16% SPED, EB, & Homeless student populations; increase federal 4-yr graduation rate by 16% SPED, EB, & Homeless student populations; increase federal 4-yr graduation rate by 16% SPED, EB, & Homeless student populations; increase federal 4-yr graduation rate by 16% SPED, EB, & Homeless student populations; increase federal 4-yr graduation rate by 16% SPED, EB, & Homeless student populations; increase federal 4-yr graduation rate by 16% SPED, EB, & Homeless student populations; increase federal 4-yr graduation rate by 16% SPED, EB, & Homeless student populations; increase federal 4-yr graduation rate by 16% SPED, EB, & Homeless student populations; increase federal 4-yr graduation rate by 16% SPED, EB, & Homeless student populations; increase federal 4-yr graduation rate by 16% SPED, EB, & Homeless student populations; increase federal 4-yr graduation rate by 16% SPED, EB, & Homeless student populations; increase federal 4-yr graduation rate by 16% SPED, EB, & Homeless student populations; increase federal 4-yr graduation rate by 16% SPED, EB, & Homeless student populations; increase federal 4-yr graduation rate by 16% SPED, EB, & Homeless student populations; increase federal 4-yr graduation rate by 16% SPED, EB, & Homeless student populations; in	25 S		,,						, 20	. ,	- Challenger		
Dropout Continue to decrease students identified as dropping out by 2% or more to achieve a rate < 20%	24-		l										
Graduation Ensure 70% or more of grade 12 students graduate; SI reidentification to achieve a 6-yr graduation rate of 66.7% or higher									of 66.7% or higher				
School Improvement Intervention Level Campus level assigned interventions for reidentified comprehensive support and improvement		School I	mprovement Intervention Level										
		24-26 SY	Targeted Improvement Plan	Instructional Leader	ship development th	rough TIL or other In	structional Leadershi	Pathway Providers					

	OMPR	EHENSIVE NEEDS ANALYSIS:					KILI	LEEN				
		BILITY & TAPR YEAR-OVER-YEAR	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015
		(CNA Part 3 of 3)	77.0%	С	Not Rated	Not Rated	Not Rated	D	IR1	MET ALT	MET ALT	MET ALT
		9/ Approaches										
		% Approaches	52%	58%	43%	30%		34%	44%	45%	42%	47%
	_	% Meets	12%	23%	14%	10%		10%	12%			
	₹	% Masters	0%	5%	2% 24%	0%		2%	2%	240/	240/	450/
		% Pass SPED	39%	35%		11%		16%	23%	24%	21%	16%
		% Pass EB/EL	64%	54%	41%	26%		8%	36%	23%	17%	-
		% Approaches	42%	50%	35%	24%		27%	41%	35%	30%	42%
	¥	% Meets	11%	22%	13%	13%		10%	12%			
	ELAR	% Masters	0%	0%	1%	0%		1%	1%	400/	00/	50/
		% Pass SPED	29%	22%	12%	0%		16%	18%	10%	9%	5%
22		% Pass LEP	50%	71%	30%	27%		8%	30%	0%	20%	-
esul		% Approaches	35%	34%	30% 3%	9%		19%	23% 1%	23%	20%	31%
DC R	Math	% Meets	0%	0%		0%		0%				
AR E	ž	% Masters	0%	0%	0% 17%	0%		0%	0%	4.40/	F0/	00/
STA, Date		% Pass SPED % Pass LEP	17% 50%	28%	33%	0%		0%	0% 25%	14% 50%	5% 0%	0%
Accountability STAAR EOC Results Snapshot Date Students			60%	50%	39%	28%		37%	50%	62%	58%	63%
ntab inap:		% Approaches % Meets	0%	10%	12%	0%		3%	5%	0276	36%	0376
Scoul	Science	% Masters	0%	0%	0%	0%		3%	2%			
¥	Scie	% Pass SPED	0%	40%	20%	40%		17%	36%	38%	33%	50%
		% Pass SPED % Pass LEP	50%	40%	33%	40%		25%	40%	50%	33%	50%
		% Approaches	89%	89%	72%	57%		70%	70%	81%	72%	76%
		% Meets	25%	37%	28%	14%		27%	30%	0270	72,0	
	Social Studies	% Masters	0%	13%	6%	0%		5%	9%			
	Stu	% Pass SPED	75%	62%	53%	-		36%	46%	69%	59%	33%
		% Pass LEP	100%	-	60%	40%		0%	56%	50%	0%	-
	Rel Perf		43.0%	37.0%								
	Growth	English II and Algebra I EOCs	30.0%	42.0%	32.0%			40.0%	41.0%			
	ELP	TELPAS Progress (adv 2 of 4 Domains)	0.0%	-	20.0%	-		0.0%	25.0%			
		% ALL	68.0%	25.8%	5.9%	9.6%	33.1%	27.9%	4.1%			
	v	% College Ready	46.3%	12.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%	4.1%			
	MR Graduates	% TSI (Both ELA & Math)	36.0%	12.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%	1.0%			
_	MR Grad	% AP/IB Exam	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%			
APR)	CCMR Year Gra	% Dual Course Credit	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%			
ts (T	ō	% Industry-Based Certfications	20.0%	19.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
esul	P.	% SPED Grads (Code 5 or 55)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%	3.2%	0.0%			
ate R		% SPED w/Advanced Degree Plan	14.0%	0.0%	5.9%	9.6%	0.0%	3.2%	-			
ccountability Graduate Results (TAPR)		% 4-Year ALL	84.0%	50.0%	48.6%	54.0%	53.8%	57.2%	57.4%	47.2%	57.9%	63.4%
Ę.		% 4-Year SPED	44.4%	41.7%	58.8%	50.0%	37.5%	68.0%	50.0%	45.0%	63.6%	57.1%
abilit		% 4-Year EB/EL	37.5%	50.0%	25.0%	62.5%	62.5%	33.3%	75.0%	66.7%	66.7%	50.0%
ount	ates ear	% 5-Year ALL	90.7%	41.4%	49.0%	51.9%	55.6%	56.0%	53.4%	56.1%	57.4%	69.1%
Acc	Graduates Prior Year	% 5-Year SPED	100.0%	35.7%	58.8%	44.4%	68.0%	51.7%	56.5%	69.6%	52.9%	69.6%
	G	% 5-Year EB/EL	85.7%	-	45.5%	55.6%	33.3%	83.3%	100.0%	100.0%	50.0%	33.3%
		% 6-Year ALL	55.2%	43.9%	49.7%	55.1%	55.0%	54.5%	53.9%	56.1%	69.1%	73.4%
		% 6-Year SPED	37.5%	52.9%	37.5%	65.4%	48.3%	56.5%	64.0%	52.6%	69.6%	66.7%
		% 6-Year EB/EL	100.0%	45.5%	44.4%	28.6%	83.3%	100.0%	100.0%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%
		# Enrollment ALL	122	157	146	160	174	232	255	212	212	135
		# Enrollment SPED	20	33	40	28	27	41	44	37	52	25
		# Enrollment EB/EL	6 22	6	9	11	12	6	12	0	0	0
		# Enrollment Grade 9 ^{AEA ≥ 60%} Age 16= # Enrollment Grade 10 ^{AEA ≥ 60%} Age 16+	22 34	26 46	41	25	30	38	38	30	42	24
		# Enrollment Grade 10 # Enrollment Grade 11	38	31	44	53 49	48 47	77 73	64 70	59 59	64 41	45 36
		# Enrollment Grade 11 # Enrollment Grade 12	28	54	40 21	33	50	/3 44	70 83	64	41 65	36 30
		# Enrollment Grade 12 # Enrollment Afr Amr	64	76	76	70	91	108	103	90	90	30 57
		# Enrollment Hisp	34	39	37	50	52	71	80	54	53	27
	Enrollment	# Enrollment White	13	20	16	19	23	36	46	44	52	37
	lo l	# Enrollment Female	55	75	67	77	83					
TAPR	듄	# Enrollment Male	67	82	79	83	92					
F		# Enrollment Eco-Dis ^{AEA ≥ 75%}	87	95	139	105	141	165	174	171	148	102
		% ADA ALL	24-25 TAPR	76.8%	73.2%	75.0%	89.7%	81.2%	84.2%	86.0%	85.0%	85.3%
		% ADA SPED	24-25 TAPR	78.9%	72.2%	75.3%	90.2%	83.0%	84.3%	84.9%	86.6%	85.5%
		% ADA EB/EL	24-25 TAPR	77.5%	70.5%	75.6%	93.1%	79.7%	82.6%	88.6%	84.6%	-
		% Dropout ALL	24-25 TAPR	20.1%	24.5%	32.0%	16.5%	24.8%	20.3%	17.9%	28.1%	23.9%
		% Dropout SPED	24-25 TAPR	17.9%	31.4%	29.3%	13.7%	29.1%	22.0%	12.5%	25.0%	13.4%
		% Dropout EB/EL	24-25 TAPR	12.5%	33.3%	42.9%	13.0%	31.8%	8.3%	13.3%	22.0%	-
		% Mobility	24-25 TAPR	79.6%	75.2%	63.4%	63.6%	66.4%	72.2%	72.1%	79.7%	80.5%
	ing +	# Average Years Experience	9.9	11.5	8.7	8.6	6.9	9.6	5.6	5.4	3.8	2.0
	Teaching Staff	# Average Years Exp w/ District	3.5	2.9	3.8	3.6	2.4	1.7	1.9	3.4	1.3	1.6
			43.6%	48.3%	25.3%	34.8%	44.6%	55.5%	55.9%	46.2%	57.4%	83.3%
		achievement								n Afr Amr & White stu	udents	
		achievement by subject				-		Math two lowest pe				
sis		achievement by student group	-							DC (n/a Sci only 2 test		
naly		Drive Accountability (Federal Acct)						raduation rate by 16	% SPED, EB, & Homel	ess student population	ons	
Needs Analysis 24-25 SY	CCMR					s earn one or more Co	LIMR credit					
Nee	Dropou			e students identified			6 ur graduation '	of 66 70/ or high				
	Gradua	Improvement Intervention Level		of grade 12 students port & improvement		ification to achieve a	o-yr graduation rate	or oo.7% or nigner				
		Y Targeted Improvement Plan				-yr graduation rate structional Leadershi	n Pathway Provide					
	24-203	rangeted improvement Plan	motructional Leader	and acreiohment tu	rough til of other in:	an actional readershi	p i attiway rioviders					

	ONADDE	THENCINE MEETIC ANALYSIS.					ODE	SSA				
		EHENSIVE NEEDS ANALYSIS: BILITY & TAPR YEAR-OVER-YEAR	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015
Acce	ONTAL	(CNA Part 3 of 3)	77.0%	D	Not Rated	Not Rated	Not Rated	D D	IR1	MET ALT	MET ALT	MET ALT
		lov A					Hot hatea	_				
		% Approaches % Meets	51% 10%	32% 4%	34% 8%	31% 8%		33%	33% 6%	28%	34%	33%
	₹	% Masters	0%	0%	0%	0%		6% 1%	0%			
	٩	% Pass SPED	39%	26%	12%	12%		15%	10%	6%	21%	11%
		% Pass EB/EL	38%	20%	20%	22%		24%	13%	20%	20%	17%
		% Approaches	38%	25%	25%	26%		25%	23%	16%	21%	28%
		% Meets	13%	6%	8%	10%		7%	7%			
	ELAR	% Masters	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%			
	В	% Pass SPED	38%	14%	0%	8%		11%	3%	0%	9%	10%
		% Pass LEP	23%	5%	8%	17%		23%	6%	11%	15%	11%
ults		% Approaches	41%	23%	21%	17%		25%	32%	28%	25%	23%
: Res	_	% Meets	1%	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%			
EOC	Math	% Masters	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%			
AAR	_	% Pass SPED	20%	0%	29%	7%		0%	19%	3%	10%	13%
ty ST ot Da		% Pass LEP	32%	16%	24%	13%		29%	19%	38%	0%	8%
Accountability STAAR EOC Results Snapshot Date Students		% Approaches	67%	49%	50%	39%		47%	40%	38%	50%	41%
Sna	e	% Meets	3%	3%	8%	5%		4%	2%			
Acc	Science	% Masters	0%	0%	0%	0%		1%	0%			
	5	% Pass SPED	43%	62%	10%	17%		11%	21%	10%	29%	0%
		% Pass LEP	54%	39%	30%	36%		17%	17%	11%	60%	29%
		% Approaches	80%	65%	56%	53%		53%	54%	54%	61%	53%
	ial	% Meets	11%	9%	22%	13%		12%	12%			
	Social Studies	% Masters	2%	0%	1%	2%		3%	2%	2204	500/	2701
		% Pass SPED	45%	60%	17%	23%		46%	11%	23%	59%	27%
	p-15 (% Pass LEP	69%	59%	40%	35%		39%	26%	33%	20%	38%
	Rel Perf Growth	STAAR Retester Passing English II and Algebra I EOCs	39.0% 37.0%	25.0% 40.0%	32.0%			21.0%	43.0%			
	ELP	TELPAS Progress (adv 2 of 4 Domains)	26.0%	40.0% 15.0%	9.0%	11.0%		0.0%	43.0%			
	LLP		ļ				25.504					
		% ALL	55.0%	42.4%	22.2%	14.0%	25.5%	31.7%	1.9%			
	ates	% College Ready	48.4%	20.3%	14.3%	7.0%	8.3%	6.1%	1.9%			
	CCMR ear Graduate	% TSI (Both ELA & Math)	43.0%	16.9%	3.2%	1.8%	4.2%	3.5% 0.9%	0.0%			
€	SCMI ar Gr	% AP/IB Exam % Dual Course Credit	1.0%	0.0% 3.4%	7.9%	0.9% 5.3%	1.0% 5.2%	3.5%	1.0%			
(TAI	CC ior Year	% Industry-Based Certifications	7.0%	16.9%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
sults	Pric	% SPED Grads (Code 5 or 55)	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%	0.0%	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%			
e Re		% SPED w/Advanced Degree Plan	5.0%	10.2%	7.9%	6.1%	2.1%	2.0%	-			
ccountability Graduate Results (TAPR)		% 4-Year ALL	62.4%	30.3%	45.8%	49.6%	51.9%	52.2%	56.0%			
Gra		% 4-Year SPED	50.0%	25.0%	35.0%	75.0%	43.5%	56.5%				
oility		% 4-Year EB/EL	68.8%	30.0%	55.6%	57.7%	29.4%	12.5%				
ıntal	tes	% 5-Year ALL	60.5%	39.7%	39.2%	49.2%	45.8%	52.4%	49.1%			
loco)	Graduates Prior Year	% 5-Year SPED	53.8%	31.6%	58.8%	50.0%	52.2%	-				
1	Gra	% 5-Year EB/EL	69.2%	56.3%	44.4%	27.8%	22.2%	=				
		% 6-Year ALL	41.9%	36.5%	47.5%	48.2%	i	49.3%	68.4%			
		% 6-Year SPED	31.6%	58.8%	46.2%	52.2%	i	-				
		% 6-Year EB/EL	45.0%	44.4%	26.3%	30.0%	i	-				
		# Enrollment ALL	348	360	318	403	447	477	444	493	438	392
		# Enrollment SPED	29	26	35	41	55	26	36	46	28	26
		# Enrollment EB/EL	47	67	43	50	35	21	24	0	0	0
		# Enrollment Grade 9 ^{AEA ≥ 60% Age 16=}	81	140	83	84	99	122	90	194	102	62
		# Enrollment Grade 10 ^{AEA ≥ 60% Age 16+}	97	116	97	109	141	132	140	171	138	111
		# Enrollment Grade 11	100	54	73	107	92	109	131	94	98	128
		# Enrollment Grade 12	70	50	65	103	116	114	83	34	100	91
		# Enrollment Afr Amr	18	19	9	12	7	13	7	11	11	2
	i,	# Enrollment Hisp	277	280	249	308	333	354	333	365	315	296
	Enrollment	# Enrollment White	50	54	54	80	104	103	96	114	107	91
TAPR	Enro	# Enrollment Female	178	176	150	195	214					
₹		# Enrollment Male	170 182	184	168	208	234	207	000	050	004	004
		# Enrollment Eco-Dis ^{AEA ≥ 75%} % ADA ALL	24-25 TAPR	192 78.2%	315 78.2%	186	242 92.0%	207 85.3%	203	259 87.5%	234 87.8%	281 86.3%
		% ADA SPED	24-25 TAPR 24-25 TAPR	78.2% 81.9%	78.2% 81.4%	75.3% 79.5%	90.9%	85.3%	86.9% 87.4%	87.5%	87.8%	90.6%
		% ADA SPED % ADA EB/EL	24-25 TAPR 24-25 TAPR	81.9%	81.4%	79.5%	93.7%	86.8%	88.3%	90.9%	88.7%	90.6%
		% Dropout ALL	24-25 TAPR 24-25 TAPR	17.0%	20.7%	24.9%	19.7%	24.0%	19.6%	20.2%	22.3%	21.6%
		% Dropout SPED	24-25 TAPR 24-25 TAPR	16.1%	20.7%	25.8%	14.7%	25.9%	25.0%	16.2%	22.7%	14.6%
		% Dropout EB/EL	24-25 TAPR 24-25 TAPR	18.2%	17.5%	25.4%	18.8%	20.9%	24.3%	8.6%	36.8%	-
		% Mobility	24-25 TAPR	65.4%	65.3%	56.7%	55.0%	55.2%	56.2%	57.5%	69.8%	63.7%
	p0	# Average Years Experience	10.0	9.7	6.8	7.6	4.5	8.6	5.5	5.0	5.2	4.3
	Teaching Staff	# Average Years Exp w/ District	3.0	2.6	3.7	3.6	2.2	2.6	1.6	3.1	1.5	2.4
	Tea	% District Turnover	43.6%	48.3%	25.3%	34.8%	44.6%	55.5%	55.9%	46.2%	57.4%	83.3%
	STAAR a	achievement		oy 3% to 23%. mid-C	rating by increasing A		lasters from 51-10-0			n Afr Amr & White st		
		achievement by subject			performing STAAR E							
		achievement by student group			ents by 10% to meet							
lysis Y	_	Drive Accountability (Federal Acct)			D, EB, & Homeless st						ons	
Needs Analysis 24-25 SY	CCMR				ade 12 non-graduates					<u>-</u>		
eeds	Dropout Continue to decrease students identified as dropping out to achieve a rate < 20%; decrease current rate by 2% from 17% to 15%											
Z	Graduat	tion	Ensure 70% or more	of grade 12 student	s graduate; SI reident	ification to achieve a	6-yr graduation rate	of 66.7% or higher				
	School I	mprovement Intervention Level	Comprehensive sup	port & improvement	reidentified due to 6	yr graduation rate						
	24-26 SY	/ Targeted Improvement Plan	Instructional Leader	ship development th	rough TIL or other In:	structional Leadershi	Pathway Providers					

	COMPRI	EHENSIVE NEEDS ANALYSIS:					LUBI	воск				
		BILITY & TAPR YEAR-OVER-YEAR	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015
		(CNA Part 3 of 3)	82.0%	D	Not Rated	Not Rated	Not Rated	D	IR2	IR1	MET ALT	MET ALT
		% Approaches	58%	43%	45%	51%		39%	33%	30%	34%	47%
		% Meets	15%	9%	11%	24%		9%	7%			
	₹	% Masters	0%	1%	2%	7%		1%	1%			
		% Pass SPED	49%	26%	10%	30%		24%	0%	5%	12%	15%
		% Pass EB/EL	67%	43%	25%	17%		0%	0%	0%	0%	-
		% Approaches	40%	34%	23%	38%		31%	26%	21%	28%	37%
		% Meets	20%	8%	10%	20%		8%	7%			
	ELAR	% Masters	0%	1%	0%	1%		0%	0%			
		% Pass SPED	21%	24%	6%	0%		14%	0%	4%	9%	0%
		% Pass LEP	57%	50%	-	-		0%	0%	0%	0%	-
sults		% Approaches	40%	20%	33%	52%		26%	25%	27%	15%	52%
C Re	ے	% Meets	0%	2%	2%	9%		0%	2%			
R EO Stud	Math	% Masters	0%	0%	2%	0%		0%	0%			
TAA		% Pass SPED	17%	13%	0%	-		20%	0%	0%	13%	50%
lity §		% Pass LEP	25%	0%	-	-		-	-	-	-	
Accountability STAAR EOC Results Snapshot Date Students		% Approaches	89%	61%	60%	44%		56%	33%	40%	31%	58%
cour	ace	% Meets	11%	2%	9%	8%		13%	2%			
Ac	Science	% Masters	0%	0%	2%	0%		3%	0%	09/	69/	F.09/
		% Pass SPED % Pass LEP	100%	33% 0%	22%	40%		29%	- 0%	0%	6% -	50%
		% Approaches	88%	72%	83%	82%		71%	69%	54%	64%	62%
		% Approaches % Meets	17%	21%	25%	58%		29%	23%	34/0	0470	02/0
	Social Studies	% Masters	0%	5%	5%	27%		5%	6%			
	Scu	% Pass SPED	69%	38%	20%	-		60%	0%	18%	27%	22%
		% Pass LEP	100%	100%	-	-		-	-	-	0%	-
	Rel Perf		47.0%	29.0%								
	Growth	English II and Algebra I EOCs	36.0%	41.0%	12.0%			32.0%	45.0%			
	ELP	TELPAS Progress (adv 2 of 4 Domains)	60.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	-			
		% ALL	72.0%	26.7%	13.0%	27.3%	29.2%	21.2%	1.5%			
	v	% College Ready	62.2%	10.0%	8.7%	9.1%	5.6%	0.0%	1.5%			
	MR Graduates	% TSI (Both ELA & Math)	62.0%	10.0%	8.7%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%			
	AR Srad	% AP/IB Exam	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
APR)	CCMR Year Gra	% Dual Course Credit	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
ts (T.	Prior >	% Industry-Based Certfications	5.0%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
esul	ā	% SPED Grads (Code 5 or 55)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%			
ate R		% SPED w/Advanced Degree Plan	8.0%	0.0%	4.3%	18.2%	2.8%	3.0%	-			
adu		% 4-Year ALL	58.6%	38.8%	39.1%	46.8%	42.1%	45.9%	57.1%			
عدد المجاهر (TAPR) عدد المجاهرة (TAPR)		% 4-Year SPED	45.5%	27.3%	50.0%	41.2%	31.3%	46.2%				
abilit		% 4-Year EB/EL	0.0%	-	=	-	=	=				
ount	Graduates Prior Year	% 5-Year ALL	40.3%	35.7%	29.7%	35.5%	39.6%	47.4%	52.5%			
Acco	adu.	% 5-Year SPED	27.3%	50.0%	29.4%	31.3%	50.0%	-				
	σ¯	% 5-Year EB/EL	=	=	-	-	-	-				
		% 6-Year ALL	45.6%	31.1%	32.3%	39.6%	=	71.0%	51.3%			
		% 6-Year SPED % 6-Year EB/EL	50.0%	29.4%	31.3%	50.0%	-	-				
		-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-				
		# Enrollment ALL	134	142	147	163	158	130	188	226	243	182
		# Enrollment SPED	26	20	23	21	24	20	20	33	33	29
		# Enrollment EB/EL # Enrollment Grade 9 ^{AEA ≥ 60% Age 16=}	7 47	2 48	2	2 27	3	1 20	0	0	0	0
		# Enrollment Grade 9 AEA ≥ 60% Age 16+ # Enrollment Grade 10 AEA ≥ 60% Age 16+	36	48	58 47	37 44	30 50	28 40	42 60	84 69	65 62	32 31
		# Enrollment Grade 10	35	37	21	57	42	29	60	51	59	49
		# Enrollment Grade 12	19	15	21	25	37	33	26	22	59	70
		# Enrollment Afr Amr	20	17	24	11	14	19	14	23	21	13
	,,	# Enrollment Hisp	88	100	99	126	118	77	127	148	153	112
	Enrollment	# Enrollment White	21	18	17	24	26	31	43	49	65	56
	ıllo I	# Enrollment Female	74	70	79	97	87					
TAPR	Δ.	# Enrollment Male	60	72	68	66	72					
		# Enrollment Eco-Dis ^{AEA ≥ 75%}	118	115	145	131	134	115	169	201	180	140
		% ADA ALL	24-25 TAPR	76.5%	71.8%	60.1%	87.2%	79.4%	76.9%	79.0%	80.8%	79.5%
		% ADA SPED	24-25 TAPR	75.2%	65.8%	59.2%	90.4%	80.8%	78.8%	79.8%	83.4%	80.1%
		% ADA EB/EL	24-25 TAPR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		% Dropout ALL	24-25 TAPR	16.5%	23.3%	29.8%	24.0%	17.0%	21.9%	21.5%	24.3%	16.8%
		% Dropout SPED	24-25 TAPR	14.3%	26.3%	31.0%	15.6%	32.1%	23.3%	23.2%	19.1%	16.9%
		% Dropout EB/EL	24-25 TAPR	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		% Mobility	24-25 TAPR	73.6%	72.4%	61.9%	57.6%	68.6%	67.3%	65.7%	74.9%	69.0%
	hing #	# Average Years Experience	10.9	12.2	13.0	11.0	10.3	10.0	9.4	10.6	8.8	11.6
	Teaching Staff	# Average Years Exp w/ District	2.4	2.9	3.7	4.1	3.5	2.9	2.9	4.6	1.8	5.6
		% District Turnover	43.6%	48.3%	25.3%	34.8%	44.6%	55.5%	55.9%	46.2%	57.4%	83.3%
		achievement			rating by increasing A						udents	
		achievement by subject			t performing STAAR E					s: HISP ONly		
/sis	_	Drive Assountability (Federal Asst)			ents by 5% to regain r					loce ctudent seculos	one	
Needs Analysis 24-25 SY	CCMR	Drive Accountability (Federal Acct)			ED, EB, & Homeless st ade 12 non-graduates			raduation rate by 16	∕₀ ɔrευ, tʁ, & Homel	iess student populatii	מווע	
eds A		t						by 2% from 17% +^	15%			
Ne	Dropout Continue to decrease students identified as dropping out to achieve a rate < 20%; decrease current rate by 2% from 17% to 15% Graduation Ensure 70% or more of grade 12 students graduate; SI reidentification to achieve a 6-yr graduation rate of 66.7% or higher											
		Improvement Intervention Level			reidentified due to 6		, ,, o. saddelon rate					
		Y Targeted Improvement Plan			rough TIL or other In:		Pathway Providers					
	00	,	I	,			,					

	CNADDI	ELIENCINE NEEDS ANALYSIS.					нои	STON				
		EHENSIVE NEEDS ANALYSIS: BILITY & TAPR YEAR-OVER-YEAR	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015
		(CNA Part 3 of 3)	86.0%	С	Not Rated	Not Rated	Not Rated	В	IR2	IR1	MET ALT	IR1
		% Approaches	55%	42%	36%	33%		49%	34%	20%	37%	30%
		% Meets	14%	12%	11%	10%		10%	7%			
	₹	% Masters	2%	2%	3%	3%		1%	1%			
		% Pass SPED	21%	13%	37%	8%		22%	13%	5%	14%	-
		% Pass EB/EL	40%	37%	21%	22%		34%	31%	21%	25%	25%
		% Approaches	41%	30%	28%	21%		38%	26%	9%	31%	21%
		% Meets	14%	12%	12%	10%		12%	5%			
	ELAR	% Masters	1%	0%	1%	0%		0%	0%			
		% Pass SPED	0%	0%	38%	0%		11%	9%	0%	14%	-
		% Pass LEP	24%	21%	14%	14%		21%	14%	0%	25%	0%
sults		% Approaches	47%	33%	19%	33%		58%	19%	17%	15%	22%
C Re	£	% Meets	4%	3%	3%	4%		3%	0%			
R EO	Math	% Masters	0%	0%	3%	4%		2%	0%			
TAA		% Pass SPED	0%	-	-	-		50%	0%	0%	0%	-
intability STAAR EOC Re Snapshot Date Students		% Pass LEP	39%	56%	10%	0%		47%	38%	7%	-	0%
rtabi naps		% Approaches	72%	38%	19%	19%		58%	44%	45%	42%	35%
Accountability STAAR EOC Results Snapshot Date Students	Science	% Meets % Masters	6%	0%	7%	13%		6%	0%			
Ą	Scie	% Masters % Pass SPED	0% 50%	0%	4%	6%		0% 33%	0% 17%	25%	100%	
		% Pass SPED % Pass LEP	78%	33%	0%	0%		27%	22%	45%	100%	100%
		% Approaches	82%	74%	74%	70%		62%	60%	40%	64%	60%
		% Meets	22%	23%	19%	15%		14%	19%	40/0	0470	0070
	Social Studies	% Masters	8%	9%	4%	9%		5%	3%			
	Stu	% Pass SPED	33%	-	-	-		0%	29%	17%	0%	-
		% Pass LEP	67%	62%	67%	73%		53%	67%	33%	-	67%
	Rel Perf	STAAR Retester Passing	40.0%	29.0%								
	Growth	English II and Algebra I EOCs	43.0%	40.0%	58.0%			25.0%	45.0%			
	ELP	TELPAS Progress (adv 2 of 4 Domains)	18.0%	11.0%	13.0%	47.0%		32.0%	9.0%			
		% ALL	100.0%	39.3%	21.7%	8.6%	29.4%	79.4%	11.5%			
	S	% College Ready	92.3%	16.1%	8.7%	1.7%	9.5%	2.0%	11.5%			
	uates	% TSI (Both ELA & Math)	90.0%	16.1%	4.3%	0.0%	4.8%	2.0%	10.0%			
_	CCMR ear Graduate	% AP/IB Exam	4.0%	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%	4.0%			
kccountability Graduate Results (TAPR)	CC Year (% Dual Course Credit	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%			
ts (T	ō	% Industry-Based Certfications	14.0%	16.1%	4.3%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
esul	Ę.	% SPED Grads (Code 5 or 55)	0.0%	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%			
ate R		% SPED w/Advanced Degree Plan	0.0%	5.4%	8.7%	5.2%	0.0%	2.0%	-			
adns		% 4-Year ALL	88.9%	40.0%	52.6%	66.4%	62.1%	58.5%	55.7%			
Ę.		% 4-Year SPED	100.0%	-	57.1%	88.9%	60.0%	22.2%				
abilit		% 4-Year EB/EL	65.0%	35.0%	31.3%	78.3%	52.9%	94.4%				
ount	Graduates Prior Year	% 5-Year ALL	55.6%	33.3%	47.4%	54.4%	49.6%	47.6%	43.0%			
Acco	adu.	% 5-Year SPED	66.7%	16.7%	77.8%	50.0%	33.3%	-				
	ō	% 5-Year EB/EL	54.5%	21.4%	30.4%	61.9%	66.7%	-				
		% 6-Year ALL	44.9%	43.7%	49.2%	47.4%	-	45.0%	50.0%			
		% 6-Year SPED	16.7%	77.8%	50.0%	33.3%	-	-				
		% 6-Year EB/EL	50.0%	28.6%	47.6%	65.0%	-	-				
		# Enrollment ALL	144	156	160	214	188	211	182	200	130	151
		# Enrollment SPED	13	10	12	12	6	12	16	17	6	3
		# Enrollment EB/EL # Enrollment Grade 9 ^{AEA 2 60% Age 16=}	19 28	28 27	32 30	44 25	17	30 26	26 33	11 44	31	38
		# Enrollment Grade 10 ^{AEA ≥ 60% Age 16+}	33	50	30	25 52	10 52	26 66	33 44	44	31	38 45
		# Enrollment Grade 10 # Enrollment Grade 11	49	38	51	62	49	52	50	58	39	45 34
		# Enrollment Grade 12	34	41	47	75	77	67	55	55	25	34
		# Enrollment Afr Amr	47	48	58	56	56	65	53	81	49	60
		# Enrollment Hisp	93	104	96	151	121	131	120	106	78	84
	Enrollment	# Enrollment White	0	1	0	4	7	11	5	7	2	2
	ılloır	# Enrollment Female	69	73	75	120	98					
TAPR	ŭ	# Enrollment Male	75	83	85	94	90					
		# Enrollment Eco-Dis ^{AEA ≥ 75%}	127	123	155	170	169	132	165	173	114	132
		% ADA ALL	24-25 TAPR	74.8%	68.5%	63.0%	93.7%	89.2%	88.1%	79.2%	79.4%	81.3%
		% ADA SPED	24-25 TAPR	83.4%	70.1%	72.3%	92.9%	89.7%	90.3%	79.0%	81.6%	77.0%
		% ADA EB/EL	24-25 TAPR	79.3%	70.9%	62.4%	95.6%	92.0%	90.0%	83.5%	76.0%	80.7%
		% Dropout ALL	24-25 TAPR	26.0%	26.4%	40.3%	17.1%	17.6%	16.9%	29.5%	22.9%	21.5%
		% Dropout SPED	24-25 TAPR	14.3%	21.1%	35.7%	11.8%	14.3%	20.0%	25.7%	27.8%	18.2%
		% Dropout EB/EL	24-25 TAPR	29.4%	23.1%	58.3%	15.0%	24.3%	18.4%	28.0%	31.3%	25.0%
		% Mobility	24-25 TAPR	78.9%	77.9%	52.3%	65.7%	68.1%	73.1%	78.7%	72.2%	68.0%
	Teaching Staff	# Average Years Experience	7.8	12.0	12.2	9.9	8.3	9.8	6.4	4.8	5.6	4.3
	Teac	# Average Years Exp w/ District	2.7	2.5	3.4	3.6	2.9	2.1	2.1	3.7	1.6	0.4
		% District Turnover	43.6%	48.3%	25.3%	34.8%	44.6%	55.5%	55.9%	46.2%	57.4%	83.3%
		achievement			rating by increasing A						udents	
		achievement by subject			performing STAAR E							
/sis	_	achievement by student group			ents by 30% to meet o							
Needs Analysis 24-25 SY	Results	Drive Accountability (Federal Acct)			D, EB, & Homeless st			raduation rate by 16	™ SPEU, EB, & Home	ess student population	JIIS	
eds /	CCMR Ensure 80% or more of graduates and grade 12 non-graduates earn one or more CCMR credit Dropout Continue to decrease students identified as dropping out to achieve a rate < 20%											
Ne	Graduat				s graduate; SI reident		6-vr graduation rate	of 66,7% or higher				
		Improvement Intervention Level			reidentified due to 6		. ,. 0. 3000000111000					
		Y Targeted Improvement Plan			rough TIL or other In:		Pathway Providers					
		J ,	I	,			,					

	CAADDI	THENCINE NEEDS ANALYSIS.					FORT	WORTH				
		EHENSIVE NEEDS ANALYSIS: BILITY & TAPR YEAR-OVER-YEAR	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015
		(CNA Part 3 of 3)	74.0%	С	Not Rated	Not Rated	Not Rated	D	IR3	IR2	IR1	MET ALT
		% Approaches	47%	36%	34%	34%		50%	54%	31%	29%	26%
		% Meets	10%	10%	12%	9%		17%	15%			
	₹	% Masters	0%	2%	2%	0%		3%	2%			
		% Pass SPED	44%	54%	9%	14%		18%	33%	8%	3%	5%
		% Pass EB/EL	35%	38%	33%	39%		44%	45%	23%	13%	0%
		% Approaches	34%	30%	30%	30%		39%	36%	19%	13%	25%
		% Meets	10%	10%	12%	10%		16%	12%			
	ELAR	% Masters	0%	2%	0%	0%		0%	0%			
		% Pass SPED	38%	47%	9%	21%		13%	11%	0%	0%	5%
		% Pass LEP	18%	44%	27%	29%		43%	19%	11%	0%	0%
sults		% Approaches	27%	17%	28%	18%		36%	46%	24%	26%	9%
C Re	_	% Meets	0%	0%	4%	0%		3%	5%			
R EO	Math	% Masters	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	2%			
TAA		% Pass SPED	11%	40%	11%	-		0%	25%	8%	0%	0%
Accountability STAAR EOC Results Snapshot Date Students		% Pass LEP	29%	11%	-	17%		38%	33%	23%	0%	-
rtabi naps		% Approaches	81%	41%	33%	31%		37%	76%	49%	44%	29%
cour	Science	% Meets % Masters	6%	4%	5%	3%		5%	10%			
Ą	Scie	% Masters % Pass SPED	100%	0% 50%	0% 11%	0%		0%	0%	25%	20%	13%
		% Pass SPED % Pass LEP	75%	17%	11%	0% 20%		25%	60% 88%	56%	50%	13% 0%
		% Approaches	75% 84%	75%	56%	63%		83%	81%	60%	58%	48%
		% Meets	25%	23%	33%	20%		32%	20%	0070	30%	40/0
	Social Studies	% Masters	3%	5%	11%	3%		10%	4%			
	Stu	% Pass SPED	75%	78%	0%	25%		42%	44%	18%	0%	0%
		% Pass LEP	50%	67%	60%	100%		67%	80%	29%	-	0%
	Rel Perf	STAAR Retester Passing	37.0%	25.0%								
	Growth	English II and Algebra I EOCs	21.0%	45.0%	56.0%			13.0%	-			
	ELP	TELPAS Progress (adv 2 of 4 Domains)	40.0%	8.0%	50.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%			
		% ALL	69.0%	53.3%	6.7%	6.1%	27.6%	16.4%	5.4%			
	es	% College Ready	40.9%	16.7%	6.7%	4.1%	3.4%	9.8%	5.4%			
	luate	% TSI (Both ELA & Math)	39.0%	16.7%	3.3%	4.1%	1.7%	6.6%	4.0%			
	CCMR ear Graduate	% AP/IB Exam	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%	2.0%	0.0%	1.6%	1.0%			
ccountability Graduate Results (TAPR)	CCI	% Dual Course Credit	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	1.7%	3.3%	0.0%			
T; (T	ō	% Industry-Based Certfications	32.0%	36.7%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
kesul	7	% SPED Grads (Code 5 or 55)	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	8.6%	0.0%	0.0%			
ate F		% SPED w/Advanced Degree Plan	5.0%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-			
radu		% 4-Year ALL	63.9%	32.3%	46.1%	54.7%	60.0%	51.3%	50.3%			
₹		% 4-Year SPED	100.0%	25.0%	45.5%	46.2%	58.8%	26.7%				
abili		% 4-Year EB/EL	73.3%	-	33.3%	18.2%	46.2%	39.1%				
ount	ates ′ear	% 5-Year ALL	51.8%	34.9%	43.2%	56.2%	48.1%	44.2%	41.0%			
Acc	Graduates Prior Year	% 5-Year SPED	33.3%	27.3%	27.3%	61.1%	33.3%	-				
	g	% 5-Year EB/EL	80.0%	21.4%	9.1%	50.0%	53.8%	-	27.00/			
		% 6-Year ALL % 6-Year SPED	46.3% 40.0%	37.6%	54.2% 52.6%	46.3% 33.3%	-	44.1%	37.8%			
		% 6-Year SPED	40.0%	36.4% 9.1%	52.6%	42.9%	-	-				
		# Enrollment ALL	117	191	179	169	188	173	193	216	190	169
		# Enrollment SPED	15	17	24	30	34	27	22	26	19	19
		# Enrollment EB/EL # Enrollment Grade 9 ^{AEA ≥ 60% Age 16=}	11 16	19 63	52	20 45	19 50	15 14	19 19	35	41	0 39
		# Enrollment Grade 9 # Enrollment Grade 10 AEA ≥ 60% Age 16+	35	51	49	45	37	52	19 59	81	60	39 49
		# Enrollment Grade 10	26	52	45	32	62	56	56	44	52	49
		# Enrollment Grade 12	40	25	33	47	43	51	59	56	37	34
		# Enrollment Afr Amr	42	66	56	64	66	42	62	84	69	65
		# Enrollment Hisp	54	90	76	74	83	96	90	103	84	68
	Enrollment	# Enrollment White	18	32	40	28	36	32	36	26	33	31
~	nroll	# Enrollment Female	59	96	90	85	90					
TAPR	ŭ	# Enrollment Male	58	95	89	86	102					
		# Enrollment Eco-Dis ^{AEA ≥ 75%}	71	114	175	153	165	146	161	161	99	136
		% ADA ALL	24-25 TAPR	71.9%	66.8%	61.9%	89.7%	86.1%	83.7%	82.7%	77.7%	78.7%
		% ADA SPED	24-25 TAPR	77.7%	63.7%	68.4%	91.7%	88.0%	86.0%	81.8%	77.0%	82.5%
		% ADA EB/EL	24-25 TAPR	72.4%	65.1%	54.4%	87.9%	88.6%	85.6%	86.6%	-	-
		% Dropout ALL	24-25 TAPR	28.0%	26.8%	34.6%	15.9%	17.7%	22.6%	17.2%	30.2%	36.9%
		% Dropout SPED	24-25 TAPR	31.3%	29.7%	33.3%	11.1%	24.4%	17.4%	27.8%	38.6%	41.0%
		% Dropout EB/EL	24-25 TAPR	25.7%	25.8%	45.5%	22.6%	24.1%	36.4%	30.0%	-	= ====
		% Mobility	24-25 TAPR	80.7%	74.9%	57.7%	70.5%	69.5%	70.4%	81.3%	81.3%	83.8%
	hing	# Average Years Experience	12.1	16.5	12.7	13.7	12.7	13.3	11.4	8.8	8.1	6.2
	Teaching Staff	# Average Years Exp w/ District	3.3	4.3	4.4	4.9	4.4	3.5	3.0	4.1	1.7	1.1
		% District Turnover	43.6%	48.3%	25.3%	34.8%	44.6%	55.5%	55.9%	46.2%	57.4%	83.3%
		achievement								n Afr Amr & White stu	udents	
		achievement by subject			mproved STAAR EOC					ır Amr & Hisp		
/sis	_	Drive Assountability (Federal Asst)			s by 20% to meet or e					occ ctudont n =	one	
Needs Analysis 24-25 SY	Results	Drive Accountability (Federal Acct)						raduation rate by 16	™ SPEU, EB, & Home	ess student population	JIIS	
eds /	Dropout	t			ade 12 non-graduates		credit					
Ne	Graduat				s graduate; SI reident		6-yr graduation rate	of 66,7% or higher				
		mprovement Intervention Level			reidentified due to 6		, 7. ₀ . saudation rate					
		/ Targeted Improvement Plan			rough TIL or other In:		o Pathway Providers					
		J ,	I	,			,					

	OMDDI	EHENSIVE NEEDS ANALYSIS:					CORPUS	CHRISTI				
		BILITY & TAPR YEAR-OVER-YEAR	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015
		(CNA Part 3 of 3)	88.0%	С	Not Rated	Not Rated	Not Rated	D	IR2	IR1	MET ALT	MET ALT
		% Approaches	67%	53%	54%	37%		49%	39%	37%	46%	51%
		% Meets	21%	16%	19%	13%		14%	13%			
	₹	% Masters	2%	1%	2%	3%		2%	1%			
		% Pass SPED	47%	32%	16%	27%		27%	26%	17%	26%	22%
		% Pass EB/EL	31%	33%	-	-		13%	10%	0%	20%	-
		% Approaches	54%	42%	44%	34%		37%	32%	22%	38%	49%
		% Meets	27%	20%	24%	15%		12%	11%			
	ELAR	% Masters	2%	0%	0%	1%		1%	0%			
		% Pass SPED	32%	21%	0%	25%		18%	16%	9%	17%	0%
		% Pass LEP	0%	-	-	-		0%	0%	0%	0%	-
sults		% Approaches	64%	43%	44%	12%		51%	31%	29%	20%	37%
C Re	ے	% Meets	9%	10%	7%	0%		10%	4%			
R EO	Math	% Masters	1%	0%	2%	0%		1%	1%			
TAA		% Pass SPED	58%	31%	29%	17%		33%	40%	16%	5%	14%
ccountability STAAR EOC Results Snapshot Date Students		% Pass LEP	100%	-	-	-		0%	0%	0%	0%	-
n tabi naps		% Approaches	78%	60%	63%	48%		56%	39%	48%	58%	50%
cour	nce	% Meets	6%	12%	5%	0%		14%	10%			
Ac	Science	% Masters	0%	0% 44%	0%	0%		4%	0%	249/	209/	09/
		% Pass SPED % Pass LEP	56% 50%	44%	-	-		20% 50%	30%	24% 0%	29% 100%	0%
		% Approaches	95%	77%	70%	54%		74%	70%	76%	81%	84%
		% Meets	24%	20%	23%	21%		25%	29%	7070	-0170	0470
	Social Studies	% Masters	3%	4%	6%	9%		4%	6%			
	Stu	% Pass SPED	100%	50%	29%	38%		38%	30%	44%	63%	100%
		% Pass LEP	75%	-	-	-		0%	100%	0%	-	-
	Rel Perf	STAAR Retester Passing	52.0%	43.0%								
	Growth	English II and Algebra I EOCs	61.0%	38.0%	42.0%			36.0%	47.0%			
	ELP	TELPAS Progress (adv 2 of 4 Domains)	0.0%	50.0%	-	100.0%		0.0%	50.0%			
		% ALL	89.0%	50.8%	13.3%	3.9%	33.7%	18.0%	7.5%			
	v	% College Ready	70.8%	38.5%	0.0%	1.3%	4.7%	1.6%	6.5%			
	uates	% TSI (Both ELA & Math)	68.0%	36.9%	0.0%	1.3%	4.7%	1.6%	4.0%			
	:MR Graduate	% AP/IB Exam	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
APR)	CCMR Year Gra	% Dual Course Credit	1.0%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%			
ts (T.	Prior Y	% Industry-Based Certfications	30.0%	18.5%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
esuk	ď.	% SPED Grads (Code 5 or 55)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	11.6%	3.1%	0.0%			
ate R		% SPED w/Advanced Degree Plan	5.0%	1.5%	6.7%	1.3%	4.7%	1.6%	-			
adus		% 4-Year ALL	97.7%	48.0%	40.0%	61.8%	35.1%	44.9%	62.4%			
ccountability Graduate Results (TAPR)		% 4-Year SPED	80.0%	37.5%	58.3%	75.0%	50.0%	50.0%				
abilit		% 4-Year EB/EL	100.0%	=	=	-	-	25.0%				
ount	Graduates Prior Year	% 5-Year ALL	71.1%	36.0%	54.7%	35.4%	41.8%	56.1%	56.2%			
Accı	radu Prior Y	% 5-Year SPED	57.1%	53.8%	75.0%	52.9%	52.9%	-				
	ō -	% 5-Year EB/EL	-	-	-	-	-	-				
		% 6-Year ALL	43.8%	53.9%	32.0%	44.1%	-	58.0%	75.9%			
		% 6-Year SPED % 6-Year EB/EL	69.2%	75.0%	44.4%	50.0%	-	-				
			-	-	-	-	-	-				
		# Enrollment ALL	210	249	199	252	249	277	378	387	396	291
		# Enrollment SPED	24	29	20	29	23	28	40	41	42	32
		# Enrollment EB/EL # Enrollment Grade 9 ^{AEA ≥ 60% Age 16=}	7 59	3 59	1 42	2 48	40	70	69	107	1 96	0 79
		# Enrollment Grade 10 ^{AEA ≥ 60% Age 16+}	72	73	42 69	48 94	40 85	70	136	107	96 126	79 92
		# Enrollment Grade 10 # Enrollment Grade 11	45	66	58	63	58	79	88	77	89	68
		# Enrollment Grade 12	34	51	30	47	67	54	85	86	85	52
		# Enrollment Afr Amr	6	3	5	7	5	4	16	9	13	5
		# Enrollment Hisp	175	203	161	198	198	208	270	278	282	222
	Enrollment	# Enrollment White	24	41	31	42	41	60	85	94	94	57
	lloll	# Enrollment Female	104	121	104	138	127					
TAPR	Œ	# Enrollment Male	106	128	95	114	123					
		# Enrollment Eco-Dis ^{AEA ≥ 75%}	156	176	194	172	185	211	317	278	233	194
		% ADA ALL	24-25 TAPR	74.0%	73.0%	68.9%	87.3%	74.6%	74.9%	79.0%	79.1%	86.5%
		% ADA SPED	24-25 TAPR	77.0%	71.0%	70.8%	91.7%	80.0%	78.4%	76.9%	75.6%	86.1%
		% ADA EB/EL	24-25 TAPR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		% Dropout ALL	24-25 TAPR	15.7%	15.2%	30.6%	11.0%	21.3%	22.2%	18.3%	19.6%	11.6%
		% Dropout SPED	24-25 TAPR	26.9%	28.1%	28.9%	0.0%	12.2%	22.7%	9.9%	21.1%	13.0%
		% Dropout EB/EL	24-25 TAPR	0.0%	-	33.3%	-	28.6%	33.3%	-	20.0%	-
		% Mobility	24-25 TAPR	69.0%	72.6%	69.5%	63.4%	72.2%	70.6%	70.8%	72.9%	59.6%
	hing	# Average Years Experience	8.8	13.8	10.7	6.1	6.9	8.8	8.1	6.5	7.1	5.9
	Teaching Staff	# Average Years Exp w/ District	3.3	3.2	3.2	3.0	2.1	1.8	2.4	3.5	1.1	2.4
		% District Turnover	43.6%	48.3%	25.3%	34.8%	44.6%	55.5%	55.9%	46.2%	57.4%	83.3%
		achievement			rating by increasing A						udents	
		achievement by subject			st performing STAAR							
/sis	_	Acceptability (Fodoral Acct)			ents by 10% to meet o						ons	
Needs Analysis 24-25 SY	Results CCMR	Drive Accountability (Federal Acct)			D, EB, & Homeless strade 12 non-graduates			raduation rate by 16	76 SPED, EB, & HOME	ess student populati	UIIS	
eds /	Dropou	t			ace 12 non-graduates as dropping out to a			te by 2% from 16% +o	15%			
Ne	Gradua				s graduate; SI reident				-3/-			
		Improvement Intervention Level			reidentified due to 6		, , o saccion rate					
		Y Targeted Improvement Plan			rough TIL or other Ins		o Pathway Providers					
		J ,	L	,			,					

	CAADDI	FLIFAICINE NIFEDC ANALYSIS.					AMA	RILLO				
		EHENSIVE NEEDS ANALYSIS: BILITY & TAPR YEAR-OVER-YEAR	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015
ACCC	JONTAL	(CNA Part 3 of 3)	81.0%	В В	Not Rated	Not Rated	Not Rated	D D	IR2	IR1	MET ALT	MET ALT
		1					NOT Nated					
		% Approaches	65%	57%	35%	41%		56%	56%	48%	31%	48%
	_	% Meets	22%	22%	14%	18%		16%	19%			
	₹	% Masters	4%	2%	4%	2%		2%	2%			
		% Pass SPED	58%	46%	27%	18%		27%	36%	37%	8%	19%
		% Pass EB/EL	60%	33%	-	15%		0%	25%	-	0%	25%
		% Approaches	43%	49%	24%	37%		43%	45%	35%	20%	48%
	œ	% Meets	18%	22%	8%	19%		13%	16%			
	ELAR	% Masters	0%	0%	2%	1%		0%	1%			
		% Pass SPED	36%	41%	16%	11%		11%	28%	23%	0%	23%
10		% Pass LEP	67%	0%	-	0%		0%	0%	-	0%	0%
sult		% Approaches	69%	38%	19%	27%		49%	37%	54%	30%	17%
C Re	ے	% Meets	6%	7%	4%	8%		2%	2%			
R EO	Math	% Masters	0%	0%	2%	4%		0%	0%			
rAA!		% Pass SPED	43%	33%	8%	-		10%	17%	45%	22%	0%
Accountability STAAR EOC Results Snapshot Date Students		% Pass LEP	0%	=	-	=		=	100%	=	0%	=
a bili apsh		% Approaches	78%	71%	47%	67%		72%	69%	71%	41%	35%
Sna	9	% Meets	11%	16%	6%	25%		20%	14%			
Acc	Science	% Masters	0%	0%	6%	0%		4%	0%			
	Ą	% Pass SPED	80%	40%	55%	-		33%	67%	64%	0%	0%
		% Pass LEP	100%	-	-	-		-	-	-	0%	-
		% Approaches	100%	84%	65%	46%		83%	77%	63%	53%	66%
	= s	% Meets	44%	43%	41%	20%		33%	33%			
	Social Studies	% Masters	12%	11%	11%	3%		6%	7%			
	2, <u>2</u>	% Pass SPED	100%	75%	44%	13%		62%	53%	25%	20%	30%
		% Pass LEP	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	67%
	Rel Perf	STAAR Retester Passing	51.0%	43.0%								
	Growth	English II and Algebra I EOCs	46.0%	44.0%	41.0%			20.0%	37.0%			
	ELP	TELPAS Progress (adv 2 of 4 Domains)	50.0%	25.0%	100.0%	50.0%		0.0%				
		% ALL	71.0%	43.2%	20.0%	12.1%	12.0%	16.7%	11.3%			
	v	% College Ready	54.5%	27.0%	8.6%	3.0%	2.0%	15.3%	11.3%			
	uates	% TSI (Both ELA & Math)	52.0%	24.3%	8.6%	3.0%	2.0%	11.1%	9.0%			
	CCMR ear Graduate	% AP/IB Exam	0.0%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%			
VPR)	CCN Year (% Dual Course Credit	2.0%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%			
s (T,	Prior Y	% Industry-Based Certfications	25.0%	13.5%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
kccountability Graduate Results (TAPR)	Ę.	% SPED Grads (Code 5 or 55)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
te Re		% SPED w/Advanced Degree Plan	7.0%	10.8%	8.6%	9.1%	4.0%	1.4%	-			
duat		% 4-Year ALL	62.5%	40.0%	42.4%	57.0%	66.9%	55.1%	58.6%			
, Gra		% 4-Year SPED	100.0%	53.3%	25.0%	53.8%	60.0%	50.0%				
oility		% 4-Year EB/EL	100.0%	-	-	-	-	0.0%				
ıntal	S _	% 5-Year ALL	51.5%	41.3%	53.7%	64.6%	56.7%	54.2%	58.2%			
1000	Graduates Prior Year	% 5-Year SPED	57.1%	22.2%	46.7%	50.0%	54.5%	=				
∢	Gra	% 5-Year EB/EL	50.0%	-	-	-	-	=				
		% 6-Year ALL	50.0%	45.4%	64.6%	57.1%	-	62.9%	76.3%			
		% 6-Year SPED	33.3%	33.3%	50.0%	54.5%	-	-				
		% 6-Year EB/EL	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-				
		# Enrollment ALL	122	151	182	141	176	156	205	148	200	169
		# Enrollment SPED	29	28	34	27	31	23	33	17	22	18
		# Enrollment EB/EL	2	5	3	5	2	1	2	0	0	0
		# Enrollment Grade 9 ^{AEA ≥ 60% Age 16=}	20	32	60	21	39	30	22	43	42	28
		# Enrollment Grade 10 ^{AEA ≥ 60% Age 16+}	47	50	52	50	51	42	57	47	43	51
		# Enrollment Grade 11	33	41	41	36	47	56	63	40	68	53
		# Enrollment Grade 12	22	28	29	34	41	28	63	18	47	37
		# Enrollment Afr Amr	10	15	12	13	17	12	11	12	9	13
		# Enrollment Hisp	60	63	70	62	69	64	93	48	67	63
	Enrollment	# Enrollment White	41	68	89	61	86	78	92	82	120	90
	ll oll	# Enrollment Female	55	79	93	70	86					
TAPR	뜐	# Enrollment Male	67	72	89	71	92					
F		# Enrollment Eco-Dis ^{AEA ≥ 75%}	81	98	173	103	150	123	176	113	143	111
		% ADA ALL	24-25 TAPR	72.9%	72.8%	75.1%	89.1%	85.5%	84.5%	83.8%	76.6%	82.6%
		% ADA SPED	24-25 TAPR	72.5%	71.3%	78.9%	89.6%	88.4%	84.9%	87.6%	78.9%	85.8%
		% ADA EB/EL	24-25 TAPR	72.1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		% Dropout ALL	24-25 TAPR	20.4%	25.6%	27.6%	16.3%	13.2%	17.2%	16.5%	22.3%	11.0%
		% Dropout SPED	24-25 TAPR	15.6%	26.1%	22.5%	17.0%	5.7%	24.5%	9.8%	20.0%	8.1%
		% Dropout EB/EL	24-25 TAPR	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	-	-	33.3%	-	-	-
		% Mobility	24-25 TAPR	69.7%	72.7%	70.4%	67.0%	57.4%	71.9%	76.0%	71.9%	67.2%
	<u>po</u>	# Average Years Experience	11.9	11.3	10.5	11.1	8.1	8.9	4.1	5.7	9.2	9.3
	Teaching Staff	# Average Years Exp w/ District	2.2	2.7	3.1	4.1	3.0	3.0	2.2	3.0	2.1	3.8
	Tea	% District Turnover	43.6%	48.3%	25.3%	34.8%	44.6%	55.5%	55.9%	46.2%	57.4%	83.3%
	STAAR :	achievement			rating by increasing A							
		achievement by subject			st performing STAAR							
		achievement by student group			ents by 10% to regain					ps. map & wille		
ysis	_	Drive Accountability (Federal Acct)			D, EB, & Homeless st					ess student nonulation	ons	
Analy 5 SY	CCMR	2 Accountability (redefal Acct)			ade 12 non-graduates			, addation rate by 16	, o or e.o., e.o., or morner	ess student population	····	
Needs Analysis 24-25 SY	Dropou	t			as dropping out to a		or cuit					
Ne	Graduat				s graduate; SI reident		6-vr graduation rate	of 66.7% or higher				
		Improvement Intervention Level			reidentified due to 6		- 7. b. addactori rate	2. 55.776 of higher				
		Y Targeted Improvement Plan			rough TIL or other In:		n Pathway Providers					
	2031			p acreiopiliciit lii	ub IL OI ULIICI III	accional Ecauci SIII	acay i iovidels					

	COMPRE	CHENCINE NEEDS ANALYSIS.					PASA	DENA				
		EHENSIVE NEEDS ANALYSIS: BILITY & TAPR YEAR-OVER-YEAR	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015
		(CNA Part 3 of 3)	82.0%	В	С	Not Rated	Not Rated	F	IR1	MET ALT		
		% Approaches	55%	51%	46%	39%		47%	36%	38%		
		% Meets	13%	16%	13%	11%		11%	5%	3070		
	₹	% Masters	4%	2%	1%	1%		0%	0%			
		% Pass SPED	41%	29%	23%	12%		29%	12%	0%		
		% Pass EB/EL	41%	39%	42%	24%		38%	10%	17%		
		% Approaches	41%	41%	32%	41%		37%	24%	22%		
		% Meets	13%	15%	10%	19%		7%	4%			
	ELAR	% Masters	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%			
		% Pass SPED	29%	13%	8%	0%		24%	6%	0%		
		% Pass LEP	22%	29%	29%	30%		34%	4%	0%		
Accountability STAAR EOC Results Snapshot Date Students		% Approaches	47%	54%	55%	24%		35%	35%	27%		
C Re	_	% Meets	0%	5%	10%	0%		3%	0%			
R EO	Math	% Masters	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%			
TAA!		% Pass SPED	20%	67%	=	=		0%	43%	=		
ity S		% Pass LEP	67%	50%	71%	11%		13%	17%	0%		
tabil apsh		% Approaches	67%	50%	60%	15%		67%	33%	40%		
nuo:	e c	% Meets	11%	8%	20%	0%		8%	0%			
Acc	Science	% Masters	0%	0%	0%	0%		0%	0%			
		% Pass SPED	57%	29%	-	1 40/		67%	0%	-		
		% Pass LEP	57%	45%	67%	14%		50%	25%	0%		
		% Approaches	90%	78%	62%	55%		69%	67%	75%		
	cial	% Meets	19% 16%	36% 9%	16% 3%	19% 3%		25%	16% 0%			
	Social Studies	% Masters % Pass SPED	16% 80%	9% 40%	3%	50%		50%	0%	-		
		% Pass SPED % Pass LEP	75%	40%	33%	25%		50%	17%	75%		
	Rel Perf	STAAR Retester Passing	75% 42.0%	40.0%	33/0	23/6		3076	1//0	73/0		
	Growth	English II and Algebra I EOCs	46.0%	46.0%	70.0%			18.0% (2-yr Agg)	15.0%			
	ELP	TELPAS Progress (adv 2 of 4 Domains)	27.0%	40.0%	8.0%	0.0%		14.0%	25.0%			
		% ALL	100.0%	88.5%	34.6%	14.7%	8.7%	4.3%	0.0%			
		% College Ready	100.0%	46.2%	11.5%	8.8%	1.9%	4.3%	0.0%			
	ates	% TSI (Both ELA & Math)	96.0%	46.2%	0.0%	5.9%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%			
	MR Graduates	% AP/IB Exam	0.0%	0.0%	7.7%	5.9%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%			
PR)	CCMR Year Gra	% Dual Course Credit	0.0%	3.8%	3.8%	0.0%	1.9%	4.3%	0.0%			
s (TA	Prior Ye	% Industry-Based Certfications	4.0%	50.0%	19.2%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
esult	P.	% SPED Grads (Code 5 or 55)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%			
te Re		% SPED w/Advanced Degree Plan	12.0%	3.8%	7.7%	2.9%	1.9%	0.0%	-			
Accountability Graduate Results (TAPR)		% 4-Year ALL	90.6%	31.7%	47.9%	57.5%	-	38.8%	62.9%			
y Gra		% 4-Year SPED	40.0%	50.0%	70.0%	47.1%	-	-				
billit		% 4-Year EB/EL	40.0%	26.7%	45.5%	55.6%	ē	ē				
unta	ites	% 5-Year ALL	43.6%	29.2%	43.6%	-	-	30.6%	52.6%			
Acco	Graduates Prior Year	% 5-Year SPED	66.7%	40.0%	20.0%	-	-	-				
	<u>.</u>	% 5-Year EB/EL	29.4%	36.4%	15.8%	-	-	-				
		% 6-Year ALL	41.9%	35.1%	-	-	-	27.3%				
		% 6-Year SPED	50.0%	18.8%	-	-	-	-				
		% 6-Year EB/EL	40.0%	15.8%	-	-	-	-				
		# Enrollment ALL	127	142	116	196	169	158	197	67		
		# Enrollment SPED	11	12	20	22	24	18	13	1		
		# Enrollment EB/EL	17	34	16	41	28	19	19	10		
		# Enrollment Grade 9 ^{AEA 2 60% Age 16=}	19	41	26	41	34	19	55	52		
		# Enrollment Grade 10 ^{AEA ≥ 60% Age 16+}	43	46	35	63	44	50	67	13		
		# Enrollment Grade 11	30	34	31	47	49	45	56	0		
		# Enrollment Grade 12	35	21	24 7	45	42	44	19	2		
		# Enrollment Afr Amr # Enrollment Hisp	5 114	12 119	106	6 181	6 156	3 136	5 184	1 62		
	ent	# Enrollment White	7	8	3	8	6	136	8	4		
	Enrollment	# Enrollment White # Enrollment Female	66	81	65	114	97	19	٥	4		
TAPR	ᇤ	# Enrollment Male	61	61	51	82	72					
1		# Enrollment Eco-Dis ^{AEA ≥ 75%}	92	102	114	193	169	144	184	66		
		% ADA ALL	24-25 TAPR	73.1%	72.5%	67.4%	89.9%	82.0%	75.2%	79.9%		
		% ADA SPED	24-25 TAPR	78.7%	79.3%	72.7%	91.8%	86.2%	68.3%	-		
		% ADA EB/EL	24-25 TAPR	70.4%	77.8%	66.4%	92.0%	81.6%	76.6%	82.1%		
		% Dropout ALL	24-25 TAPR	31.1%	29.8%	37.7%	19.2%	12.2%	35.5%	33.9%		
		% Dropout SPED	24-25 TAPR	30.8%	34.8%	31.0%	19.4%	4.0%	50.0%	30.8%		
		% Dropout EB/EL	24-25 TAPR	42.5%	31.6%	46.7%	12.5%	13.2%	25.0%	35.5%		
		% Mobility	24-25 TAPR	71.4%	75.1%	73.5%	68.2%	70.5%	75.9%	95.9%		
	gu	# Average Years Experience	10.4	13.1	10.8	9.5	7.1	7.8	8.0			
	Teaching Staff	# Average Years Exp w/ District	2.6	2.5	3.4	3.2	2.5	1.4	8.0			
	Te C	% District Turnover	43.6%	48.3%	25.3%	34.8%	44.6%	55.5%	55.9%	46.2%	57.4%	83.3%
	STAAR a	achievement	Increase raw score i	oy 2% to 26%, mid-C	rating by increasing A	pproaches, Meets, N	lasters from 55-13-4	to 60-14-4; Equity G	ap identified betwee	n Afr Amr & White st	udents	
	STAAR a	achievement by subject	Increase ELAR passi	ng rate by 5%, lowes	t performing STAAR E	OC subject; STAAR A	chievement Reading	& Math two lowest p	performing R/E group	s: Hisp only		
v	STAAR a	achievement by student group	Increase ELAR passi	ng rate of EB student	s by 20% to regain re	gression from prior y	ear on one of lowest	performing STAAR E	OC tests (n/a SPED N	lath w/one-third EB E	LAR)	
Needs Analysis 24-25 SY	Results	Drive Accountability (Federal Acct)	Increase ELAR passi	ng rate by 5% for SPE	D, EB, & Homeless st	udent populations; ir	crease federal 4-yr g	raduation rate by 16	% SPED, EB, & Home	less student population	ons	
s An	CCMR		Ensure 80% or more	of graduates and gr	ade 12 non-graduates	s earn one or more C	CMR credit					
Veed 24	Dropout Continue to decrease students identified as dropping out to achieve a rate < 20%											
	Graduat				s graduate; <mark>SI reident</mark>		6-yr graduation rate	of 66.7% or higher				
		mprovement Intervention Level			reidentified due to 6							
	24-26 SY	Targeted Improvement Plan	Instructional Leader	ship development th	rough TIL or other In:	structional Leadershi	p Pathway Providers					

	CNADDI	EHENSIVE NEEDS ANALYSIS:					MIDLAN	D SOUTH				
		BILITY & TAPR YEAR-OVER-YEAR	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015
		(CNA Part 3 of 3)	77.0%	С	С	Not Rated	Not Rated	F	IR2	IR1		
		% Approaches	57%	42%	46%	40%		32%	31%	17%		
		% Meets	17%	13%	11%	11%		8%	6%			
	₹	% Masters	2%	2%	1%	2%		0%	0%			
		% Pass SPED	35%	10%	17%	14%		11%	15%	0%		
		% Pass EB/EL	28%	41%	34%	19%		10%	27%	9%		
		% Approaches	40%	32%	40%	33%		25%	25%	15%		
		% Meets	18%	17%	15%	10%		8%	3%			
	ELAR	% Masters	1%	2%	1%	0%		0%	0%			
		% Pass SPED	8%	5%	11%	0%		8%	22%	0%		
		% Pass LEP	8%	26%	29%	11%		10%	30%	11%		
sults		% Approaches	63%	27%	38%	18%		8%	23%	18%		
C Re	ے	% Meets	2%	4%	3%	3%		2%	2%			
R EO	Math	% Masters	0%	2%	0%	0%		0%	0%			
TAA		% Pass SPED	50%	11%	-	-		0%	11%	0%		
untability STAAR EOC Re Snapshot Date Students		% Pass LEP	38%	29%	50%	-		0%	0%	0%		
rtabi naps		% Approaches	68%	57%	50%	56%		54%	32%	9%		
Accountability STAAR EOC Results Snapshot Date Students	Science	% Meets % Masters	11%	9%	6%	14% 2%		6%	3% 0%			
Ą	Scie	% Masters % Pass SPED	0%	5% 17%	0%			0%	0%	0%		
		% Pass SPED % Pass LEP	67% 43%	71%	29%	29%		0%	0%	0%		
		% Approaches	89%	68%	65%	61%		49%	51%	23%		
		% Meets	23%	15%	16%	19%		15%	17%	25,3		
	Social Studies	% Masters	7%	2%	5%	10%		2%	0%			
	Stu	% Pass SPED	67%	20%	-	-		33%	0%	-		
		% Pass LEP	71%	67%	40%	-		25%	33%	13%		
	Rel Perf	STAAR Retester Passing	39.0%	28.0%								
	Growth	English II and Algebra I EOCs	46.0%	47.0%	79.0%			14.0%	32.0%			
	ELP	TELPAS Progress (adv 2 of 4 Domains)	0.0%	9.0%	25.0%	40.0%		0.0%	40.0%			
		% ALL	72.0%	36.7%	5.9%	0.0%	8.8%	26.5%	0.0%			
	es	% College Ready	66.7%	30.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%			
	luate	% TSI (Both ELA & Math)	62.0%	26.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
	CCMR ear Graduate	% AP/IB Exam	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%			
kccountability Graduate Results (TAPR)	CCI	% Dual Course Credit	3.0%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
T; (T	ō	% Industry-Based Certfications	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.8%	0.0%			
kesul	Ą.	% SPED Grads (Code 5 or 55)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%			
ate F		% SPED w/Advanced Degree Plan	10.0%	3.3%	5.9%	0.0%	5.9%	8.8%	-			
radu		% 4-Year ALL	50.7%	30.3%	43.6%	30.8%	-	50.0%	61.7%			
₹		% 4-Year SPED	100.0%	40.0%	-	50.0%	-	-				
abili		% 4-Year EB/EL	33.3%	12.5%	0.0%	28.6%	-	-				
ount	ates ′ear	% 5-Year ALL	43.5%	27.4%	12.9%	-	-	31.0%	70.0%			
Acc	Graduates Prior Year	% 5-Year SPED	40.0%	40.0%	16.7%	-	-	=				
	G	% 5-Year EB/EL	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	66.70/			
		% 6-Year ALL % 6-Year SPED	29.2% 40.0%	14.5% 16.7%	-	-	-	60.0%	66.7%			
		% 6-Year EB/EL	0.0%	0.0%	-	-	-	=				
		# Enrollment ALL	126	145	144	159	161	135	181	80		
		# Enrollment SPED	18	15	10	15	16 9	9	16 2	3		
		# Enrollment EB/EL # Enrollment Grade 9 ^{AEA ≥ 60% Age 16=}	14 32	12 40	16 29	14 53	41	6 32	48	32		
		# Enrollment Grade 9 # Enrollment Grade 10 AEA ≥ 60% Age 16+	37	53	58	53	52	51	56	25		
		# Enrollment Grade 10	25	35	36	27	40	34	54	9		
		# Enrollment Grade 12	32	17	21	29	29	18	23	14		
		# Enrollment Afr Amr	3	5	8	8	7	4	15	8		
		# Enrollment Hisp	102	109	101	116	108	87	111	60		
	Enrollment	# Enrollment White	20	29	34	35	46	44	52	9		
	lloll	# Enrollment Female	57	68	81	85	87					
TAPR	ū	# Enrollment Male	69	77	63	76	75					
		# Enrollment Eco-Dis ^{AEA ≥ 75%}	68	93	134	100	92	88	93	59		
		% ADA ALL	24-25 TAPR	81.3%	72.1%	61.0%	85.6%	81.8%	80.1%	79.3%		
		% ADA SPED	24-25 TAPR	87.1%	84.1%	63.0%	90.3%	86.1%	85.2%	-		
		% ADA EB/EL	24-25 TAPR	80.9%	70.7%	59.3%	86.7%	85.6%	87.1%	77.6%		
		% Dropout ALL	24-25 TAPR	21.9%	30.7%	27.3%	17.7%	18.6%	23.6%	33.1%		
		% Dropout SPED	24-25 TAPR	5.9%	23.8%	29.4%	13.0%	6.7%	15.4%	11.1%		
		% Dropout EB/EL	24-25 TAPR	21.1%	36.4%	33.3%	15.8%	16.7%	28.6%	41.2%		
		% Mobility	24-25 TAPR	62.1%	69.8%	65.8%	64.0%	70.1%	75.6%	87.7%		
	hing	# Average Years Experience	11.4	13.1	10.8	9.3	7.3	7.7	5.3			
	Teaching Staff	# Average Years Exp w/ District	3.5	3.8	4.0	4.8	3.6	2.4	2.0	46 30/	E7 40/	92.20/
		% District Turnover	43.6%	48.3%	25.3%	34.8%	44.6%	55.5%	55.9%	46.2%	57.4%	83.3%
		achievement				Approaches, Meets, N					uaents	
		achievement by subject				EOC subject; STAAR A				ps: Hisp only		
/sis	_	Drive Assountability (Foderal Asst)				regression from prio				loce ctudent commit	ions	
Needs Analysis 24-25 SY	CCMR	Drive Accountability (Federal Acct)				udent populations; ir s earn one or more C		auuation rate by 16	/ о эгс∪, EB, & HOME	iess student populati	UIIS	
eds /	Dropou	t			ace 12 non-graduates		oran or cuit					
Ne	Graduat					tification to achieve a	6-vr graduation rate	of 66.7% or higher				
		Improvement Intervention Level			reidentified due to 6		, ,, o suddelon rate					
		Y Targeted Improvement Plan				structional Leadershi	p Pathway Providers					
		J ,	I LEGISTIC	,								

				Goal v.	Actual				Ye	ar to Date: 8/12-8	/30
1	ATTENDANCE RATE				5%	1		Campus	Days Membership	Days Present	Attendance Rate
		6th 6-Wks	5th 6-Wks	4th 6-Wks	3rd 6-Wks	2nd 6-Wks	1st 6-Wks		All Students	All Students	
								District	0	0	
								Killeen			
								Odessa			
Average weekl	y attendance rate							Lubbock			
	IDER >Attendance >Reports >Audit >SAT2300 il Report by Campus, by Track							Houston			
Parameters:								Fort Worth			
	Start date of period reviewed date of period reviewed							Corpus Christi			
								Amarillo			
								Pasadena			
								Midland South			
				Goal v.	Actual				Ye	ar to Date: 8/12-8	/30
2	DROPOUTS		≤ 20%			≤10%			Year to Date		
		6th 6-Wks	5th 6-Wks	4th 6-Wks	3rd 6-Wks	2nd 6-Wks	1st 6-Wks	Campus	Enrollment	# Dropout	% Dropout
		O WKS	District	0	0						
								Killeen			
								Odessa			
	coded as dropping out, leaver code 98 HOWS, leaver code 44)							Lubbock			
Target: DPP 11.	.4%, RDA 1.8%, DVM 1.5%							Houston			
Source: ASCEN	IDER >Registration >Create Registration Report							Fort Worth			
	ort to Excel and sort by Reason (delete NO SHOW esort by campus, by reason and count students,							Corpus Christi			
count dropou	ts (reason 98)							Amarillo			
								Pasadena			
								Midland South			
				Goal v	Actual			r natana douth	Ye	ar to Date: 8/12-8	/30
3	SUSPENSIONS-EXPULSIONS				tored				% ECO-DIS	% SPED	% EB
3	SOST ENSIONS-EAF OLSIONS	6th	5th	4th	3rd	2nd	1st	Campus	OOS + Explsn w/o Ed	OOS + Explsn w/o Ed	OOS + Explsn w/o Ed
		6-Wks	6-Wks	6-Wks	6-Wks	6-Wks	6-Wks	District	0	0	W/O Eu
									0	0	
YTD students of	coded as suspended or expelled							Killeen			
Source: ASCEN	IDER >Discipline >Reports >SDS1600 Student							Odessa			
	ource: ASCENDER >Discipline >Reports >SDS1600 Student Suspensions Expulsions arameters: In-School Suspensions: N Out-of-School Suspensions: Y							Lubbock			
In-School Sus								Houston			
Expulsions wi	Out-of-School Suspensions: Y Expulsions with Educational Services: N Expulsions without Educational Services: Y From Action Date: Start date of period reviewed To Action Date: End date of period reviewed							Fort Worth			
From Action L								Corpus Christi			
	e: End date or period reviewed report to view All Reported Counts by campus							Amarillo			
								Pasadena			
								Midland South			

				Goal v.	Actual				Ye	ar to Date: 8/12-8/	'30
4	RETENTION		≥60%			≥80%			Vaceta Data	# With drawn	
		6th 6-Wks	5th 6-Wks	4th 6-Wks	3rd 6-Wks	2nd 6-Wks	1st 6-Wks	Campus	Year to Date Enrollment	# Withdrawn Excluding Grads	% Retained
								District	0	0	
								Killeen	0		
								Odessa	0		
YTD student ei	rollment compared to count of withdrawn students							Lubbock	0		
(exclude gradu	ates - reason 01)							Houston	0		
	ASCENDER report created for Metric 2 ort to Excel and sort by campus, by reason							Fort Worth	0		
and count al (reason 01)	withdrawn students, excluding graduates							Corpus Christi	0		
								Amarillo	0		
								Pasadena	0		
								Midland South	0		
				Goal v	Actual			Godin		t 6-Weeks: 8/12-8/	/30
5a	EXCESSIVE ABSENCES ALL				5%				13	10-Weeks. 0/12-0/	30
Ja	EXCESSIVE ADSENCES ALL	6th	5th	4th	3rd	2nd	1st	Campus	# Students Enrolled Avg	# Students w/5+ Abs	% Excessive Absences
		6-Wks	6-Wks	6-Wks	6-Wks	6-Wks	6-Wks				
	ing FIVE or more days of instruction							District	0	0	
>SAT1100 D	ce: ASCENDER >Attendance >Reports >Membership AT1100 District Recap							Killeen			
	IDER >Attendance >Reports >Students cessive Absence							Odessa			
Parameters: Ending Scho	ol Year: Current SY							Lubbock			
Campus ID: Number of D	001 - 010 ays Excessive: 5							Houston			
Absence Typ From Date: 1								Fort Worth			
To Date: TBD Active Code:								Corpus Christi			
Calculate: Sele	ct CSV and combine all campus reports (note on combined report), sort by period 02 and 06,							Amarillo			
delete stude	nts with less than 5 abs then count students eave created report to calculate Metric 5b and 5c							Pasadena			
by campus, s	ave created report to calculate metric 35 and 30							Midland South			
				Goal v.	Actual				1 s	t 6-Weeks: 8/12-8/	/30
5b	EXCESSIVE ABSENCES SPED			≤ 2	5%			G	# SPED	# SPED	% SPED
		6th 6-Wks	5th 6-Wks	4th 6-Wks	3rd 6-Wks	2nd 6-Wks	1st 6-Wks	Campus	Enrolled	w/5+ Abs	Absences
								District	0	0	
								Killeen			
								Odessa			
SPED students	missing 5 or more days of instruction							Lubbock			
	ource: Utilize ASCENDER report created for Metric 4a and combine with Create Registration Report Metric 4b and 4c to identify special student populations including SPED alculate: Count SPED students by campus and count SPED students with 5 or more absences							Houston			
								Fort Worth			
								Corpus Christi			
								Amarillo			
								Pasadena			
								Midland South			

				Goal v	. Actual				1s ⁻	t 6-Weeks: 8/12-8	/30
5c	EXCESSIVE ABSENCES EB			≤ 2	!5%				# EB	# EB	% EB
		6th 6-Wks	5th 6-Wks	4th 6-Wks	3rd 6-Wks	2nd 6-Wks	1st 6-Wks	Campus	Enrolled	w/ 5+ Abs	Absences
								District	0	0	
								Killeen			
								Odessa			
Emergent Bilir	igual students missing 5 or more days of instruction							Lubbock			
	ASCENDER report created for Metric 4a and							Houston			
identify spec	h Create Registration Report Metric 4b and 4c to ial student populations including EB							Fort Worth			
	int EB students by campus and count EB h 5 or more absences							Corpus Christi			
								Amarillo			
								Pasadena			
								Midland South			
				Goal v	. Actual				1 s	t 3-Weeks: 8/12-8	/30
6a	COURSE PROGRESS ALL	≥ 65%	≥ 5	50%	≥ 65%	≥ 5	0%		# Courses	# Courses	% Course
		6th 6-Wks	5th 6-Wks	4th 6-Wks	3rd 6-Wks	2nd 6-Wks	1st 6-Wks	Campus	Graded	Pass	Pass
								District	0	0	
								Killeen			
All students co	ourse passing rate each 3-weeks							Odessa			
	genuity courses, TCB, and NCCER)							Lubbock			
	IDER >Grade Reporting >Reports >Report Cards nterim Progress Report							Houston			
Parameters: Er	nter all fields except leave Grade Level, Track, Student IDs, and Tardy Period blank							Fort Worth			
Calculate: Sele	ect CSV, sort by course (column-B), by current umn-M and L) then count all courses and							Corpus Christi			
	current average ≥ 70%							Amarillo			
								Pasadena			
								Midland South			
				Goal v	. Actual				1 s	t 3-Weeks: 8/12-8	/30
6b	COURSE PROGRESS SPED	≥ 65%	≥ 5	50%	≥65%	≥ 5	0%		SPED	SPED	SPED
		6th 6-Wks	5th 6-Wks	4th 6-Wks	3rd 6-Wks	2nd 6-Wks	1st 6-Wks	Campus	# Courses Graded	# Courses Pass	% Course Pass
								District	0	0	
								Killeen			
	s course passing rate each 3-weeks							Odessa			
	genuity courses, TCB, and NCCER)							Lubbock			
>SGR1160 II	urce: ASCENDER > Grade Reporting > Report > Report Cards > SGR1160 Interim Progress Report; select list (3 dots) for Student IDs, select Special Ed, select Retrieve, check all students on each page and then Select elculate: Select CSV, sort by course (column-B), by current average (column-M and L) then count all courses and courses with current average ≥ 70% te: Clear Student IDs before next search							Houston			
students on								Fort Worth			
average (col								Corpus Christi			
								Amarillo			
								Pasadena			
								Midland South			
		<u> </u>	l	I	<u> </u>	l		I	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

				Goal v.	Actual				1 st	3-Weeks: 8/12-8	/30
6c	COURSE PROGRESS EB	≥ 65% 6th	≥ 5 5th	60% 4th	≥ 65% 3rd	≥ 5 2nd	0% 1st	Campus	EB # Courses Graded	EB # Courses	EB % Course
		6-Wks	6-Wks	6-Wks	6-Wks	6-Wks	6-Wks			Pass	Pass
								District	0	0	
								Killeen			
	urse passing rate each 3-weeks enuity courses, TCB, and NCCER)							Odessa			
	DER >Grade Reporting >Reports >Report Cards							Lubbock			
Student IDs,	nterim Progress Report; select list (3 dots) for select Bil/ESL, select Retrieve, check all							Houston			
Calculate: Sele	each page and then Select ct CSV, sort by course (column-B), by current							Fort Worth			
	ımn-M and L) then count all courses and current average ≥ 70%							Corpus Christi			
Note: Clear Stu	dent IDs before next search							Amarillo			
								Pasadena			
								Midland South			
			Goal v.	. Actual					1st	9-Weeks: 8/12-10	/11
7a	ALL COURSE COMPLETION ALL	Campus		# Courses	# Courses	% Course					
		4th 9-Wks	3rd 9-Wks	2nd 9-Wks	1st 9-Wks			•	Graded	Pass	Pass
						District			0	0	
All students co	urse passing rate each 9-weeks					Killeen					
	DER Create Grade Reporting, Metrics Grades					Odessa					
Note: Select ap	plicable Semester Option before creating report ort to Excel and sort by campus, by semester					Lubbocl	k				
grade and ex	clude students without a grade or an					Houston	1				
a semester g						Fort Wo	rth				
Pass/Fail code	S:					Corpus	Christi				
	xcessive Absences					Amarillo)				
9 No Credit I	ncomplete					Pasadei	na				
						Midland	South				
			Goal v.	. Actual					1 st	9-Weeks: 8/12-10	/11
7 b	ALL COURSE COMPLETION SPED	≥ 75%	≥ 65%	≥ 75%	≥ 65%				SPED	SPED	SPED
		4th 9-Wks	3rd 9-Wks	2nd 9-Wks	1st 9-Wks		Ca	impus	# Courses Graded	# Courses Pass	% Course Pass
		3-VVK3	3-WK3	3-VVK5	3-VVK5	District			0	0	
						Killeen					
						Odessa					
SPED students	course passing rate each 9-weeks					Lubbocl	k				
	ASCENDER report created for Metric 7a					Houston					
grade and ex	alculate: Sort by SPED (Primary Dis), by campus, by semester grade and exclude students without a grade or an incomplete then count all courses for SPED students and count courses for SPED students with a semester grade ≥ 70%					Fort Wo					
count course						Corpus					
grade ≥ 70%						Amarillo					
						Pasadei					
						Midland	South				

			Goal v.	Actual			1 st	9-Weeks: 8/12-10	/11
7c	ALL COURSE COMPLETION EB	≥ 75% 4th	≥ 65% 3rd	≥ 75% 2nd	≥ 65% 1st	Campus	EB # Courses	EB # Courses	EB % Course
		9-Wks		9-Wks	9-Wks		Graded	Pass	Pass
						District	0	0	
						Killeen			
						Odessa			
Emergent Bilingu	ual students course passing rate each 9-weeks					Lubbock			
	CENDER report created for Metric 7a y EB (LEP Cd), by campus, by semester					Houston			
grade and exclu	ude students without a grade or an en count all courses for EB students and					Fort Worth			
	for EB students with a semester grade ≥ 70%					Corpus Christi			
						Amarillo			
						Pasadena			
						Midland South			
			Goal v.	Actual			1 st	9-Weeks: 8/12-10	/11
7d	ALL COURSE COMPLETION GAP		<1	0%			Afr Amr Students	White Students	
		4th 9-Wks	3rd 9-Wks	2nd 9-Wks	1st 9-Wks	Campus	% Course Pass	% Course Pass	% Gap
		o was	O WKS	O WKS	0%	District	1 400	1 400	0%
					0%	Killeen			0%
	te students course passing rate each 9-weeks ACCT uired to submit an Equity Plan to address gaps as it				0%	Odessa			0%
	come students and students of color being taught d, out-of-field, and ineffective teachers at higher				0%	Lubbock			0%
	students. RMA's plan monitors course and sing rates for Afr Amr & White students				0%	Houston			0%
Source: Utilize AS	SCENDER report created for Metric 7a				0%	Fort Worth			0%
-	y Afr Amr (delete multi-race), by campus, by le and exclude students without a grade or an				0%	Corpus Christi			0%
	en count all courses for Afr Amr students and for Afr Amr students with a semester grade				0%	Amarillo			0%
≥ 70%; repeat	for White students				0%	Pasadena			0%
					0%	Midland South			0%
			Goal v.	Actual			1st	9-Weeks: 8/12-10	/11
8a	EOC COURSE COMPLETION ALL	≥ 75%		≥ 75%	≥ 65%				
Ou		4th	3rd	2nd	1st	Campus	# EOC Courses Graded	# EOC Courses Pass	% EOC Courses Pass
		9-Wks	9-Wks	9-Wks	9-Wks	District	0	0	
						Killeen	•	•	
						Odessa			
All students EOC	course passing rate each 9-weeks								
	SCENDER report created for Metric 7a to Excel and sort by course title, by campus,					Lubbock			
by semester gra	ade and exclude students without a grade or					Houston			
courses with a	n incomplete then count all EOC courses and count EOC purses with a semester grade ≥ 70% courses: ALG1, BIO, ENG1, ENG2, USH, READ 1, READ 2, EAD 3, ENVIRSYS, SPTSS (So Studies), and STLNHSM					Fort Worth			
READ 3, ENVIR						Corpus Christi			
(Math)						Amarillo			
						Pasadena			

		Goal v	. Actual			1st	9-Weeks: 8/12-10	/11
EOC COURSE COMPLETION SPED	≥ 75% 4th	3rd	2nd	≥ 65%	Campus	# EOC Courses Graded	# EOC Courses Pass	% EOC Courses Pass
	9-WKS	9-WKS	9-WKS	9-WKS	District	0	0	
					Killeen			
OC course passing rate each 9-weeks					Odessa			
					Lubbock			
by campus, by semester grade and exclude					Houston			
or SPED students and count EOC Courses for					Fort Worth			
G1, BIO, ENG1, ENG2, USH, READ 1, READ 2,					Corpus Christi			
SYS, SPTSSS (So Studies), and STLNHSM					Amarillo			
					Pasadena			
					Midland South			
		Goal v	. Actual			1st	9-Weeks: 8/12-10)/11
EOC COURSE COMPLETION EB		≥ 65%	≥ 75%	≥ 65%		# FOC Courses	# FOC Courses	% EOC Courses
	4th	3rd	2nd	1st	Campus	Graded	Pass	Pass
	3-VVK3	3-WK3	3-WK3	3-WK3	District	0	0	
					Killeen			
ual EOC course passing rate each 9-weeks					Odessa			
SCENDER report created for Metric 7a					Lubbock			
					Houston			
					Fort Worth			
					Corpus Christi			
SYS, SPTSS (So Studies), and STLNHSM					Amarillo			
					Pasadena			
					Midland South			
		Goal v	. Actual			1st	9-Weeks: 8/12-10)/11
CTE COURSE COMPLETION	≥ 75%	≥ 65%	≥ 75%	≥ 65%		# CTF Courses	# CTF Courses	% CTE Courses
	4th	3rd	2nd	1st	Campus	Graded	Pass	Pass
	9-WKS	9-WKS	9-WKS	9-WKS	District	0	0	
					Killeen			
					Odessa			
orded credit for one or more CTE courses					Lubbock			
SCENDER report created for Metric 7a t to Excel and sort by CTE Hrs, by campus, by					Lubbock			
SCENDER report created for Metric 7a t to Excel and sort by CTE Hrs, by campus, by e and exclude students without a grade or an en count all CTE courses and count CTE					Lubbock			
SCENDER report created for Metric 7a t to Excel and sort by CTE Hrs, by campus, by e and exclude students without a grade or an					Lubbock Houston Fort Worth			
SCENDER report created for Metric 7a t to Excel and sort by CTE Hrs, by campus, by e and exclude students without a grade or an en count all CTE courses and count CTE					Lubbock Houston Fort Worth Corpus Christi			
	ual EOC course passing rate each 9-weeks SCENDER report created for Metric 7a I to Excel and sort by EB (LEP Cd), by course us, by semester grade and exclude students e or an incomplete then count all EOC students and count EOC courses for EB a semester grade ≥ 70% G1, BIO, ENG1, ENG2, USH, READ 1, READ 2, RSYS, SPTSS (So Studies), and STLNHSM	9-Wks SCENDER report created for Metric 7a It to Excel and sort by SPED (Primary Dis), by campus, by semester grade and exclude out a grade or an incomplete then count all or SPED students and count EOC Courses for swith a semester grade ≥ 70% G1, BIO, ENG1, ENG2, USH, READ 1, READ 2, RSYS, SPTSSS (So Studies), and STLNHSM EOC COURSE COMPLETION EB ≥ 75% 4th 9-Wks scenario of the semester grade and exclude students ero ran incomplete then count all EOC students and count EOC courses for EB a semester grade ≥ 70% G1, BIO, ENG1, ENG2, USH, READ 1, READ 2, RSYS, SPTSS (So Studies), and STLNHSM CTE COURSE COMPLETION ≥ 75% 4th	SOC course passing rate each 9-weeks SCENDER report created for Metric 7a It to Excel and sort by SPED (Primary Dis), by campus, by semester grade and exclude out a grade or an incomplete then count all out symbol symb	### SP-Wks 9-Wks 9	SCENDER report created for Metric 7a to Excel and sort by SPED (Primary Dis), by campus, by semester grade and exclude ut a grade or an incomplete then count all of SYS, SPTSS (So Studies), and STLNHSM GOAL V. Actual EOC COURSE COMPLETION EB EOC COURSE COMPLETION EB EOC COURSE grade each 9-weeks SCENDER report created for Metric 7a to Excel and sort by EB (LEP Cd), by course s, by semester grade and exclude students are or an incomplete then count all EOC students and count EOC courses for SYS, SPTSS (So Studies), and STLNHSM GOAL V. Actual EOC COURSE COMPLETION EB EOC COURSE COMPLETION EB COURSE COMPLETION EB Atth 3rd 2nd 1st 9-Wks 9-W	9Wiks 9Wik	9-Wits 9-Wits 19-Wits	9-Wiks 9-Wiks 9-Wiks 9-Wiks 0-Wiks 0

			Goal v.	. Actual					1 st	9-Weeks: 8/12-10	/11
9b	CTE COURSE COMPLETION SPED	≥ 75% 4th	≥ 65% 3rd	2nd	≥ 65% 1st		Cá	ımpus	# CTE Courses Graded	# CTE Courses Pass	% CTE Courses Pass
		9-Wks	9-Wks	9-Wks	9-Wks	District			0	0	
						Killeen					
CDED aturda mas	awarded credit for one or more CTE courses					Odessa					
each 9-weeks	awarded credit for one of more car courses					Lubboc	k				
	ASCENDER report created for Metric 7a ort to Excel and sort by SPED (Primary Dis), by					Houston	n				
course title,	by campus, by semester grade and exclude nout a grade or an incomplete then count all					Fort Wo	rth				
CTE courses	for SPED students and count CTE courses for ts with a semester grade ≥ 70%					Corpus	Christi				
	Ü					Amarillo)				
						Pasade	na				
						Midland	l South				
			Goal v.	. Actual					1 st	9-Weeks: 8/12-10	/11
9c	CTE COURSE COMPLETION EB	≥ 75%	≥ 65%	≥ 75%	≥ 65%		C	ımpus	# CTE Courses	# CTE Courses	% CTE Courses
		4th 9-Wks	3rd 9-Wks	2nd 9-Wks	1st 9-Wks		O.	iiipus	Graded	Pass	Pass
						District			0	0	
						Killeen					
CTE students a	warded credit for one or more Career/Tech courses					Odessa					
Graded each 9	-weeks					Lubboc	k				
	ASCENDER report created for Metric 7a ort to Excel and sort by EB (LEP Cd), by					Housto	n				
	by campus, by semester grade and exclude nout a grade or an incomplete then count all					Fort Wo	rth				
	for EB students and count CTE courses for EB na semester grade ≥ 70%					Corpus	Christi				
						Amarillo)				
						Pasade					
						Midland	South				
40-				Goal v.	Actual				ВОҮ	STAAR EOC: 9/10-	9/13
10 a	ACHIEVEMENT ALL MEETS+	6th	≥ 15% 5th	4th	3rd	≥ 10% 2nd	1st	Campus	# Tests	# Meets	% All Meets
		6-Wks	6-Wks	6-Wks	6-Wks	6-Wks	6-Wks	District	0	0	
								Killeen	0	0	
								Odessa			
State and loca	assessment results for ALL students at the MEETS							Lubbock			
level or above								Houston			
Source: Eduph	ecific test(s) identified in date range urce: Eduphoria - tests scoring at or above EOC MEETS passing level urce: STAAR Summary Reports - tests scoring at or above MEETS passing level							Fort Worth			
								Corpus Christi			
MEETS passi								Amarillo			
								Pasadena			
								Midland South			
			l	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>				

				Goal v.	. Actual				воу	STAAR EOC: 9/10	-9/13
10 b	ACHIEVEMENT ALL APPROACHES+		≥ 45%			≥35%					% All
		6th 6-Wks	5th 6-Wks	4th 6-Wks	3rd 6-Wks	2nd 6-Wks	1st 6-Wks	Campus	# Tests	# Met	Approaches
								District	0	0	
								Killeen			
								Odessa			
	l assessment results for ALL students at the or above level							Lubbock			
Specific test(s)	identified in date range							Houston			
Source: Eduph	oria - tests scoring at or above EOC ES passing level for ALL students							Fort Worth			
Source: STAAR	Summary Reports- tests scoring at or above ES passing level for ALL students							Corpus Christi			
	, 0							Amarillo			
								Pasadena			
								Midland South			
				Goal v.	. Actual				воу	STAAR EOC: 9/10	-9/13
10 c	ACHIEVEMENT SPED APPROACHES+		≥ 45%			≥ 35%					% SPED
		6th 6-Wks	5th 6-Wks	4th 6-Wks	3rd 6-Wks	2nd 6-Wks	1st 6-Wks	Campus	# Tests	# Met	Approaches
		U-WKS	0-777.5	0-777.5	U-WKS	U-WKS	U-VVKS	District	0	0	
								Killeen			
								Odessa			
State and loca	al assessment results for SPED students at the							Lubbock			
	identified in date range							Houston			
Source: Eduph	oria - tests scoring at or above EOC ES passing level for SPED students							Fort Worth			
Source: STAAR	Summary Reports- tests scoring at or above							Corpus Christi			
APPROACH	ES passing level for SPED students							Amarillo			
								Pasadena			
								Midland South			
				Goal v.	. Actual				воу	STAAR EOC: 9/10	-9/13
10 d	ACHIEVEMENT EB APPROCHES+		≥ 45%			≥35%					% EB
		6th	5th	4th	3rd	2nd	1st	Campus	# Tests	# Met	Approaches
		6-Wks	6-Wks	6-Wks	6-Wks	6-Wks	6-Wks	District	0	0	
								Killeen			
								Odessa			
	al assessment results for Emergent Bilingual Students							Lubbock			
	the APPROACHES or above level pecific test(s) identified in date range purce: Eduphoria - tests scoring at or above EOC APPROACHES passing level for EB students purce: STAAR Summary Reports- tests scoring at or above APPROACHES passing level for EB students							Houston			
Source: Eduph								Fort Worth			
Source: STAAR								Corpus Christi			
APPROACHI								Amarillo			
								Pasadena			
								Midland South			
<u> </u>				<u> </u>					<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

			Goal v	. Actual					воу	STAAR EOC: 9/10-	9/13
10 e	ACHIEVEMENT GAP	OAL	FAI.		0%	01	4.1	- Campus	Afr Amr Students	White Students	% Gap
		6th 6-Wks	5th 6-Wks	4th 6-Wks	3rd 6-Wks	2nd 6-Wks	1st 6-Wks		% Pass	% Pass	
								District			0%
State and loca	l assessment results for Afr Amr & White Students at							Killeen			0%
	HES or above level Plan: TEA identified RMA as required to submit an							Odessa			0%
	address gaps as it relates to low-income students and lor being taught by inexperienced, out-of-field, and							Lubbock			0%
	chers at higher rates than other students. RMA's plan toring of course and assessment passing rates for Afr							Houston			0%
Amr and White								Fort Worth			0%
	identified in date range oria - tests scoring at or above EOC							Corpus Christi			0%
APPROACHE	S passing level for Afr Amr and White students Summary Reports- tests scoring at or above							Amarillo			0%
	Sypassing level for Afr Amr and White students							Pasadena			0%
								Midland South			0%
				Goal v	. Actual					Week Ending: 9/1:	
11 a	WALKTHROUGHS		≥ 75%	Journ	, riotuut	≥70%					
TIA	MAERITIMOOONS		5th	4th	3rd	2nd	1st	Campus	# Walks	Weekly Score	6-Week Score
		6-Wks	6-Wks	6-Wks	6-Wks	6-Wks	6-Wks	District			
								District	0		
								Killeen			
	for classroom walkthroughs conducted ne walkthrough completed weekly for all teachers)							Odessa			
Source: Eduph								Lubbock			
Rating:								Houston			
0-59 Need 60-69 Deve	loping							Fort Worth			
70-79 Profic 80-89 Acco	mplished							Corpus Christi			
90-100 Disti	nguished							Amarillo			
								Pasadena			
								Midland South			
				Goal v.	. Actual				,	Week Ending: 9/1	3
11 b	WALKTHROUGHS DISTANCE AT		≥ 75%			≥70%					
		6th 6-Wks	5th 6-Wks	4th 6-Wks	3rd 6-Wks	2nd 6-Wks	1st 6-Wks	Campus	# Walks	Weekly Score	6-Week Score
		O-WK3	O-WK3	O-WK3	O-WK3	O-WK3	U-VVK3	District	0	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!
								Killeen			
	for classroom walkthroughs conducted ne classroom walkthrough completed weekly for all							Odessa			
	hers to provide evidence of improved academic assed on the SCE expenditure for staffing Distance							Lubbock			
ATs)								Houston			
Source: Eduph Rating:	urce: Eduphoria - Strive ting:							Fort Worth			
0-59 Need	-59 Needs Imp							Corpus Christi			
70-79 Profic	69 Developing 79 Proficient										
	39 Accomplished 1.00 Distinguished							Amarillo			
								Pasadena			
								Midland South			

				Goal v.	Actual				,	Week Ending: 9/13	3
11c	WALKTHROUGH OBSERVED			≥7	5%						
		6th 6-Wks	5th 6-Wks	4th 6-Wks	3rd 6-Wks	2nd 6-Wks	1st 6-Wks	Campus	# Indicators	# Not Obs	% Observed
								District	0	0	
								Killeen			
								Odessa			
								Lubbock			
Rate of T-TESS	indicators observed during classroom walkthroughs							Houston			
Source: Eduph	oria - Strive							Fort Worth			
								Corpus Christi			
								Amarillo			
								Pasadena			
								Midland South			
				Goal v.	Actual					Week Ending: 9/13	3
11 d	WALKTHROUGH FEEDBACK			≥7	0%			Commercia	#	#	%
		6th 6-Wks	5th 6-Wks	4th 6-Wks	3rd 6-Wks	2nd 6-Wks	1st 6-Wks	Campus	Walks	w/ Feedback	w/ Feedback
								District	0	0	
								Killeen			
								Odessa			
Porcontago ra	te of classroom walkthroughs conducted that provide							Lubbock			
	eedback to address areas needing improvement							Houston			
Source: Eduph								Fort Worth			
Source. Edupri	ona - dave							Corpus Christi			
								Amarillo			
								Pasadena			
								Midland South			
				Goal v.	Actual					Week Ending: 8/30	
12	Overall Metric Rate)%			Campus	# Metrics	# Metrics	% Metrics
		6th 6-Wks	5th 6-Wks	4th 6-Wks	3rd 6-Wks	2nd 6-Wks	1st 6-Wks		Participating	Meeting Target	Meeting Target
								District			
								Killeen			
								Odessa			
								Lubbock			
	rcentage rate of all metrics meeting target goal for period reviewed							Houston			
the period rev								Fort Worth			
								Corpus Christi			
								Amarillo			
								Pasadena			
								Midland South			

													eek Ending: 9/13			
Classroom Walkthrough Indicator	Campus	6th	5th 6-Wks	4th	3rd	2nd	1st	Weekly	No	ot Observ	ed					
		6-VVKS	6-WKS	6-WKS	6-VVKS	6-VVKS	6-VVKS	Score	Walks	Not Obs	%	Distinguished	Accomplished	Proficient	Developing	Imp Needed
1	Killeen															
	Odessa															
	Lubbock															
	Houston															
Lesson plan is well thought out and fully	Fort Worth															
developed	Corpus Christi															
Domain 1 Planning Dimension 1.1 Standards and Alignment	Amarillo															
	Pasadena															
	Midland South															
	District								0	0		0	0	0	0	0
2	Killeen								_			ŭ	·	, and the second	· ·	ŭ
2	Odessa															
	Lubbock															
S. T. Alice Manager and A.	Houston															
Daily objectives are aligned to lesson goal and posted at the front of the classroom																
Domain 1 Planning	Corpus Christi															
Dimension 1.1 Standards and Alignment	Amarillo															
	Pasadena															
	Midland South															
	District								0	0		0	0	0	0	0
3	Killeen															
	Odessa															
	Lubbock															
	Houston															
Focus is placed on objective by questions	Fort Worth															
asked Domain 2 Instruction	Corpus Christi															
Dimension 2.3 Communication	Amarillo															
	Pasadena															
	Midland South															
	District								0	0		0	0	0	0	0
4	Killeen															
_	Odessa															
	Lubbock															
	Houston															
Procedures and routines are clear	Fort Worth															
and efficient Domain 3 Learning Environment	Corpus Christi															
Dimension 3.1 Classroom Envirnment,	Amarillo															
Routines and Procedures	Pasadena															
	Midland South															
F	District							 	0	0	 	0	0	0	0	0
5	Killeen															
	Odessa															
	Lubbock															
	Houston															
Students work respectfully individually and in groups	Fort Worth															
Domain 3 Learning Environment	Corpus Christi															
Dimension 3.3 Classroom Culture	Amarillo															
	Pasadena															
	Midland South															
	District								0	0		0	0	0	0	0
6	Killeen															
	Odessa															
	Lubbock															
	Houston															
Monitors student behavior for	Fort Worth															
engagement and understanding	Corpus Christi															
Domain 2 Instruction Dimension 2.5 Monitor and Adjust	Amarillo															
	Pasadena															
	Midland South															
	District								0	0		0	0	0	0	0
	District		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	U	U	l	U	U	U	U	ı

												W	eek Ending: 9/13			
Classroom Walkthrough Indicator	Campus	6th	5th 6-Wks	4th	3rd	2nd	1st	Weekly	No	ot Observ	ed	Market School	A Paka d	Partition.	Bu de des	to Market
		U-VVK3	U-VVK3	U-VVK3	U-VVK3	U-VVKS	U-VVK3	Score	Walks	Not Obs	%	Distinguished	Accomplished	Proficient	Developing	Imp Needed
7	Killeen															
	Odessa															
	Lubbock															
	Houston															
ACCOMMODATIONS Accommodations outlined in the IEP,	Fort Worth															
LPAC, and/or Section 504 are utilized	Corpus Christi															
External Supports	Amarillo															
	Pasadena															
	Midland South															
	District								0	0		0	0	0	0	0
8	Killeen															
	Odessa															
	Lubbock															
	Houston															
Academic expectations challenge	Fort Worth															
students	Corpus Christi															
Domain 2 Instruction Dimension 2.1 Achieving Expectations	Amarillo															
,	Pasadena															
	Midland South															
	District								0	0		0	0	0	0	0
9	Killeen								_	_			-	-	-	
	Odessa															
	Lubbock															
	Houston															
	Fort Worth															
ACCOMMODATIONS Pacing of instruction is evident	Corpus Christi															
In-Class Supports																
	Amarillo															
	Pasadena															
	Midland South									_			_	_		_
4.0	District								0	0		0	0	0	0	0
10	Killeen															
	Odessa															
	Lubbock															
	Houston															
Provides student opportunities to take initiative of their own learning	Fort Worth															
Domain 2 Instruction	Corpus Christi															
Dimension 2.1 Achieving Expectations	Amarillo															
	Pasadena															
	Midland South															
	District								0	0		0	0	0	0	0
11	Killeen															
	Odessa															
	Lubbock															
	Houston															
Provides opportunities for students to communicate	Fort Worth															
Domain 2 Instruction	Corpus Christi															
Dimension 2.3 Communication	Amarillo															
	Pasadena															
	Midland South															
	District								0	0		0	0	0	0	0
12	Killeen															
	Odessa															
	Lubbock															
	Houston															
Anticipates/addresses student mistakes	Fort Worth															
Domain 2 Instruction Dimension 2.2 Content Knowledge and	Corpus Christi															
Expertise	Amarillo															
	Pasadena															
	Midland South															
	District								0	0		0	0	0	0	0
1									_	-		· -	<u> </u>	· -		· -

	Campus		5th	4th	3rd				Week Ending: 9/13								
Classroom Walkthrough Indicator		6th				2nd	1st	Weekly	Not Observe		ed						
		b-WKS	6-WKS	6-WKS	b-WKS	6-WKS	6-WKS	Score	Walks	Not Obs	%	Distinguished	Accomplished	Proficient	Developing	Imp Needed	
13	Killeen																
	Odessa																
	Lubbock																
	Houston																
Uses probing questions to clarify and	Fort Worth																
elaborate learning	Corpus Christi																
Domain 2 Instruction Dimension 2.3 Communication	Amarillo																
	Pasadena																
	Midland South																
	District								0	0		0	0	0	0	0	
14	Killeen									Ü		· ·	, ,	, and the second	, and the second	Ü	
14	Odessa																
	Lubbock																
	Houston																
Provides clear explanations Domain 2 Instruction	Fort Worth																
Domain 2 Instruction Dimensions 2.3 Communication	Corpus Christi																
	Amarillo																
	Pasadena																
	Midland South																
	District								0	0		0	0	0	0	0	
15	Killeen																
	Odessa																
ACCOMMODATIONS	Lubbock																
New or difficult vocabulary is clarified as	Houston																
needed In-Class Supports: Availability of Spanish	Fort Worth																
English dictionaries for EB students and	Corpus Christi																
evidence of a visual representation of the vocabulary being utilized within the	Amarillo																
classroom such as academic vocabulary,	Pasadena																
word wall, and frayer models	Midland South																
	District								0	0		0	0	0	0	0	
16	Killeen																
	Odessa																
	Lubbock																
	Houston																
T-TESS WALK	Fort Worth																
Adjusts instruction to address student needs	Corpus Christi																
Domain 2 Instruction	Amarillo																
Dimension 2.4 Differentiation	Pasadena																
	Midland South																
	District								0	0		0	0	0	0	0	
17	Killeen							l	U	U	l	U	U	U	U	U	
17																	
	Odessa																
	Lubbock																
ACCOMMODATIONS	Houston																
Materials are adapted based on student	Fort Worth																
need	Corpus Christi																
In-Class Supports	Amarillo																
	Pasadena																
	Midland South																
	District								0	0		0	0	0	0	0	
18	Killeen													ļ	ļ		
	Odessa																
	Lubbock																
	Houston																
ACCOMMODATIONS	Fort Worth																
Intervention model is implemented	Corpus Christi																
In-Class Supports	Amarillo																
	Pasadena																
	Midland South																
	District								0	0		0	0	0	0	0	
	District					1	1	l	Ū	U	l	ı Ü	U	U	U	U	

A			Week Ending: 9/13														
Section Colors	Classroom Walkthrough Indicator	Campus							Weekly	Not Observed							
APES MAKE			6-Wks	6-Wks	6-Wks	6-Wks	6-Wks	6-Wks				1	Distinguished	Accomplished	Proficient	Developing	Imp Needed
Colors	19	Killeen															
Microsoff Service Micr		Odessa															
Marked Learning Model in Evident Foundation Foundation (Linear and Procedure) Marked Learning Model in Evident Evidentia (Linear and Procedure) Marked South Marked		Lubbock															
Ministry		Houston															
Compact Services Compact Orbital Compact O	T-TESS WALK	Fort Worth															
Apparaison App	Domain 3 Learning Environment	Corpus Christi															
Pasadona	Dimension 3.1 Classroom Environment,	Amarillo															
District	Routines and Procedures	Pasadena															
Value Valu		Midland South															
Triss MAIK		District								0	0		0	0	0	0	0
Triss MAIK	20	Killeen															
Newly and 6-week score Position Positi		Odessa															
Newly and 6-week score Position Positi																	
Triss Walk																	
Compact Charmen Compact Christic Compact Ch	T-TESS WALK																
Amarilio	Engages all students in relevant and meaningful learning																
Pasaderia Midland South	Domain 3 Learning Environment																
Midland South Descrict Descric	Dimension 3.3 Classroom Culture																
District																	
Click Clic										0	0		0	0	0	0	0
Description	31									-	•		0	Ů	Ů	Ü	•
Lubbock Noution Nout	21																
Fluston Flus																	
Fort Worth																	
More performance porman participation and performance porman a participation and performance per	T-TESS WALK																
Amarilio																	
Pasadena	Domain 2 Instruction																
Midland South District Dist	Dimension 2.4 Differentiation																
Neekly and 6-week score by campus Skilleen																	
Killeen										0	0		0	0	0	0	0
Odessa																	
Neekly and 6-week score Houston																	
Houston Hous																	
Fort Worth																	
Corpus Christi																	
Amarillo	Weekly and 6-week score																
Pasadena	by campus	<u> </u>															
Midland South																	-
District																	
Killen																	
Odessa O																	
Average score of T-TESS Indicators applicable to instructional differentiation #7,9,15,16,17,18, and 21) ADA evidence of impact for special student obeylations including SPED, BE/ESL, and other - Homeless Amarillo Pasadena Midland South Lubbock 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Average score of T-TESS Indicators applicable to instructional differentiation (#7, 9, 15, 16, 17, 18, and 21) RDA evidence of impact for special student																
Average score of T-TESS indicators applicable to instructional differentiation #7, 9, 15, 16, 17, 18, and 21) #DA evidence of impact for special student oppulations including SPED, BE/ESL, and other - Homeless #Amarillo Pasadena Midland South Midland South																	
#7, 9, 15, 16, 17, 18, and 21) Fort Worth Corpus Christi O 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0																	
ADA evidence of impact for special student Corpus Christi 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0																	
Amarillo																	
Pasadena 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0																	
Midland South 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Other - Homeless																
District																	
		District								0	0		0	0	0	0	0

			FC	SA		IDE	A D			C/	CE		
PROGRAM			E-5	3A		IDE	А-Б			3(-E		
EVALUATION:			- (4							.⊑	60		
EVIDENCE OF		nity	District Instructional Coordinator (100% trf T2A & T4	Compliance Programs	ic Sr	s te	ted	e s	District Instructional Coordinator	District Admin	ESL Teachers	Compliance Programs	. <u>5</u> &
IMPACT	ро	Student Community Liaisons	District Instructi Coordina (100% trf T2	Compliand	Academic Programs	SPED Associate Teachers	Contracted Services	SCE Associate Teachers	District Instructi Coordin	ij	Теа	Compliane Programs	Academic Programs
	Period	Stur Con Liais	District Instructional Coordinator (100% trf T2A & T4A)	Con Pro	Aca	SPED Associ Teach	Con Sen	SCE Asso Teac	Dist Inst Coo	Dist	ESL	Con Pro	Aca
	1st												
Metric 1	2nd 3rd												
ADA Target:	4th												
≥80%	5th												
	6th												
Bankuin 2	1st 2nd												
Metric 2 Dropouts	3rd												
Target: ≤10% Term 1-2	4th												
≤20% Term 3-4	5th												
	6th												
	1st 2nd												
Metric 3	3rd												
Suspensions & Expulsions	4th												
	5th 6th												
	1st												
Metric 5	2nd												
Excessive Abscences	3rd												
Target: ≤25%	4th												
	5th 6th												
	1st												
Metric 7	2nd												
Course Progress	3rd												
Target: ≥50% Pd 1,2,4 & 5 ≥65% Pd 3 & 6	4th 5th												
205% 1 4 3 4 0	6th												
Metric 8	1st												
Course Passing Target:	2nd												
≥65% Term 1 & 3 ≥75% Term 2 & 4	3rd 4th												
Metric 10	1st												
CTE Passing	2nd												
Target: ≥65% Term 1 & 3	3rd 4th												
≥75% Term 2 & 4	1st												
Metric 13	2nd												
Test Progress Target:	3rd												
≥35% Pd 1-3 ≥45% Pd 4-6	4th 5th												-
243% FU 4*0	6th												
Metric 14	1st												
Classroom	2nd												
Observations	3rd 4th												
Target: ≥70% Pd 1-3	5th												
≥75% Pd 4-6	6th												
Overall Rate	#												
Overall Kate	Met %												
	,,,												
Impact/Recommenda	tion												
Considerations:													
• Are there appropriate materia	ls		1										
 Are strategies implemented w fidelity 													
Has training been appropriateIs there confusion													
			1										